Spring 2024 – Systems Biology of Reproduction Discussion Outline – Male Reproductive Tract Development & Function Michael K. Skinner – Biol 475/575 CUE 418, 10:35-11:50 am, Tuesday & Thursday February 1, 2024 Week 4

#### **Reproduction Tract Development & Function**

#### **Primary Papers:**

- 1. Murashima, et al. (2015) Asian J Andrology 17:749-755
- 2. Zhao, et al. (2017) Science 357:717-720
- 3. Sakib, et al. (2020) Andrology 8(4):835-841
- 4. Haider, et al. (2023) Hum Reprod. 38(8):1449-1463.

#### **Discussion**

Student 7: Classic Reference #1 above

- What are the developmental steps of the Wolffian/epididymal duct?
- What are the Phenotypes of knockouts that explain the development?
- What technology was used?

Student 8: Reference #2 above

- What is the technology used?
- Where is the expression pattern of the COUP-TF11?
- What does the knockout phenotypes show on regional actions of COUP-TF11?

Student 9: Reference #3 and #4 above

- What is the technology used and how different?
- What organoid cell structures were observed?
- What basic information on male reproductive tract development was obtained?

**Open Access** 

www.asiaandro.com; www.ajandrology.com

#### **INVITED REVIEW**

## Understanding normal and abnormal development of the Wolffian/epididymal duct by using transgenic mice

Aki Murashima<sup>1</sup>, Bingfang Xu<sup>2</sup>, Barry T Hinton<sup>2</sup>

The development of the Wolffian/epididymal duct is crucial for proper function and, therefore, male fertility. The development of the epididymis is complex; the initial stages form as a transient embryonic kidney; then the mesonephros is formed, which in turn undergoes extensive morphogenesis under the influence of androgens and growth factors. Thus, understanding of its full development requires a wide and multidisciplinary view. This review focuses on mouse models that display abnormalities of the Wolffian duct and mesonephric development, the importance of these mouse models toward understanding male reproductive tract development, and how these models contribute to our understanding of clinical abnormalities in humans such as congenital anomalies of the kidney and urinary tract (CAKUT).

Asian Journal of Andrology (2015) 17, 749–755; doi: 10.4103/1008-682X.155540; published online: 26 June 2015

Keywords: epididymis; mesonephros; transgenic mice; Wolffian duct

#### INTRODUCTION

Understanding the mechanisms that regulate the development of the Wolffian duct (WD) is important because disruption of epididymal function may arise as a consequence of its abnormal development. Very little is known of either the process of WD development or the nature and causes of congenital defects that lead to male infertility. For example, it is clear that an undeveloped initial segment of the epididymis leads to male infertility<sup>1,2</sup> and considering that the human epididymis has an initial segment-like epithelium,<sup>3</sup> it is important to at least understand the development of this region. There are three developmental processes that are considered to be important during the development of the WD: (1) mesonephros formation, (2) stabilization of the ductal system and further growth, (3) postnatal differentiation (Figure 1). Each process is dependent upon developmental factors as shown by WD phenotypic mice carrying mutations of each factor.

This review focuses on mouse models that display abnormalities in WD or mesonephric development, the importance of these mouse models toward understanding male reproductive tract development, and how these models contribute to understanding clinical abnormalities in humans. **Table 1** shows mutations of genes in mice that display Wolffian/epididymal duct phenotypes.

## DEVELOPMENT OF WOLFFIAN/EPIDIDYMAL DUCT AND MOUSE MODELS

#### Mesonephros formation

During development, the nephric duct/Wolffian duct (WD) arises

from the anterior, intermediate mesoderm, and extends caudally.<sup>4</sup> In the case of mouse, WD formation begins approximately on embryonic day (E) 8.5 and is completed by reaching the cloaca at E9.5<sup>5</sup> (**Figure 1a** and **1b**). As the WD elongates, it induces the formation of nephric tubules through a mesenchymal-epithelial transition process. The tubules form three kidney primordia: pronephros, mesonephros and metanephros<sup>6</sup> (**Figure 1c**). The pronephros and mesonephros are transient kidneys and degenerate soon after their formation. However, in the mesonephros, the WD and cranial mesonephric tubules (MT) are retained and give rise to the male reproductive tract including the epididymis and efferent ducts, respectively.

Because WD formation is crucial for kidney development in mammals, many mouse models that show abnormal WD or mesonephric development also display urogenital abnormalities. The paired domain transcription factors Pax2 and Pax8 are well-known inducers of the initial formation of the WD.<sup>7,8</sup> The LIM-class homeobox gene *Lim1* is required for the extension of the WD.<sup>9,10</sup> Mice carrying a null mutation of *Emx2*, a mouse homologue of the *Drosophila* head gap gene *empty spiracles (ems)*, display normal WD development until E10.5, but at later time points the duct degenerates, resulting in lack of a kidney and a failure of the reproductive tract to develop.<sup>11</sup> Mice carrying a null mutation of *Gata3*, which is a transcriptional target of Pax2 and Pax8, also show defects in WD initiation.<sup>12</sup>

Growth factors can differentially regulate gene expression especially through epithelial-mesenchymal interactions. Fibroblast growth factor (FGF) signaling is one of the well analyzed growth factor signaling events during mesonephric formation. *Fgf8* encodes an FGF ligand, which is expressed in the intermediate mesoderm, and lack of its expression results in the absence of the cranial mesonephros and MTs.<sup>13</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Developmental Genetics, Institute of Advanced Medicine, Wakayama Medical University, 811-1 Kimiidera, Wakayama 641-8509, Wakayama, Japan; <sup>2</sup>Department of Cell Biology, University of Virginia School of Medicine, Charlottesville, VA 22908, USA. Correspondence: Prof. Barry T Hinton (bth7c@virginia.edu)

This article was presented at the 6th International Conference on the Epididymis in Shanghai, Oct 31-Nov 3, 2014.



**Figure 1:** Schematic diagram of mouse Wolffian/epididymal duct development. (a–c) The origin of the epididymis is the intermediate mesoderm. Spatiotemporally distinct intermediate mesoderm at E8.5 gives rise to the WD and metanephric mesenchyme.<sup>37</sup> The anterior intermediate mesoderm, which gives rise to the pronephros and the whole WD, is composed of *Osr1*-positive cells at E8.5. The posterior intermediate mesoderm, which gives rise to the metanephric mesenchyme, is positive for *T* at E9.5. The posterior intermediate mesoderm may correspond to axial progenitor cells, which serve as the source of the caudal body trunk.<sup>96,97</sup> The WD begins to form from the anterior intermediate mesoderm at E8.5 and grows posteriorly reaching the urogenital sinus at E9.5.<sup>99</sup> Meanwhile, the pronephros regresses through apoptosis.<sup>99</sup> The WD induces the formation of mesonephric tubules from the mesenchyme (nephric cord) adjacent to the WD in a cranio-caudal manner. At the caudal end of the WD, the metanephric is initiated by ureteric bud formation through the interaction between WD epithelia and the metanephric mesenchyme at E10.5. (d) After gonadal sexual differentiation begins, the WD in the female embryo regresses from cranial to caudal while the WD in the male embryo is stabilized. The cranial set of mesonephric tubules connected to the WD is stabilized while the caudal set of mesonephric tubules regresses *via* apoptosis. (e) In the male embryo, the stabilized WD begins to coil from the cranial portion at E15.5. The duct continues to elongate and coiling continue after birth. The single-layered ductal epithelia undergo differentiation between P15 and P44. At the same time, the regions of the epididymis, initial segment, caput, corpus and cauda, become morphologically distinct. Sperm transport through the duct begins at approximately P35.<sup>59,68</sup> IM: intermediate mesoderm; UGS: urogenital sinus; IS: initial segment.

FGF ligands bind and activate alternatively-spliced forms of four tyrosine kinase FGF receptors (FGFRs 1–4).<sup>14</sup> During mesonephric development, *Fgfr1* is expressed in the mesenchyme while *Fgfr2* is in the epithelium, maintaining the WD and mesonephric mesenchyme.<sup>15</sup> The function of FGFR2 in the WD epithelia is suggested to maintain the caudal part of the WD in the mesonephros by regulating cell proliferation.<sup>16</sup>

Wht genes encode a family of secreted glycolipoproteins regulating multiple processes during development, including cell proliferation and cell polarity. Among the Wht genes, Wht9b is mainly expressed in the WD epithelium while Wht7b is faintly expressed from E9.5 onward. In animals devoid of Wht9b their MTs are absent, and the epididymis is lacking at birth despite the normal formation of the WD at E10.5.<sup>17</sup>  $\beta$ -catenin-dependent canonical WNT signaling, which mainly regulates cell proliferation and differentiation, is sufficient to rescue MT induction in Wht9b null mice. On the other hand, during metanephric kidney development, attenuation of Wht9b affects the planar cell polarity of the epithelium and lead to tubules with an increased diameter.<sup>18</sup> Further spatiotemporal analyses of epididymal development in this mutant would contribute to our understanding of this molecule in tubulogenesis and its maintenance.

The number of MTs differs between species, and their function as a secretory organ is observed in pigs and humans but not in mice.<sup>19-21</sup> The number of efferent ducts reaching the testis also differs between species.<sup>22,23</sup> It is unclear whether there is a correlation between early MT number and the final number of efferent ducts observed in the adult. MT

the characteristic 'J' or 'S' shape during early development. The nephric tubule is formed through a mesenchymal-to-epithelial transition, and this cellular process is shared between mesonephric and metanephric tubules. Pax2/8, Emx2 and Lim1 are expressed in the condensed nephric cord and are required for tubulogenesis in addition to WD development.7-11,24 The Wilms' tumor suppressor gene Wt-1 and the homeobox gene Six1 are also expressed in the nephrogenic mesenchymal condensation throughout the nephrogenic cord. Mice lacking Wt-1 or Six1 lack caudal MTs while cranial MTs are intact. These observations indicate that the regulation of the cranial and caudal set of MTs is distinct.<sup>25-27</sup> Conversely, lack of the forkhead transcription factors Foxc1 and Foxc2, as well as Sonic hedgehog (Shh) expressed in the notochord or floor plate, results in supernumerary MT formation, suggesting suppressive effects of these genes on MT formation.<sup>28,29</sup> It is important to uncover how the differential regulation of tubule formation and stabilization along the anterior-posterior axis of the nephrogenic cord is established.

formation may resemble the formation of the renal nephron; both have

The connection between the rete testis and efferent ducts is observed at E13.5, and testicular fluid transport is detected at the corresponding stage of the rat embryo.<sup>30</sup> The patterning of efferent duct formation is intriguing, but the manner by which they reach the testis is not clear. There are at least two hypotheses on how the efferent ducts could be formed: (1) that a subset of MTs branch and fuse with each other forming the characteristic network of ductules, (2) that branching morphogenesis does not occur and the characteristic

750

#### Table 1: Mouse models which show defects in WD/epididymal duct development

Detect in meanophros formation         KO         Dysgenesis of WD and MD, absence of MT         7           Pax8         KO         Normal         24           Pax8/Pax8         KO         Dysgenesis of WD and MD, absence of MT         8           Pax8/Pax8         KO         Dysgenesis of WD and MD, absence of MT         8           Pax8/Pax8         KO         Dysgenesis of WD and MD, absence of MT         10           Pax8/Pax8         KO         Dysgenesis of WD and MD, absence of MT         12           Cafa3         KO         Dysgenesis of WD and MD, absence of MT         12           VP-1         KO         Absence of caudal MT         26           Six1         KO         Detect in value for exclusion of MT         100           Emx2         KO         Regression of whole WD         11           Figf8         FCce         Regression of caudal WD         15           Figf72         Ko0         Absence of MT, atsence of explicitymis         13           Figf72         Ko0         Absence of MT, atsence of explicitymis         13           Figf72         Ko0         Absence of MT, atsence of policitymis         29           Figf72         Ko0         Absence of MT         102           Figf72	Gene	Type of mutation, Cre driver	Phenotype of the mutant	References
Pac2 Pac2<	Defect in mesonephros formation			
PasBKONormal24Pac2PacBdKODysgenesis of WD and MD, absence of MT8Pac2PacBPac2CacDefect in caudal WD axtension9Fac2CacDefect in caudal WD axtension9Gata3KODysgenesis of WD and MD, absence of MT12W-1KODefect in caudal MT26Skr1KOAbsence of caudal MT26Skr1KODefect in WD extension, absence of MT100Ems2KOAbsence of caudal MT11Ems2KOAbsence of Aradal MT13Fgf4FCreRegression of houle WD and MT13Fgf4FCreNaserse of epidiymis15Fgf4FCreNaserse of epidiymis16Fgf2Hout7-CreRegression of caudal WD and MT102Fgf2Hout7-CreRegression of caudal WD and MT102Fac1/CrRest-CreNumerous ectopic MT, ectopic UB28, 101Crestrd-frReduced number of MT102Fac1/CrRoftAbsence of WD103LfngKOAbsence of WD103LfngKOShortnere vas deferens56Shr1KOShortnere vas deferens56Fac1/LKOShortnere vas deferens56Fac1/LKOShortnere vas deferens56Fac1/LKOShortnere vas deferens56Fac1/LKOShortnere vas deferens56Fac1KOLing expin deferent duct fo	Pax2	КО	Dysgenesis of WD and MD, absence of MT	7
PAR2ParaBKKODysgenesis of WD and ND, absence of MT10LIm1KODysgenesis of WD and ND, absence of MT12Gata3KODysgenesis of WD and MD, absence of MT12Gata3KOAbsence of caudal MT26Sik1KOAbsence of caudal MT26Sik1KOAbsence of caudal MT100Ems2KORegression of whole WD Ddymis11Wh19bKOAbsence of and MT13Fg/F12KORegression of canal mesnephros13Fg/F12Fc/reRegression of canal mesnephros13Fg/F12Fc/reRegression of canal mesnephros15Fg/F2Hxxb7-CreRegression of canal mesnephros29Fact/ACRedression of canal mesnephros2810Fg/F2Hxxb7-CreRegression of canal ad WD16ShrhKONumerous ectopic MT, ectopic UB2810CretRest/MT/P, KOMucrous ectopic MT, ectopic UB2810CretRef/M2KOAbsence of MD102CretRef/M2KOAbsence of MD103LingKOAbsence of MD10336ShrhKOAbsence of MD10336LingKOAbsence of MD10336ShrhKOAbsence of MD10336LingKOAbsence of MD10336LingKOAbsence of MD10436LingKO	Pax8	КО	Normal	24
LindKODysgenesis of WD10Gata3KODysgenesis of WD and MD, absence of MT12Wr-1KOAbsence of caudal MT26Sir.1KOAbsence of caudal MT27OerlKOAbsence of caudal MT27OerlKODefect in WD extension, absence of MT100Emx2KOAbsence of dri, absence of and in mesone of problems11Emx2KOAbsence of Viri, absence of MT100Emx2KOAbsence of Viri, absence of MT13Fg/BT-CreRegression of Vand MT13Fg/DFacAbsence of MT, absence of MT, absence of MT13Fg/DFacAbsence of MT, absence of MT16Fg/D2Fax/D2Regression of caudal WD28, 101CreatFox: JMT/P*, KONumerous ectopic MT, ectopic UB28, 101LingKOAbsence of MD103LingKOAbsence of MT103LingKOAbsence of MT103LingKOAbsence of MT103JinhbaKOShortened vas deferens56KoKOShortened vas deferens56KaflKOLing expandition of IS1JinhbaKOLing expandition of IS1JinhbaKOLing expandition of IS	Pax2/Pax8	dKO	Dysgenesis of WD and MD, absence of MT	8
Park2-CireDefect in caudal WD extension9Galta3KODysgenesis of WD and MD, absence of MT12M-1KOAbsence of caudal MT26Sik1KOAbsence of caudal MT27Ort1KODefect in WD Octonison, absence of MT100Emx2KORegression of whole WD11Whifb0KOAbsence of MT, absence of MT13Fgf12T-CreRegression of caudal MD15Fgf12T-CreRegression of caudal WD16Fgf12Hoxb7-CreRegression of caudal WD16ShhKONumerous ectopic MT, ectopic UB28, 101Cretrext/M17*, KONumerous ectopic MT, ectopic UB28, 101Cretrext/M17*, KOAbsence of WD103LfngKOAbsence of WD103Cretrext/M17*, KONumerous ectopic MT, ectopic UB28, 101Cretrext/M17*, KOAbsence of WD103LfngKOFailed to develop ductal coting in epiddymis56Shp1/2KOShortened vas deferens56Wnt5aKOShortened vas deferens56Wnt5aKOLarge exput and copus67FracRass10-CreDedifferentiation of S2ArApa2-CreDedifferentiation of S2ArRass10-CreMorholadigmis ad seminal vesicle68Mrt5aKOLarge exput and copus67FracRass10-CreMorholadigmin ad seminal vesi	Lim1	КО	Dysgenesis of WD	10
Gala3KODysgenesis VND and MD, absence of MT12Wr-1KOAbsence of caudal MT26Ski IKOAbsence of caudal MT27OarlKODefect in WD extension, absence of MT100HindbackKOPeterssion of whole W011WirBbKOAbsence of caudal MT13Fg/R0T-CreRegression of vhole W013Fg/R1/L2T-CreRegression of vandal mesonephons13Fg/R2Pax3-CreAbsence of MT13Fg/R2Hoxb7-CreRegression of vanda WD16ShhKONumerous ectopic MT, ectopic UB29CretFox: JAM/1**, KONumerous ectopic MT, ectopic UB28, 101Cretrefx-rReduced number of MT102Raidh2KOAbsence of WD103LfngKOBlockage of the connection between efferent duct and rete tests56Sfrp1/2KOShortneed vas deferens56Sfrp1/2KOShortneed vas deferens56Val2Vang/2**Shortneed vas deferens56Fk1Rase10-CreDedifferentiation of 1S2PienRase10-CreDedifferentiation of 1S10PienRase10-CreAbsence of Stage of the connection between efferent ducts56Fk1Lockage of threen ducts5656Fk1Robine CreaDedifferentiation of 1S21PienRase10-CreAbsence of 1S, defective epithelial cell differentia		Pax2-Cre	Defect in caudal WD extension	9
Wh-1K0Absence of caudal MT26Six1K0Absence of caudal MT27Oxr1K0Defect in WD consion, absence of MT100Emx2K0Regression of whole WD11Emx2K0Regression of cranial mesonephros13Fg/BT-CreDysgenesis of WD and MT13Fg/IAT-CreDysgenesis of WD and MT15Fg/IAHabb7-CreRegression of caudal WD16ShhK0Numerous ectopic MT, ectopic UB28, 101CreatFace/LM1*, KONumerous ectopic MT, ectopic UB28, 101Creatrek-kReduced number of MT102Aduth2K0Absence of WD103LingK0Bickago of the connection between efferent duct and rete tests36Defects in WD stabilization, elongation and colliugTrin, KOWD regression40,41InhbaK0Shortened vas deferens56SinJA2dKOShortened vas deferens56Vag/2Mang/2**Shortened vas deferens56Vag/2K0Large caput and corpus68Vag/2K0Large caput and corpus68ArApas-CreDeddifferentiation of IS11Dusp6K0Large caput and corpus68ArApas-CreDeddifferentiation of IS66Firs2Robse-CreAbsence of IS, defective epithelial coll differentiation71Dusp6K0Large caput and corpus68 <td< td=""><td>Gata3</td><td>КО</td><td>Dysgenesis of WD and MD, absence of MT</td><td>12</td></td<>	Gata3	КО	Dysgenesis of WD and MD, absence of MT	12
Sk1K0Absence of caudal MT27Gar1K0Defect in WD extension, absence of MT100Gm2K0Absence of MT, absence of MT11Wn19bK0Absence of MT, absence of epididymis17Fgr8FCreRegression of valoe WD on MI13Fgr12FCreDygencis if WD and MT13Fgr12FCreDygencis if WD and MT13Fgr12FCreRegression of caudal WD16ShinK0Numerous ectopic MT, ectopic UB29ShinK0Numerous ectopic MT, ectopic UB28, 101CretFox L/M1°, K0Numerous ectopic MT, ectopic UB28, 101Fox L/2K0Absence of VD103LfngK0Blockage of the connection between efferent duct and rete tests36Defects in VD stabilization, elongation and collingStortened vas deferens56Sfr/L2K0Shortened vas deferens56Vali2Vang/ZP*Shortened vas deferens56Vali2Vang/ZP*Shortened vas deferens56Vali2K0Large caput and corpus57PariK0Large caput and corpus67Fase1 D-CreDedifferentiation of 1S1Dusp6K0Large caput and corpus67Fase2Ap2a-CreDefettifferentiation of 1S58Dusp6K0Large caput and corpus67Fase2Ap2a-CreDefettifferentiation 1S56Disp6K0La	Wt-1	КО	Absence of caudal MT	26
OrtlK0Defect in WD extension, absence of MT100Emx2K0Regression of whole WD11MH19bK0Absence of MT, absence of pidldymis17FgH2FCreRegression of canal mesonephros13FgH2FCreNapsgenesis of WD and MT15FgH2Hoxb2-CreRegression of caudal WD16ShhK0Numerous ectopic MT, ectopic UB29Foxc1/2Foxc1/MT1°, KONumerous ectopic MT, ectopic UB28, 101c-retret-kReduced number of MT102Fath2K0Absence of WD103LfngK0Blockage of the connection between efferent duct and rete testis36Defects in WD stabilization, elongation and collignTrin, KOWD regression40,41InhbaK0Failed to develop ductal colling in epididymis53SfrD12Mang/2 <sup>Po</sup> Shortened vas deferens56Vh22Marg2/2 <sup>Po</sup> Shortened vas deferens56Vh15aK0Large caput and corpus67Frs2Hoxb7-CreDedifferentiation of IS68ArAp2a-CreDedifferentiated IS11Dusp6K0Large caput and corpus67Frs2Hoxb7-CreSmall CreAbsence of IS, defective epithelial cell differentiation70Frs2Hoxb7-CreSmall CreAbsence of IS, defective epithelial cell differentiation71Lgr4Lgr4Shortened vas deferent so epididymis6875Frs2<	Six1	КО	Absence of caudal MT	27
Emc2KQRegression of whole WD11Wnt9bKQAbsence of MT, absence of epididymis17Fd/BT-CreRegression of canalal mesonephros13Fg/I-1/2FCreDysgenesis of WD and MT13Pax3-CreAbsence of MT16ShhKQNumerous ectopic MT, ectopic UB29Foxc1/2Foxc1/M1**, KQNumerous ectopic MT, ectopic UB28, 101c-retret-kReduced number of MT102Raidh2KQAbsence of WD103UngKQBlockage of the connection between efferent duct and rete tests56Defects in WD stabilization, elongation and coilingFailed to develop ductal coiling in epididymis53ShrJ1KQWD regression40, 41InhbaKQShortened vas deferens56Pkd1KQShortened vas deferens56Pkd1KQLarge caput and corres54Desp6KQLarge caput and corres56Pkd1KQLarge caput and corres56Pkd1KQLarge caput and corres56Pkd1KQLarge caput and corres56Dusp6KQLarge caput and corres56ArAp2a-CreDedifferentiation of IS2Ross10-CreAbsence of IS, defective epithelial cell differentiation70Fax2-CreAbsence of IS, defective epithelial cell differentiation71Busp6KQLarge caput and corpus56Dusp6Cr	Osr1	КО	Defect in WD extension, absence of MT	100
Wit3bK0Absence of MT, absence of epididymis17Fgf8T-CreRegression of cranial mesonephros13Fgf1/2T-CreDysgenesis of WD and MT15Fgfr2Hoxb7-CreRegression of caudal WD16ShhK0Numerous ectopic MT, ectopic UB29Foxc1/2Foxc1/MT1°, KONumerous ectopic MT, ectopic UB28, 101c-retret-kReduced number of MT102Fatdh2KOAbsence of WD16LfngKOBlockage of the connection between efferent duct and rete tests36Defects in WD stabilization, elongation and collingTrm, KOWD regression40,41ArMKOShortnerd vas deferens56Vaf2/2KOShortnerd vas deferens56Vaf2/2KOShortnerd vas deferens56Vaf2/2KODedifferentiation54Defects in postnatal differentiationKOShortnerd vas deferens56Vaf2/2KOUndifferentiated IS1PtenRnase10-CreDedifferentiation of IS2Ros1KOUndifferentiated IS66Fis2Hoxb7-CreDedifferentiation of IS66ArArase10-CreDedifferentiation of IS66Fis2Ros2-CreDedifferentiation of IS66Fis2Hoxb7-CreDefective epithelial cell differentiation70Fis2-CreDefective epithelial cell differentiation71Lgr4Rase10-CreAbsence of I	Emx2	КО	Regression of whole WD	11
Fg/B7-CreRegression of cranial mesonephros13Fg/f1/27-CreDysgenesis of WD and MT13Pax3-CreAbsence of WT16Fg/f2Hoxb7-CreRegression of caudal WD16ShhKONumerous ectopic MT, ectopic UB29Foxc1/2Foxc1/M1**, KONumerous ectopic MT, ectopic UB28, 101C-retret-KReduced number of MT102Raldh2KOAbsence of WD103LfngKOKOBickage of the connection between efferent duct and rete testis36Defects in WD stabilization, elongation and collingT/m, KOWD regression40,41InhbaKOFalel to develop ductal colling in epididymis53Sfn/L2dKOShortened vas deferens56Vagl2Vang12**Shortened vas deferens56Vagl2Vang12**Shortened vas deferens56Vagl2KOLarge caput and corpus67PKrl1Rase10-CreDedifferentiation of IS2Ros1KOLarge caput and corpus67Frs2KoOLarge caput and corpus68ArAp2a-CreDefective epithelial cell differentiation70Frs2Ros2-CreDefective epithelial cell differentiation71Dusp6KOLarge caput and corpus67Frs2Ros2-CreDefective epithelial cell differentiation71Frs2-MacRos2-CreDefective epithelial cell differentiation71Frs2	Wnt9b	КО	Absence of MT, absence of epididymis	17
Fgfr127-CreDysgenesis of WD and MT13Pax3-CreAbsence of MT15Fafr2Hoxb7-CreRegression of caudal WD15ShhKONumerous ectopic MT, ectopic UB29Foxc1/2Foxc1/M1**, KONumerous ectopic MT, ectopic UB28, 101c-retFoxc1/M1**, KONumerous ectopic MT, ectopic UB28, 101C-retFoxc1/M1**, KONumerous ectopic MT, ectopic UB28, 101C-retRaddh2KOAbsence of WD103LfngKOBlockage of the connection between efferent duct and rete testis36Defects in WD stabilization, elongation and coillingFailed to develop ductal coilling in epididymis53Sfrp12KOShortened vas deferens56Vag12Vang12***Shortened vas deferens56Vag12Vang12***Shortened vas deferens56Pkd1KOUndifferentiation of IS2PkanKOLarge caput and corpus68Frs2KOLarge caput and corpus68ArAp2-CreDeclifferentiation of IS68ArAp2-CreDeclifferentiation of Shortened vas deferens56Dusp6KOLarge caput and corpus68ArAp2-CreDeclifferentiation of IS2PicenRnase10-CreAbsence of IS, defective epithelial cell differentiation71Frs2Hoxb7-CreBeckerice epithelial cell differentiation71Frs2Rosence of IS, defective epithelial cell d	Fgf8	T-Cre	Regression of cranial mesonephros	13
Pax3-CreAbsence of MT15Fgfr2Hoxb7-CreRegression of caudal WD16ShhKONumerous ectopic MT, ectopic UB29Foxc1/2Foxc1/M1**, KONumerous ectopic MT, ectopic UB28, 101C-retret-kReduced number of MT102Raidh2KOAbsence of WD103LingKOBlockage of the connection between efferent duct and rete testis36Defects in WD stabilization, elongation and colingT/m, KOWD regression40,41ArT/m, KOWD regression53Shrp1/2dKOShortened vas deferens56Vag12Vang12**Shortened vas deferens56Waf5aKOShortened vas deferens56Vaf6aKOLarge caput and corpus54Defects in postnatal differentiation7011PtenRase10-CreDedifferentiation of ifferent ducts54Defects in postnatal differentiation7168ArAp2-CreDedifferentiated IS11Dusp6KOLarge caput and corpus68ArAp2-CreDefective epithelial cell differentiation70Frs2Boxb7-CreSmall englidymis and seminal vesicle69DicerDefb-CreAp2-CreDefective epithelial cell differentiation71Ins2-DrefBoxb7-CreSmall englidymis and seminal vesicle69Frs2Ap2-CreDefective epithelial cell differentiation71Ins2-DrefBoxB1-C	Fgfr1/2	T-Cre	Dysgenesis of WD and MT	13
Fg72Hoxb7-CreRegression of caudal WD16ShhKONumerous ectopic MT, ectopic UB29Foxc1/2Foxc1/M1*, KONumerous ectopic MT, ectopic UB28, 101c-retret./KReduced number of MT102Raldh2KOAbsence of WD103LfngKOBlockage of the connection between efferent duct and rete testis36Defects in WD stabilization, elongation and collignYm, KOWD regression40,41InhbaKOShortened vas deferens56Sifp1/2dKOShortened vas deferens56Vagl2Vang1/2**Shortened vas deferens56Vagl2Vang1/2**Shortened vas deferens56Vagl2KOUndifferentiation of IS2Defects in postnatal differentiationKOLarge caput and corpus67Frs2Hoxb7-CreDedifferentiatian of IS2PrenRnase10-CreDedifferentiation70Frs2Hoxb7-CreDedifferentiation70Frs2Hoxb7-CreDedifferentiation70Frs2Hoxb7-CreDedifferentiation70Frs2Hoxb7-CreDedifferentiation70Frs2Hoxb7-CreDedifferentiation70Frs2Hoxb7-CreDedifferentiation70Frs2Hoxb7-CreDedifferentiation70Frs2Borber-CreSmall epidolymis68DicerDefe-CreSmall epidolymis69DicerDefeb		Pax3-Cre	Absence of MT	15
ShhKONumerous ectopic UB29Foxc.1/2Foxc.1/MT1 <sup>on</sup> , KONumerous ectopic MT, ectopic UB28, 101c-retret-kReduced number of MT102Raldh2KOAbsence of MD103LfngKOBlockage of the connection between efferent duct and rete testis36Defects in WD stabilization, elongation and collingTrn, KOWD regression40,41InhbaKOShortened vas deferens56Shrp1/2Vang/2 <sup>low</sup> Shortened vas deferens56Vagl2Vang/2 <sup>low</sup> Shortened vas deferens56Vald2KOShortened vas deferens56Vald2KOUndifferentiation of fis2PenRase10-CreDedifferentiation of fis2Pris2Hoxb7-CreMorphologically normal68ArAp2a-CreDefective epithelial cell differentiation70Frs2Rase10-CreAbsence of IS, defective epithelial cell differentiation70ArAp2a-CreDefective epithelial cell differentiation70Frs2Rase10-CreAbsence of IS, defective epithelial cell differentiation70Inase10-CreAbsence of IS, defective epithelial cell differentiation70Inase10-CreShotened vas deferens inal vesice69DicerDefosin-CreShotened Vas deferents epithelial cell differentiation71Inase10-CreAbsence of IS, defective epithelial cell differentiation71Inase10-CreShotened Vas deferents inal vesice	Fgfr2	Hoxb7-Cre	Regression of caudal WD	16
Foxc1/2Foxc1/Mf1 <sup>ok</sup> , K0Numerous ectopic MT, ectopic UB28, 101C-retret-kReduced number of MT102Raldh2K0Absence of WD103LfngK0Blockage of the connection between efferent duct and rete tests36Defects in WD stabilization, elongation and coilingT/m, K0WD regression40,41InhbaK0Failed to develop ductal coiling in epididymis53Sfnp1/2dK0Shortened vas deferens56Vagl2Vang/2 <sup>love</sup> Shortened vas deferens56Pkd1K0, Pax2-CreCoiling defect, cystic dilation of efferent ducts54Defects in postnatal differentiationK0Dardfferentiation of IS2PkenRnase10-CreDedifferentiation of IS2PkenK0Large caput and corpus67Fis2Hox7-CreMormal shape of epididymis68ArAp2a-CreDefects in pothelical I offerentiation70Fis2K0Large caput and corpus68ArAp2a-CreShortened vas deferens epithelial cell differentiation71Fis2Hox7-CreMabsence of IS, defective epithelial cell differentiation71Ling-CreAbsence of IS, defective epithelial cell differentiation71Fix2-CreDefo-CreAbsence of IS, defective epithelial cell differentiation75Infig-29aMine-CresSmall epididymis and seminal vesicle69DicerDefo-CreShort, dilated and much less convoluted epididymal ducts	Shh	КО	Numerous ectopic MT, ectopic UB	29
c-fetret-k-Reduced number of MT102Raldh2KOAbsence of WD103LfngKOBlockage of the connection between efferent duct and rete testis36Defects in WD stabilization, elongation and coilingT/m, KOWD regression40,41InhbaKOFailed to develop ductal coiling in epididymis53Strp1/2Wng/2 <sup>bay</sup> Shortened vas deferens56Wnt5aKOShortened vas deferens56Wnt5aKOShortened vas deferens56Pkd1KO, Pax2-CreCoiling defect, cystic dilation of efferent ducts54Defects in postnatal differentiationRase10-CreDedifferentiated IS1PtenRnase10-CreDedifferentiated IS1Dusp6KOLarge caput and corpus67Frs2Hoxb7-CreMorphologically normal68ArAp2a-CreDefective epithelial cell differentiation70Frs2Hoxb7-CreAbsence of IS, defective epithelial cell differentiation70Frs2Betb4-CreDefective epithelial cell differentiation70Frs2Detb4-CreShall epididymis and seminal vesicle69DicerDetb4-CreShall epididymis and seminal vesicle69DicerBetb4-CreShall epididymis and seminal vesicle69DicerDetb4-CreShall epididymis and seminal vesicle69DicerBetb4-CreShall epididymis and seminal vesicle69DicerBetb4-CreShall epididym	Foxc1/2	Foxc1/Mf1 <sup>ch</sup> , KO	Numerous ectopic MT, ectopic UB	28, 101
Raidh2K0Absence of WD103LfngKOBlockage of the connection between efferent duct and rete testis36Defects in WD stabilization, elongation and coilingFailed to develop ductal coiling in epididymis53ArKOFailed to develop ductal coiling in epididymis53Shrp1/2dKOShortened vas deferens56Vagl2Vangl2 <sup>10/4</sup> Shortened vas deferens56Vagl2Vangl2 <sup>10/4</sup> Shortened vas deferens56Vagl2KOShortened vas deferens56Pkd1KO, Pax2-CreCoiling defect, cystic dilation of efferent ducts54Defects in postnatal differentiationT2PtenRnase10-CreDedifferentiation of IS2Pkg2Hoxb7-CreMorphologically normal68ArAp2a-CreDefective epithelial cell differentiation70Frs2Hoxb7-CreAbsence of IS, defective epithelial cell differentiation70ArAp2a-CreDefective epithelial cell differentiation70Frs2Defe-CreSmall epididymis and seminal vesicle69DicerDefb4-CreEpithelial cell differentiation77IR-29aIR/2-291/Iwc transgeneHypoplastic epididymis and seminal vesicle77Lgr4Lgr4 <sup>aocn</sup> Abernat epididymis region66Shp1mew/mevAbernat epididymi region66Hoxa112KOTransformation of vas deferens to epididymis79	c-ret	ret-k⁻	Reduced number of MT	102
LfngKOBlockage of the connection between efferent duct and rete testis36Defects in WD stabilization, elongation and coilingT/m, KOWD regression40,41InhbaKOFailed to develop ductal coiling in epididymis53Shrp12dKOShortened vas deferens56Vagl2Vangl2**Shortened vas deferens56Vagl2Vangl2***Shortened vas deferens56Vagl2Vangl2***Shortened vas deferens56Vagl2Vangl2***Shortened vas deferens56Pkd1KO, Pax2-CreCoiling defect, cystic dilation of efferent ducts54Defects in postnatal differentiationKOLarge caput and corpus67PrenRnase10-CreDedifferentiated IS1Dusp6KOLarge caput and corpus68ArAp2a-CreDefective epithelial cell differentiation70Frs2Hoxb7-CreAbsence of IS, defective epithelial cell differentiation71Probasin-CreSmall epididymis68ArAp2a-CreDefective epithelial cell differentiation71FoxG-CreAbsence of IS, defective epithelial cell differentiation71FoxG-CreAbsence of IS, defective epithelial cell differentiation71In-CreSmall epididymis77Lgr4***Lgr4***Short, dilated and much less convoluted epididymal ducts104KOTransformation of vas deferens to epididymis77Lgr4****KOTransformation of vas deferens to ep	Raldh2	ко	Absence of WD	103
Defects in WD stabilization, elongation and coiling       T/m, KO       WD regression       40,41         Inhba       KO       Failed to develop ductal coiling in epididymis       53         Shrp1/2       dKO       Shortened vas deferens       56         Vagl2       Vangl2 <sup>be/p</sup> Shortened vas deferens       56         Wnt5a       KO       Shortened vas deferens       56         Pkd1       KO, Pax2-Cre       Coiling defect, cystic dilation of efferent ducts       54         Defects in postnatal differentiation       Rnase10-Cre       Dedifferentiation of IS       2         Pren       Rnase10-Cre       Dedifferentiation of or puss       67         Pren       Rnase10-Cre       Dedifferentiation of us or puss       67         Dusp6       KO       Large caput and corpus       67         Frs2       Hoxb7-Cre       Morphologically normal       68         Ar       Ap2a-Cre       Defective epithelial cell differentiation       70         Frs2       Hoxb7-Cre       Morphologically normal       68         Ar       Ap2a-Cre       Defective epithelial cell differentiation       71         Probasin-Cre       Small epiddymis and seminal vesicle       69         Dicer       Defod-Cre       Small epiddy	Lfng	ко	Blockage of the connection between efferent duct and rete testis	36
ArTrm, KOWD regression40,41InhbaKOFailed to develop ductal coiling in epididymis53Shrp1/2dKOShortened vas deferens56Vagl2Vang12 <sup>lop</sup> Shortened vas deferens56Wnt5aKOShortened vas deferens56Pkd1KO, Pax2-CreCoiling defect, cystic dilation of efferent ducts54Defects in postnatal differentiationKOShortened vas deferens54Defects in postnatal differentiationKOCoiling defect, cystic dilation of efferent ducts2PtenRnase10-CreDedifferentiated IS1Dusp6KOLarge caput and corpus67Frs2Hoxb7-CreMorphologically normal68ArAp2a-CreDefective epithelial cell differentiation70Frs2Pobasin-CreAbsence of IS, defective epithelial cell differentiation71Pobasin-CreSmall epididymis and seminal vesicle69DicerDefb4-CreEpithelial cell differentiation75miR-29amiR-29b1 <sup>usec</sup> transgeneHypoplastic epididymal vesicle104Lgr4Lgr4 <sup>oucet</sup> Short, dilated and much less convoluted epididymal ducts104KOTransformation of vas deferens to epididymis66Shp1mew/mevAberrant epididymal region66MiR-29aMiR-29b1 <sup>usec</sup> transgeneHypoplastic epididymal ducts104KOBlockage of efferent duct105Shp1mew/mevAberrant epididymal region66	Defects in WD stabilization, elongation and	coiling		
InhbaK0Failed to develop ductal coiling in epididymis53Shrp1/2dK0Shortened vas deferens56Vagl2Vargl2b*b*Shortened vas deferens56Wht5aK0Shortened vas deferens56Pkd1K0, Pax2-CreCoiling defect, cystic dilation of efferent ducts54Defects in postnatal differentiationFax2-CreDedifferentiation of IS2PfenRnase10-CreDedifferentiated IS1Dusp6K0Large caput and corpus67Frs2Hoxb7-CreMorphologically normal68ArAp2a-CreDefective epithelial cell differentiation70ForG-CreAbsence of IS, defective epithelial cell differentiation70ForG-CreAbsence of IS, defective epithelial cell differentiation71DicerDefa/-CreEpithelial cell dedifferentiation75miR-29amiR-29b1 <sup>usc</sup> transgeneHypoplastic epididymis77Lgr4Lgr4 <sup>suct</sup> Short, dilated and much less convoluted epididymal ducts104K0Blockage of efferent duct105Shp1mew/mevAberant epididymal region66Hoxa10K0Transformation of vas deferens to epididymis79	Ar	Tfm, KO	WD regression	40.41
Shrp1/2dK0Shortened vas deferens56Vagl2Vangl2 <sup>bub</sup> Shortened vas deferens56Wnt5aK0Shortened vas deferens56Pkd1K0, Pax2-CreCoilling defect, cystic dilation of efferent ducts54Defects in postnatal differentiationFrase10-CreDedifferentiation of IS2PtenRnase10-CreDedifferentiated IS1Dusp6K0Large caput and corpus67Frs2Hoxb7-CreMorphologically normal68ArAp2a-CreDefetive epithelial cell differentiation47Rnase10-CreAbsence of IS, defective epithelial cell differentiation70Frs2Hoxb7-CreShall epidodymis and seminal vesicle69DicerDefb4-CreEpithelial cell dedifferentiation71Probasin-CreSmall epidodymis and seminal vesicle69DicerDefb4-CreEpithelial cell dedifferentiation75miR-29amiR-29b1 <sup>usc</sup> transgeneHypoplastic epidodymis77Lgr4Lgr4 <sup>mora</sup> Short, dilated and much less convoluted epididymal ducts104KOBlockage of efferent duct105Shp1mew/mevAberrant epididymi rand seferens to epididymis79Hoxa11KOTransformation of vas deferens to epididymis79	Inhba	КО	Failed to develop ductal coiling in epididymis	53
Vag/2Vang/2 <sup>ps/p</sup> Shortened vas deferens56Wht5aKOShortened vas deferens56Pkd1KO, Pax2-CreCoiling defect, cystic dilation of efferent ducts54Defects in postnatal differentiation2PtenRnase10-CreDedifferentiated IS1Dusp6KOUndifferentiated IS67Frs2Hoxb7-CreMorphologically normal68ArAp2a-CreDefective epithelial cell differentiation70Frs2Rnase10-CreAbsence of IS, defective epithelial cell differentiation70Frs2Defective epithelial cell differentiation70Frs2Morphologically normal68ArAp2a-CreDefective epithelial cell differentiation70Frs2Rnase10-CreAbsence of IS, defective epithelial cell differentiation70Frs2Defed-CreSmall epididymis and seminal vesicle69DicerDefb4-CreEpithelial cell differentiation71ImR-29aImR-29b1 <sup>usc</sup> transgeneHypoplastic epididymis77Lgr4Lgr4 <sup>quoren</sup> Short, dilated and much less convoluted epididymal ducts104KOBlockage of efferent duct105Shp1mev/mevAberrant epididymal region66Hoxa10KOTransformation of vas deferents to epididymis79	Sfrp1/2	dKO	Shortened vas deferens	56
Wnt5aKOShortened vas deferens56Pkd1KO, Pax2-CreCoiling defect, cystic dilation of efferent ducts54Defects in postnatal differentiation2PtenRnase10-CreDedifferentiated IS1Dusp6KOLarge caput and corpus67Frs2Hoxb7-CreMorphologically normal shape of epididymis68ArAp2a-CreDefective epithelial cell differentiation70FoxG-CreAbsence of IS, defective epithelial cell differentiation70Probasin-CreSmall epididymis and seminal vesicle69DicerDefb4-CreEpithelial cell differentiation71ImR-29aImR-29b1 <sup>unc</sup> transgeneHypoplastic epididymis77Lgr4Lgr4 <sup>stora</sup> Short, dilated and much less convoluted epididymal ducts104KOTransformation of vas deferens to epididymis79Hoxa10KOTransformation of vas deferens to epididymis79	Vagl2	Vangl2 <sup>lp/lp</sup>	Shortened vas deferens	56
Pkd1K0, Pax2-CreColling defect, cystic dilation of efferent ducts54Defects in postnatal differentiation2PtenRnase10-CreDedifferentiation of IS2Ros1K0Undifferentiated IS1Dusp6K0Large caput and corpus67Frs2Hoxb7-CreMorphologically normal68Rnase10-CreAbnormal shape of epididymis68ArAp2a-CreDefective epithelial cell differentiation70Frs2Hoxb7-CreAbsence of IS, defective epithelial cell differentiation70Probasin-CreAbsence of IS, defective epithelial cell differentiation71Probasin-CreSmall epididymis and seminal vesicle69DicerDefb4-CreEpithelial cell dedifferentiation75miR-29amiR-29b1 <sup>uec</sup> transgeneHypoplastic epididymis77Lgr4K0Blockage of efferent duct105Shp1mev/mevAbernat epididymal region66Hoxa11K0Transformation of vas deferents to epididymis79Hoxa10K0Transformation of vas deferents to epididymis80	Wnt5a	ко	Shortened vas deferens	56
Defects in postnatal differentiation         Rnase10-Cre         Dedifferentiation of IS         2           Ros1         KO         Undifferentiated IS         1           Dusp6         KO         Large caput and corpus         67           Frs2         Hoxb7-Cre         Morphologically normal         68           Ar         Ap2a-Cre         Defective epithelial cell differentiation         47           Rnase10-Cre         Absence of IS, defective epithelial cell differentiation         70           FoxG-Cre         Absence of IS, defective epithelial cell differentiation         71           FoxG-Cre         Absence of IS, defective epithelial cell differentiation         71           FoxG-Cre         Small epididymis and seminal vesicle         69           Dicer         Defb4-Cre         Epithelial cell differentiation         71           Lgr4         Lgr4 <sup>ouse</sup> Short, dilated and much less convoluted epididymal ducts         104           KO         Blockage of efferent duct         105         105           Shp1         mew/mev         Aberrant epididymia region         66           Hoxa10         KO         Transformation of vas deferens to epididymis         79	Pkd1	KO, Pax2-Cre	Coiling defect, cystic dilation of efferent ducts	54
PtenRnase10-CreDedifferentiation of IS2Ros1KOUndifferentiated IS1Dusp6KOLarge caput and corpus67Frs2Hoxb7-CreMorphologically normal68ArAp2a-CreDefective epithelial cell differentiation47Rnase10-CreAbsence of IS, defective epithelial cell differentiation70Frs2Frs2Frs2Frs2ArAp2a-CreDefective epithelial cell differentiation70Frs2Probasin-CreAbsence of IS, defective epithelial cell differentiation71Frs2Defb4-CreSmall epididymis and seminal vesicle69DicerDefb4-CreEpithelial cell dedifferentiation75miR-29amiR-29b1 <sup>uBC</sup> transgeneHypoplastic epididymis77Lgr4Lgr4 <sup>auct</sup> Short, dilated and much less convoluted epididymal ducts104KOBlockage of efferent duct105Shp1mev/mevAberrant epididymal region66Hoxa11KOTransformation of vas deferents to epididymis79Hoxa10KOTransformation of vas deferents to epididymis80	Defects in postnatal differentiation			
Ros1KOUndifferentiated IS1Dusp6KOLarge caput and corpus67Frs2Hoxb7-CreMorphologically normal68ArAp2a-CreDefective epithelial cell differentiation47Ap2a-CreDefective epithelial cell differentiation70FoxG-CreAbsence of IS, defective epithelial cell differentiation71Probasin-CreSmall epididymis and seminal vesicle69DicerDefb4-CreEpithelial cell differentiation75miR-29amiR-29b1 <sup>uBc</sup> transgeneHypoplastic epididymis77Lgr4Lgr4 <sup>oucu</sup> Short, dilated and much less convoluted epididymal ducts104KOBlockage of efferent duct105Shp1mew/mevAberrant epididymal region66Hoxa10KOTransformation of vas deferents to epididymis79	Pten	Rnase10-Cre	Dedifferentiation of IS	2
Dusp6KOLarge caput and corpus67Frs2Hoxb7-CreMorphologically normal68Anase10-CreAbnormal shape of epididymis68ArAp2a-CreDefective epithelial cell differentiation47Rnase10-CreAbsence of IS, defective epithelial cell differentiation70Rnase10-CreAbsence of IS, defective epithelial cell differentiation71FoxG-CreAbsence of IS, defective epithelial cell differentiation71Probasin-CreSmall epididymis and seminal vesicle69DicerDefb4-CreEpithelial cell dedifferentiation75miR-29amiR-29b1 <sup>UBC</sup> transgeneHypoplastic epididymis77Lgr4Lgr4 <sup>GUGt</sup> Short, dilated and much less convoluted epididymal ducts104KOBlockage of efferent duct105Shp1mev/mevAberrant epididymal region66Hoxa11KOTransformation of vas deferens to epididymis79Hoxa10KOTransformation of vas deferens to epididymis80	Ros1	KO	Undifferentiated IS	1
Frs2Hoxb7-CreMorphologically normal68Rnase10-CreAbnormal shape of epididymis68ArAp2a-CreDefective epithelial cell differentiation47Rnase10-CreAbsence of IS, defective epithelial cell differentiation70FoxG-CreAbsence of IS, defective epithelial cell differentiation71FoxG-CreSmall epididymis and seminal vesicle69DicerDefb4-CreEpithelial cell dedifferentiation75miR-29amiR-29b1 <sup>UBC</sup> transgeneHypoplastic epididymis77Lgr4Lgr4 <sup>GUGT</sup> Short, dilated and much less convoluted epididymal ducts104KOBlockage of efferent duct105Shp1mew/mevAberrant epididymal region66Hoxa10KOTransformation of vas deferens to epididymis79	Dusp6	ко	Large caput and corpus	67
ArRnase10-CreAbnormal shape of epididymis68Ap2a-CreDefective epithelial cell differentiation47Rnase10-CreAbsence of IS, defective epithelial cell differentiation70FoxG-CreAbsence of IS, defective epithelial cell differentiation71Probasin-CreSmall epididymis and seminal vesicle69DicerDefb4-CreEpithelial cell dedifferentiation75miR-29amiR-29b1 <sup>usc</sup> transgeneHypoplastic epididymis77Lgr4Lgr4 <sup>ouct</sup> Short, dilated and much less convoluted epididymal ducts104KOBlockage of efferent duct105Shp1mew/mevAberrant epididymal region66Hoxa11KOTransformation of vas deferens to epididymis79	Frs2	Hoxb7-Cre	Morphologically normal	68
ArAp2a-CreDefective epithelial cell differentiation47Rnase10-CreAbsence of IS, defective epithelial cell differentiation70FoxG-CreAbsence of IS, defective epithelial cell differentiation71Probasin-CreSmall epididymis and seminal vesicle69DicerDefb4-CreEpithelial cell dedifferentiation75miR-29amiR-29b1 <sup>UBC</sup> transgeneHypoplastic epididymis77Lgr4Lgr4 <sup>GUGt</sup> Short, dilated and much less convoluted epididymal ducts104KOBlockage of efferent duct105Shp1mew/mevAberrant epididymal region66Hoxa11KOTransformation of vas deferens to epididymis79		Rnase10-Cre	Abnormal shape of epididymis	68
Rnse10-CreAbsence of IS, defective epithelial cell differentiation70FoxG-CreAbsence of IS, defective epithelial cell differentiation71Probasin-CreSmall epididymis and seminal vesicle69DicerDefb4-CreEpithelial cell dedifferentiation75miR-29amiR-29b1 <sup>UBC</sup> transgeneHypoplastic epididymis77Lgr4Lgr4 <sup>GUGt</sup> Short, dilated and much less convoluted epididymal ducts104KOBlockage of efferent duct105Shp1mew/mevAberrant epididymal region66Hoxa11KOTransformation of vas deferens to epididymis79	Ar	Ap2a-Cre	Defective epithelial cell differentiation	47
FoxG-CreAbsence of IS, defective epithelial cell differentiation71Probasin-CreSmall epididymis and seminal vesicle69DicerDefb4-CreEpithelial cell dedifferentiation75miR-29amiR-29b1 <sup>UBC</sup> transgeneHypoplastic epididymis77Lgr4Lgr4 <sup>GUGt</sup> Short, dilated and much less convoluted epididymal ducts104KOBlockage of efferent duct105Shp1mew/mevAberrant epididymal region66Hoxa11KOTransformation of vas deferens to epididymis79		Rnase10-Cre	Absence of IS, defective epithelial cell differentiation	70
Probasin-CreSmall epididymis and seminal vesicle69DicerDefb4-CreEpithelial cell dedifferentiation75miR-29amiR-29b1 <sup>UBC</sup> transgeneHypoplastic epididymis77Lgr4Lgr4 <sup>GUGt</sup> Short, dilated and much less convoluted epididymal ducts104KOBlockage of efferent duct105Shp1mew/mevAberrant epididymal region66Hoxa11KOTransformation of vas deferens to epididymis79		FoxG-Cre	Absence of IS, defective epithelial cell differentiation	71
Dicer     Defb4-Cre     Epithelial cell dedifferentiation     75       miR-29a     miR-29b1 <sup>uBc</sup> transgene     Hypoplastic epididymis     77       Lgr4     Lgr4 <sup>suGr</sup> Short, dilated and much less convoluted epididymal ducts     104       KO     Blockage of efferent duct     105       Shp1     mew/mev     Aberrant epididymal region     66       Hoxa11     KO     Transformation of vas deferens to epididymis     79		Probasin-Cre	Small epididymis and seminal vesicle	69
miR-29amiR-29b1 <sup>uBc</sup> transgeneHypoplastic epididymis77Lgr4Lgr4 <sup>GuGt</sup> Short, dilated and much less convoluted epididymal ducts104KOBlockage of efferent duct105Shp1mev/mevAberrant epididymal region66Hoxa11KOTransformation of vas deferens to epididymis79Hoxa10KOTransformation of vas deferens to epididymis80	Dicer	Defb4-Cre	Epithelial cell dedifferentiation	75
Lgr4     Lgr4suct     Short, dilated and much less convoluted epididymal ducts     104       KO     Blockage of efferent duct     105       Shp1     mev/mev     Aberrant epididymal region     66       Hoxa11     KO     Transformation of vas deferens to epididymis     79       Hoxa10     KO     Transformation of vas deferens to epididymis     80	miR-29a	miR-29b1 <sup>vec</sup> transgene	Hypoplastic epididymis	77
KOBlockage of efferent duct105Shp1mev/mevAberrant epididymal region66Hoxa11KOTransformation of vas deferens to epididymis79Hoxa10KOTransformation of vas deferens to epididymis80	Lgr4	Lgr4 <sup>Gt/Gt</sup>	Short, dilated and much less convoluted epididymal ducts	104
Shp1mev/mevAberrant epididymal region66Hoxa11KOTransformation of vas deferens to epididymis79Hoxa10KOTransformation of vas deferens to epididymis80		КО	Blockage of efferent duct	105
Hoxa11KOTransformation of vas deferens to epididymis79Hoxa10KOTransformation of vas deferens to epididymis80	Shp1	mev/mev	Aberrant epididymal region	66
Hoxa10 KO Transformation of vas deferens to epididymis 80	Hoxa11	КО	Transformation of vas deferens to epididymis	79
	Hoxa10	ко	Transformation of vas deferens to epididymis	80

WD: wolffian duct; MT: mesonephric tubules; UB: ureteric bud; IS: initial segment; MD: mullerian duct

network of ductules is formed by simple fusion of a subset of MTs. The latter hypothesis would seem more feasible than the first because of the presence of blind-ended tubules. These MTs only fuse to one other MT, leaving one end sealed, hence becoming blind-ended. Obviously, there must be considerable coordination between the fusion events that limit the number of MTs that can fuse<sup>4.5</sup> resulting in the conus (2–3 fused MTs) and the single common ductule.<sup>22</sup> Identification of the genes and processes by which the formation and patterning of the efferent ducts occur is crucial, and the GUDMAP *in situ* hybridization database (http://www.gudmap.org/index.html)<sup>31,32</sup> clearly shows some

potential genes that may regulate their formation, e.g., collagen triple helix repeat containing 1(Cthrc1), cortexin 3 (Ctxn3) and laminin, alpha1 (Lama1). Lunatic fringe (Lfng) is one of the mammalian fringe genes encoding a modifier of the notch receptor expressed in the developing WD, MTs and testis.<sup>33-35</sup> Lfng-null mice show partial bilateral blockage of the connection between the rete testis and the efferent ducts, indicating the involvement of notch signaling in establishing the rete testis-efferent duct boundary.<sup>36</sup>

The origins of nephron progenitor cells are suggested to differ between mesonephros and metanephros.<sup>37</sup> Metanephric mesenchyme is

751

Asian Journal of Andrology

#### 752

derived from a posterior immature caudal population, which is positive for Brachyury (T) expression, and persists in the posterior end of the embryo until body axis extension is complete (Figure 1a). On the other hand, the WD and at least part of the mesonephric mesenchyme arise from the anterior intermediate mesoderm, which is defined by Osr1 expression at E9.5 (Figure 1b). These recent studies may indicate that abnormal body axis extension affects the intermediate mesodermal cell fate. It is possible that disruption of the A-P body axis extension affects not only the metanephric mesenchyme but also the mesonephric mesenchymal distribution, and subsequently further male reproductive tract development. Conditionally-induced mutations of the planar cell polarity (PCP) pathway-related genes, Wnt5a, Ror2 and Vangl2, which are important for A-P body axis extension, demonstrate that insufficient A-P axis extension of the posterior intermediate mesoderm is correlated with urogenital tract abnormalities.<sup>38</sup> It is clear that more studies are needed to examine the early formation of the intermediate mesoderm and how this translates into development of the WD.

## Stabilization of the ductal system and further growth: elongation and coiling

During embryogenesis, the mesonephros gives rise to a stable male reproductive tract whereas the mesonephros in the female regresses (Figure 1d and 1e). Androgens produced in the testis are a major factor regulating this stabilization.<sup>39-42</sup> Following gonadal sex differentiation, the testis begins to produce the androgen, testosterone, at approximately E12.5.43,44 Unlike for other and rogen-dependent organs, such as the prostate and seminal vesicle, it has been suggested that locally-produced, and not systemic androgen, from the testis is necessary for WD stabilization.<sup>45</sup> Indeed, fluorescence labeling of an androgen ligand shows that androgen is transported within the luminal fluid.<sup>30</sup> However, there are studies showing that testicular androgen delivered via the systemic circulation is sufficient to prevent WD regression. Subcutaneous testicular grafts stabilize the WD in female marsupial embryos.46 Androgens act through the androgen receptor (AR), a member of the nuclear receptor superfamily. The expression of AR is mainly detected in the mesenchyme surrounding WD epithelia at E13.5 in the mouse. Tissue-specific Ar knockout (KO) analyses demonstrate that WD stabilization and coiling is induced in the absence of epithelial-expressed Ar, demonstrating the importance of Ar in the mesenchyme.<sup>47</sup> This finding is consistent with the observation from tissue recombination experiments on androgen-insensitive Testicular feminized (Tfm) mice.48,49 Several growth factors, including FGF and Epidermal growth factor (EGF), are suggested to mediate androgen functions in the prostate and WD.50-52 However, the molecular mechanisms by which and rogens regulate these genes in vivo are not known.

To create a long, highly-convoluted epididymal duct, the WD begins to elongate and coil from E15.5, following stabilization (Figure 1e). This process is also androgen-dependent, but growth factor signaling has been reported to regulate this elongation event. Tomaszewski *et al.* reported that *Inhba*, a subunit of both inhibins and activins, is a regional paracrine factor in mouse mesonephroi that controls coiling of the epithelium in the anterior WD.<sup>53</sup> *Pkd1*, whose mutation accounts for 85% of autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease, and is a membrane-spanning glycoprotein involved in growth factor signaling transduction and cytoskeleton dynamics. Epithelial coiling is absent from the *Pkd1* mutant.<sup>54</sup> In both mutations, epithelial cell proliferation is attenuated. Recently, mathematical modeling has suggested that epididymal tubule morphogenesis is dependent upon the cell proliferation area in the tubule.<sup>55</sup>

The secreted frizzled-related proteins (SFRPs) antagonize WNT ligand protein binding to its receptor FZD. The double KO (dKO) of *Sfrp1* and *Sfrp2* genes results in a shortened WD and vas deferens.<sup>56</sup> Androgen administration to these animals never rescues this phenotype, indicating that the abnormalities in *Sfrp1/2* dKO mutant male embryos are not caused by insufficient production of testosterone from the testes, but may reflect insensitivity of some target tissues to androgens.<sup>56</sup> It is also possible to consider that these phenotypes are, at least partially, a secondary consequence of the A-P extension defect of intermediate mesoderm formation described above. Although recent analyses have partially revealed the molecular mechanisms of ductal morphogenesis, further analyses should be performed including how androgen signaling regulates these molecules.

## Postnatal differentiation: regional differentiation and epithelial cell differentiation

The epididymis consists of distinct anatomical regions that vary between species. However, in the mouse four regions can be defined: initial segment and caput, corpus and cauda epididymidis (Figure 1f). Each region is further divided into many segments characterized by expression of specific mRNAs, proteins and a repertoire of cell types.<sup>57,58</sup> The segments, divided by septa, are observed after birth and are distinct during puberty, postnatal (P) days 14–35. Impaired epididymal regionalization or epithelial cell differentiation results in male infertility. For example, if the initial segment does not develop, then male infertility results. Data from efferent duct ligation (EDL) experiments suggested that luminal fluid coming from testis is responsible for the maintenance of initial segment cell survival, proliferation and differentiation.<sup>59,60</sup>

Several growth factors, including FGFs 2,4 and 8, are detected in testicular fluid, and Fgfrs are expressed in the epithelium of the initial segment.<sup>61,62</sup> During normal development, high activity of the MAPK pathway, especially p-MAPK1/3 (p-ERK1/2), is detected in the initial segment.<sup>60</sup> EDL abolishes their activities, emphasizing the importance of lumicrine factors regulating their activity.60 Ros1 encodes an orphan receptor tyrosine kinase that is expressed in few epithelia, among them the WD and its derivatives.63-65 Loss of Ros1 expression or a naturally-occurring mutation of Shp1 (mev), a negative regulator of ROS1, results in abnormal differentiation of the initial segment.<sup>1,66</sup> RNase10-Cre drives gene recombination in the initial segment epithelia from P17 onward. RNase-Cre-mediated mutation in Pten, a negative regulator of PIP3/AKT signaling, induces dedifferentiation of the initial segment.<sup>2</sup> In these animals, abnormal differentiation results in an abnormally shaped initial segment. MAPK signaling regulators such as DUSP6 and FRS2 play important roles in epididymal cell proliferation and survival during postnatal development.67,68

Androgens are important regulators of epididymal development from embryonic to adult stages. From later stages of development to the adult stage, *Ar* expression in the epithelia is greater than that in the mesenchyme. Several *Ar* KO mice have been reported, and the majority show a hypoplastic epididymis and defective epithelial cell differentiation.<sup>47,69-71</sup> A differentiated epididymal epithelium is pseudostratified and comprises principal, clear, narrow, basal and recently-identified dendritic cells throughout the duct.<sup>72,73</sup> Similar to other pseudostratified epithelia, for example the trachea, the epididymal luminal environment regulates secretion and absorption of ions, water, organic solutes and proteins.<sup>74</sup> The molecular mechanisms of epididymal epithelial differentiation are not clear. Chimeric mutation of the *Ar* indicates that defective epithelial cell differentiation is cell-autonomous.<sup>47</sup> Dicer and small RNAs also regulate epididymal

753

development and epithelial cell differentiation partially through and rogen action.  $^{75-77}$ 

*Hox* genes are evolutionarily-conserved transcriptional regulators that determine body patterning.<sup>78</sup> As found for body plan formation, vertebrae and the gut, *Hox* genes, *Hoxa10* and *Hoxa11* are suggested to determine the boundary between the epididymis and vas deferens.<sup>79–81</sup> Later studies by Snyder *et al.*<sup>82</sup> showed that there were additional region-specific (efferent ducts, epididymis and vas deferens) *Hox* transcripts that may define boundaries along the reproductive tract during development.

## POSSIBLE CONTRIBUTION OF MOUSE MODELS TO UNDERSTAND HUMAN CINICAL ABNORMALITIES

One of the most well-known congenital anomalies of the epididymis or vas deferens is congenital bilateral absence of the vas deferens (CBAVD). It occurs in 1%–2% of men with infertility.<sup>83</sup> 60%–90% of the CBAVD men harbor at least one associated *cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator* (*CFTR*) gene mutation.<sup>84</sup> 10%–40% of CBAVD men do not have recognizable *CFTR* gene abnormalities accompanied by unilateral renal agenesis (URA).<sup>85</sup> Presumably, CBAVD patients have disrupted morphogenesis of the early mesonephros owing to the mutation of genes.<sup>86</sup> Those genes involved in mesonephros formation, e.g., *Pax2*, *Wt-1* and *Fgfs*, may be viable candidate genes responsible for CBAVD with renal malformation.

Conversely, congenital anomalies of kidney and urinary tract (CAKUT) often carry mutations in genes, such as PAX2 and WT-1, and male mice carrying mutations of these genes also exhibit reproductive tract malformations.<sup>87</sup> Syndromes with renal tract abnormalities also carry mutations in the genes described above. Branchio-Oto-Renal (BOR) syndrome is a genetic condition that typically disrupts the development of tissues in the neck and causes malformations of the ears and kidneys. EYA1, the human homolog of the Drosophila eyes absent gene, is the most common gene responsible for BOR.88 Further, Foxc1 regulates Eya1 expression.28 Mutations in the SIX1 gene can be detected in 2% of individuals with the clinical diagnosis of BOR.89 Mutations in both ROR290 and WNT5A91 have been implicated in a rare genetic disease, Robinow syndrome, which exhibits several defects such as dwarfism, hydronephrosis and genital abnormalities. Because these syndromes often exhibit lethal abnormalities, it is still unclear if these mutations affect male fertility in humans.

Epididymal disjunction is the failure of the efferent ducts to reach the testis, which may reflect the failure of the efferent ducts to elongate, and presumably coil, during their development.<sup>92-95</sup> Interestingly, one study<sup>95</sup> has shown that 30%–79% of boys with an undescended testis also have Wolffian duct abnormalities, of which 25% display epididymal disjunction. Therefore, it is important that epididymal abnormalities be detected at orchidopexy, or other male infertility, which may be classified as idiopathic, will result. As mentioned above, it is not clear how the efferent ducts form, elongate, are directed toward the testis and then fuse with the rete testis. Obviously, mouse models that display epididymal disjunction will greatly aid our understanding of this abnormality.

#### SUMMARY

One of the striking characteristics of the epididymis is its complex developmental process. The primordium of the epididymis, the mesonephros, arises as a part of the transient kidney, and its stability and differentiation are regulated by hormonal signaling including by androgens and growth factors. In human, it transforms its morphology to form a 6 m duct that is coiled and packed into a three-dimensional organ of approximately 10 cm in length. Recent studies utilizing a variety of transgenic mice have revealed the molecular contribution of numerous factors at each stage of epididymal development. The molecular dissection of the developmental mechanisms of the epididymis has just begun. Integrative understanding of the hierarchy and interaction of each factor will provide new directions in this field. Considering that the epididymis shares its origin with the urinary tract, it is noteworthy that the molecular mechanisms which lead to kidney mal-development, such as CAKUT, may provide significant insight for the mesonephros derivative mal-development, such as CBAVD and *vice versa*.

#### COMPETING FINANCIAL INTERESTS

Neither author declares a competing interest.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Prof. Gen Yamada and Dr. Mika Okazawa for the supportive discussion. This work is supported by Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists B (25860771), and National Institutes of Health Eunice Kennedy Shriver NICHD Grants HD069654 and HD068365 (BTH).

#### REFERENCES

- Sonnenberg-Riethmacher E, Walter B, Riethmacher D, Gödecke S, Birchmeier C. The c-ros tyrosine kinase receptor controls regionalization and differentiation of epithelial cells in the epididymis. *Genes Dev* 1996; 10: 1184–93.
- 2 Xu B, Washington AM, Hinton BT. PTEN signaling through RAF1 proto-oncogene serine/threonine kinase (RAF1)/ERK in the epididymis is essential for male fertility. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2014; 111: 18643–8.
- 3 Yeung CH, Cooper TG, Bergmann M, Schulze H. Organization of tubules in the human caput epididymidis and the ultrastructure of their epithelia. Am J Anat 1991; 191: 261–79.
- 4 Atsuta Ý, Tadokoro R, Saito D, Takahashi Y. Transgenesis of the Wolffian duct visualizes dynamic behavior of cells undergoing tubulogenesis in vivo. Dev Growth Differ 2013; 55: 579–90.
- 5 Staack A, Donjacour AA, Brody J, Cunha GR, Carroll P. Mouse urogenital development: a practical approach. *Differentiation* 2003; 71: 402–13.
- 6 Saxen, L. Organogenesis of the Kidney. Cambridge University Press; 1987.
- 7 Torres M, Gómez-Pardo E, Dressler GR, Gruss P. Pax-2 controls multiple steps of urogenital development. *Development* 1995; 121: 4057–65.
- 8 Bouchard M, Souabni A, Mandler M, Neubüser A, Busslinger M. Nephric lineage specification by Pax2 and Pax8. *Genes Dev* 2002; 16: 2958–70.
- 9 Pedersen A, Skjong C, Shawlot W. Lim 1 is required for nephric duct extension and ureteric bud morphogenesis. *Dev Biol* 2005; 288: 571–81.
- 10 Kobayashi A, Shawlot W, Kania A, Behringer RR. Requirement of Lim1 for female reproductive tract development. *Development* 2004; 131: 539–49.
- 11 Miyamoto N, Yoshida M, Kuratani S, Matsuo I, Aizawa S. Defects of urogenital development in mice lacking Emx2. *Development* 1997; 124: 1653–64.
- 12 Grote D, Souabni A, Busslinger M, Bouchard M. Pax2/8-regulated Gata 3 expression is necessary for morphogenesis and guidance of the nephric duct in the developing kidney. *Development* 2006; 133: 53–61.
- 13 Kitagaki J, Ueda Y, Chi X, Sharma N, Elder CM, et al. FGF8 is essential for formation of the ductal system in the male reproductive tract. Development 2011; 138: 5369–78.
- 14 Ornitz DM, Itoh N. Fibroblast growth factors. Genome Biol. 2001;2(3):REVIEWS3005. Epub 2001 Mar 9. Review.
- 15 Poladia DP, Kish K, Kutay B, Hains D, Kegg H, et al. Role of fibroblast growth factor receptors 1 and 2 in the metanephric mesenchyme. Dev Biol 2006; 291: 325–39.
- 16 Okazawa M, Murashima A, Harada M, Nakagata N, Noguchi M, et al. Region-specific regulation of cell proliferation by FGF receptor signaling during the Wolffian duct development. Dev Biol 2015; 400: 139–47.
- 17 Carroll TJ, Park JS, Hayashi S, Majumdar A, McMahon AP. Wht9b plays a central role in the regulation of mesenchymal to epithelial transitions underlying organogenesis of the mammalian urogenital system. *Dev Cell* 2005; 9: 283–92.
- 18 Karner CM, Chirumamilla R, Aoki S, Igarashi P, Wallingford JB, et al. Wnt9b signaling regulates planar cell polarity and kidney tubule morphogenesis. Nat Genet 2009; 41: 793–9.
- 19 de Martino C, Zamboni L. A morphologic study of the mesonephros of the human embryo. J Ultrastruct Res 1966; 16: 399–427.
- 20 Tiedemann K, Egerer G. Vascularization and glomerular ultrastructure in the pig mesonephros. *Cell Tissue Res* 1984; 238: 165–75.
- 21 Smith C, Mackay S. Morphological development and fate of the mouse mesonephros. *J Anat* 1991; 174: 171–84.



#### 754

- 22 Guttroff RF, Cooke PS, Hess RA. Blind-ending tubules and branching patterns of the rat ductuli efferentes. *Anat Rec* 1992; 232: 423–31.
- 23 Ilio KY, Hess RA. Structure and function of the ductuli efferentes: a review. Microsc Res Tech 1994; 29: 432–67.
- 24 Mansouri A, Chowdhury K, Gruss P. Follicular cells of the thyroid gland require Pax8 gene function. Nat Genet 1998; 19: 87–90.
- 25 Kreidberg JA, Sariola H, Loring JM, Maeda M, Pelletier J, et al. WT-1 is required for early kidney development. Cell 1993; 74: 679–91.
- 26 Sainio K, Hellstedt P, Kreidberg JA, Saxén L, Sariola H. Differential regulation of two sets of mesonephric tubules by WT-1. *Development* 1997; 124: 1293–9.
- 27 Kobayashi H, Kawakami K, Asashima M, Nishinakamura R. Six1 and Six4 are essential for Gdnf expression in the metanephric mesenchyme and ureteric bud formation, while Six1 deficiency alone causes mesonephric-tubule defects. *Mech Dev* 2007; 124: 290–303.
- 28 Kume T, Deng K, Hogan BL. Murine forkhead/winged helix genes Foxc1 (Mf1) and Foxc2 (Mfh1) are required for the early organogenesis of the kidney and urinary tract. *Development* 2000; 127: 1387–95.
- 29 Murashima A, Akita H, Okazawa M, Kishigami S, Nakagata N, et al. Midline-derived Shh regulates mesonephric tubule formation through the paraxial mesoderm. Dev Biol 2014: 386: 216–26.
- 30 Tong SY, Hutson JM, Watts LM. Does testosterone diffuse down the Wolffian duct during sexual differentiation? J Urol 1996; 155: 2057–9.
- 31 Harding SD, Armit C, Armstrong J, Brennan J, Cheng Y, et al. The GUDMAP database – an online resource for genitourinary research. Development 2011; 138: 2845–53.
- 32 McMahon AP, Aronow BJ, Davidson DR, Davies JA, Gaido KW, et al. GUDMAP: the genitourinary developmental molecular anatomy project. J Am Soc Nephrol 2008; 19: 667–71.
- 33 Johnston SH, Rauskolb C, Wilson R, Prabhakaran B, Irvine KD, et al. A family of mammalian Fringe genes implicated in boundary determination and the Notch pathway. Development 1997; 124: 2245–54.
- 34 Lupien M, Diévart A, Morales CR, Hermo L, Calvo E, et al. Expression of constitutively active Notch1 in male genital tracts results in ectopic growth and blockage of efferent ducts, epididymal hyperplasia and sterility. Dev Biol 2006; 300: 497–511.
- 35 Evrard YA, Lun Y, Aulehla A, Gan L, Johnson RL. Lunatic fringe is an essential mediator of somite segmentation and patterning. *Nature* 1998; 394: 377–81.
- 36 Hahn KL, Beres B, Rowton MJ, Skinner MK, Chang Y, et al. A deficiency of lunatic fringe is associated with cystic dilation of the rete testis. *Reproduction* 2009; 137: 79–93.
- 37 Taguchi A, Kaku Y, Ohmori T, Sharmin S, Ogawa M, et al. Redefining the in vivo origin of metanephric nephron progenitors enables generation of complex kidney structures from pluripotent stem cells. Cell Stem Cell 2014; 14: 53–67.
- 38 Yun K, Ajima R, Sharma N, Costantini F, Mackem S, et al. Non-canonical Wnt5al Ror2 signaling regulates kidney morphogenesis by controlling intermediate mesoderm extension. Hum Mol Genet 2014; 23: 6807–14.
- 39 Jost A. Recherches sur la différenciation sexuelle de l'embryon de Lapin. Arch Anat Microsc Morphol Exp 1947; 36: 151–315.
- 40 Lyon MF, Hawkes SG. X-linked gene for testicular feminization in the mouse. Nature 1970; 227: 1217–9.
- 41 Matsumoto T, Takeyama K, Sato T, Kato S. Androgen receptor functions from reverse genetic models. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol 2003; 85: 95–9.
- 42 Welsh M, Sharpe RM, Walker M, Smith LB, Saunders PT. New insights into the role of androgens in Wolffian duct stabilization in male and female rodents. *Endocrinology* 2009; 150: 2472–80.
- 43 Shima Y, Miyabayashi K, Haraguchi S, Arakawa T, Otake H, et al. Contribution of Leydig and Sertoli cells to testosterone production in mouse fetal testes. *Mol Endocrinol* 2013; 27: 63–73.
- 44 DeFalco T, Capel B. Gonad morphogenesis in vertebrates: divergent means to a convergent end. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol 2009; 25: 457–82.
- 45 Jost A. Problems of fetal endocrinology: the gonadal and hypophyseal hormones. Recent Prog Horm Res 1953; 8: 379–418.
- 46 Renfree MB, Fenelon J, Wijiyanti G, Wilson JD, Shaw G. Wolffian duct differentiation by physiological concentrations of androgen delivered systemically. *Dev Biol* 2009; 334: 429–36.
- 47 Murashima A, Miyagawa S, Ogino Y, Nishida-Fukuda H, Araki K, et al. Essential roles of androgen signaling in Wolffian duct stabilization and epididymal cell differentiation. Endocrinology 2011; 152: 1640–51.
- 48 Cunha GR, Young P, Higgins SJ, Cooke PS. Neonatal seminal vesicle mesenchyme induces a new morphological and functional phenotype in the epithelia of adult ureter and ductus deferens. *Development* 1991; 111: 145–58.
- 49 Cunha GR, Alarid ET, Turner T, Donjacour AA, Boutin EL, et al. Normal and abnormal development of the male urogenital tract. Role of androgens, mesenchymal-epithelial interactions, and growth factors. J Androl 1992; 13: 465–75.
- 50 Donjacour AA, Thomson AA, Cunha GR. FGF-10 plays an essential role in the growth of the fetal prostate. *Dev Biol* 2003; 261: 39–54.
- 51 Gupta C. The role of epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) in male reproductive tract differentiation: stimulation of EGFR expression and inhibition of Wolffian duct differentiation with anti-EGFR antibody. *Endocrinology* 1996; 137: 905–10.

Ň

Asian Journal of Andrology

- 52 Gupta C, Siegel S, Ellis D. The role of EGF in testosterone-induced reproductive tract differentiation. *Dev Biol* 1991; 146: 106–16.
- 53 Tomaszewski J, Joseph A, Archambeault D, Yao HH. Essential roles of inhibin beta A in mouse epididymal coiling. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2007; 104: 11322–7.
- 54 Nie X, Arend LJ. Pkd1 is required for male reproductive tract development. Mech Dev 2013; 130: 567–76.
- 55 Hirashima T. Pattern formation of an epithelial tubule by mechanical instability during epididymal development. *Cell Rep* 2014; 9: 866–73.
- 56 Warr N, Siggers P, Bogani D, Brixey R, Pastorelli L, et al. Sfrp1 and Sfrp2 are required for normal male sexual development in mice. *Dev Biol* 2009; 326: 273–84.
- 57 Johnston DS, Jelinsky SA, Bang HJ, DiCandeloro P, Wilson E, et al. The mouse epididymal transcriptome: transcriptional profiling of segmental gene expression in the epididymis. *Biol Reprod* 2005; 73: 404–13.
- 58 Abou-Haīla A, Fain-Maurel MA. Regional differences of the proximal part of mouse epididymis: morphological and histochemical characterization. *Anat Rec* 1984; 209: 197–208.
- 59 Turner TT, Riley TA. p53 independent, region-specific epithelial apoptosis is induced in the rat epididymis by deprivation of luminal factors. *Mol Reprod Dev* 1999; 53: 188–97.
- 60 Xu B, Abdel-Fattah R, Yang L, Crenshaw SA, Black MB, et al. Testicular lumicrine factors regulate ERK, STAT, and NFKB pathways in the initial segment of the rat epididymis to prevent apoptosis. *Biol Reprod* 2011; 84: 1282–91.
- 61 Lan ZJ, Labus JC, Hinton BT. Regulation of gamma-glutamyl transpeptidase catalytic activity and protein level in the initial segment of the rat epididymis by testicular factors: role of basic fibroblast growth factor. *Biol Reprod* 1998; 58: 197–206.
- 62 Kirby JL, Yang L, Labus JC, Hinton BT. Characterization of fibroblast growth factor receptors expressed in principal cells in the initial segment of the rat epididymis. *Biol Reprod* 2003; 68: 2314–21.
- 63 Sonnenberg E, Gödecke A, Walter B, Bladt F, Birchmeier C. Transient and locally restricted expression of the ros1 protooncogene during mouse development. *EMBO* J 1991; 10: 3693–702.
- 64 Tessarollo L, Nagarajan L, Parada LF. c-ros: the vertebrate homolog of the sevenless tyrosine kinase receptor is tightly regulated during organogenesis in mouse embryonic development. *Development* 1992; 115: 11–20.
- 65 Chen J, Zong CS, Wang LH. Tissue and epithelial cell-specific expression of chicken proto-oncogene c-ros in several organs suggests that it may play roles in their development and mature functions. *Oncogene* 1994; 9: 773–80.
- 66 Keilhack H, Müller M, Böhmer SA, Frank C, Weidner KM, et al. Negative regulation of Ros receptor tyrosine kinase signaling. An epithelial function of the SH2 domain protein tyrosine phosphatase SHP-1. J Cell Biol 2001; 152: 325–34.
- 67 Xu B, Yang L, Lye RJ, Hinton BT. p-MAPK1/3 and DUSP6 regulate epididymal cell proliferation and survival in a region-specific manner in mice. *Biol Reprod* 2010; 83: 807–17.
- 68 Xu B, Yang L, Hinton BT. The role of fibroblast growth factor receptor substrate 2 (FRS2) in the regulation of two activity levels of the components of the extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK) pathway in the mouse epididymis. *Biol Reprod* 2013; 89: 1–13.
- 69 Simanainen U, McNamara K, Davey RA, Zajac JD, Handelsman DJ. Severe subfertility in mice with androgen receptor inactivation in sex accessory organs but not in testis. *Endocrinology* 2008; 149: 3330–8.
- 70 Krutskikh A, De Gendt K, Sharp V, Verhoeven G, Poutanen M, et al. Targeted inactivation of the androgen receptor gene in murine proximal epididymis causes epithelial hypotrophy and obstructive azoospermia. Endocrinology 2011; 152: 689–96.
- 71 O'Hara L, Welsh M, Saunders PT, Smith LB. Androgen receptor expression in the caput epididymal epithelium is essential for development of the initial segment and epididymal spermatozoa transit. *Endocrinology* 2011; 152: 718–29.
- 72 Sun EL, Flickinger CJ. Development of cell types and of regional differences in the postnatal rat epididymis. Am J Anat 1979; 154: 27–55.
- 73 Da Silva N, Cortez-Retamozo V, Reinecker HC, Wildgruber M, Hill E, et al. A dense network of dendritic cells populates the murine epididymis. *Reproduction* 2011; 141: 653–63.
- 74 Shum WW, Da Silva N, McKee M, Smith PJ, Brown D, et al. Transepithelial projections from basal cells are luminal sensors in pseudostratified epithelia. *Cell* 2008; 135: 1108–17.
- 75 Björkgren I, Saastamoinen L, Krutskikh A, Huhtaniemi I, Poutanen M, et al. Dicer1 ablation in the mouse epididymis causes dedifferentiation of the epithelium and imbalance in sex steroid signaling. PLoS One 2012; 7: e38457.
- 76 Ma W, Xie S, Ni M, Huang X, Hu S, et al. MicroRNA-29a inhibited epididymal epithelial cell proliferation by targeting nuclear autoantigenic sperm protein (NASP). J Biol Chem 2012; 287: 10189–99.
- 77 Ma W, Hu S, Yao G, Xie S, Ni M, et al. An androgen receptor-microrna-29a regulatory circuitry in mouse epididymis. J Biol Chem 2013; 288: 29369–81.
- 78 McGinnis W, Krumlauf R. Homeobox genes and axial patterning. Cell 1992; 68: 283–302.
- 79 Hsieh-Li HM, Witte DP, Weinstein M, Branford W, Li H, et al. Hoxa 11 structure, extensive antisense transcription, and function in male and female fertility. *Development* 1995; 121: 1373–85.

755

- 80 Podlasek CA, Seo RM, Clemens JQ, Ma L, Maas RL, et al. Hoxa-10 deficient male mice exhibit abnormal development of the accessory sex organs. *Dev Dyn* 1999; 214: 1–12.
- 81 Bomgardner D, Hinton BT, Turner TT. Hox transcription factors may play a role in regulating segmental function of the adult epididymis. J Androl 2001; 22: 527–31.
- 82 Snyder EM, Small CL, Bomgardner D, Xu B, Evanoff R, et al. Gene expression in the efferent ducts, epididymis, and vas deferens during embryonic development of the mouse. Dev Dyn 2010; 239: 2479–91.
- 83 Jequier AM, Ansell ID, Bullimore NJ. Congenital absence of the vasa deferentia presenting with infertility. J Androl 1985; 6: 15–9.
- 84 Anguiano A, Oates RD, Amos JA, Dean M, Gerrard B, et al. Congenital bilateral absence of the vas deferens. A primarily genital form of cystic fibrosis. JAMA 1992; 267: 1794–7.
- 85 Augarten A, Yahav Y, Kerem BS, Halle D, Laufer J, et al. Congenital bilateral absence of vas deferens in the absence of cystic fibrosis. *Lancet* 1994; 344: 1473–4.
- 86 McCallum T, Milunsky J, Munarriz R, Carson R, Sadeghi-Nejad H, et al. Unilateral renal agenesis associated with congenital bilateral absence of the vas deferens: phenotypic findings and genetic considerations. *Hum Reprod* 2001; 16: 282–8.
- 87 Pope JC 4<sup>th</sup>, Brock JW 3<sup>rd</sup>, Adams MC, Stephens FD, Ichikawa I. How they begin and how they end: classic and new theories for the development and deterioration of congenital anomalies of the kidney and urinary tract, CAKUT. J Am Soc Nephrol 1999; 10: 2018–28.
- 88 Abdelhak S, Kalatzis V, Heilig R, Compain S, Samson D, et al. A human homologue of the Drosophila eyes absent gene underlies branchio-oto-renal (BOR) syndrome and identifies a novel gene family. Nat Genet 1997; 15: 157–64.
- 89 Kochhar A, Orten DJ, Sorensen JL, Fischer SM, Cremers CW, et al. SIX1 mutation screening in 247 branchio-oto-renal syndrome families: a recurrent missense mutation associated with BOR. Hum Mutat 2008; 29: 565.
- 90 Afzal AR, Rajab A, Fenske CD, Oldridge M, Elanko N, et al. Recessive Robinow syndrome, allelic to dominant brachydactyly type B, is caused by mutation of ROR2. *Nat Genet* 2000; 25: 419–22.
- 91 Person AD, Beiraghi S, Sieben CM, Hermanson S, Neumann AN, et al. WNT5A mutations in patients with autosomal dominant Robinow syndrome. *Dev Dyn* 2010; 239: 327–37.
- 92 Girgis SM, Etriby AN, Ibrahim AA, Kahil SA. Testicular biopsy in azoospermia. A review of the last ten years' experiences of over 800 cases. *Fertil Steril* 1969; 20: 467–77.

- 93 Kroovand RL, Perlmutter AD. Congenital anomalies of the vas deferens and epididymis. In: SJ Hogan and ESE Hafez, editors. Pediatric Andrology. Boston: Martinus Nijhoff Publishers; 1981. p. 173–80.
- 94 Turek PJ, Ewalt DH, Snyder HM, Duckett JW. Normal epididymal anatomy in boys. J Urol 1994: 151: 726–7.
- 95 Zvizdic Z, Huskic J, Dizdarevic S, Karavdic K, Zvizdic D. Incidence of epididymal disjunction anomalies associated with an undescended testis. *Folia Med* 2009; 44: 65–8.
- 96 Tzouanacou E, Wegener A, Wymeersch FJ, Wilson V, Nicolas JF. Redefining the progression of lineage segregations during mammalian embryogenesis by clonal analysis. *Dev Cell* 2009; 17: 365–76.
- 97 Takemoto T, Uchikawa M, Yoshida M, Bell DM, Lovell-Badge R, et al. Tbx6-dependent Sox2 regulation determines neural or mesodermal fate in axial stem cells. Nature 2011; 470: 394–8.
- 98 Chia I, Grote D, Marcotte M, Batourina E, Mendelsohn C, et al. Nephric duct insertion is a crucial step in urinary tract maturation that is regulated by a Gata3-Raldh2-Ret molecular network in mice. *Development* 2011; 138: 2089–97.
- 99 Pole RJ, Qi BQ, Beasley SW. Patterns of apoptosis during degeneration of the pronephros and mesonephros. *J Urol* 2002; 167: 269–71.
- 100 Wang Q, Lan Y, Cho ES, Maltby KM, Jiang R. Odd-skipped related 1 (Odd 1) is an essential regulator of heart and urogenital development. *Dev Biol* 2005; 288: 582–94.
- 101 Mattiske D, Kume T, Hogan BL. The mouse forkhead gene Foxc1 is required for primordial germ cell migration and antral follicle development. *Dev Biol* 2006; 290: 447–58.
- 102 Schuchardt A, D'Agati V, Pachnis V, Costantini F. Renal agenesis and hypodysplasia in ret-k- mutant mice result from defects in ureteric bud development. *Development* 1996; 122: 1919–29.
- 103 Niederreither K, Subbarayan V, Dollé P, Chambon P. Embryonic retinoic acid synthesis is essential for early mouse post-implantation development. *Nat Genet* 1999; 21: 444–8.
- 104 Hoshii T, Takeo T, Nakagata N, Takeya M, Araki K, *et al.* LGR4 regulates the postnatal development and integrity of male reproductive tracts in mice. *Biol Reprod* 2007; 76: 303–13.
- 105 Mendive F, Laurent P, Van Schoore G, Skarnes W, Pochet R, *et al.* Defective postnatal development of the male reproductive tract in LGR4 knockout mice. *Dev Biol* 2006; 290: 421–34.

Asian Journal of Andrology

#### **DEVELOPMENTAL BIOLOGY**

## Elimination of the male reproductive tract in the female embryo is promoted by COUP-TFII in mice

Fei Zhao,<sup>1</sup> Heather L. Franco,<sup>1</sup> Karina F. Rodriguez,<sup>1</sup> Paula R. Brown,<sup>1</sup> Ming-Jer Tsai,<sup>2</sup> Sophia Y. Tsai,<sup>2</sup> Humphrey H.-C. Yao<sup>1\*</sup>

The sexual differentiation paradigm contends that the female pattern of the reproductive system is established by default because the male reproductive tracts (Wolffian ducts) in the female degenerate owing to a lack of androgen. Here, we discovered that female mouse embryos lacking *Coup-tfll* (chicken ovalbumin upstream promoter transcription factor II) in the Wolffian duct mesenchyme became intersex—possessing both female and male reproductive tracts. Retention of Wolffian ducts was not caused by ectopic androgen production or action. Instead, enhanced phosphorylated extracellular signal-regulated kinase signaling in Wolffian duct epithelium was responsible for the retention of Molffian ducts in female embryos is actively promoted by COUP-TFII, which suppresses a mesenchyme-epithelium cross-talk responsible for Wolffian duct maintenance.

exually dimorphic establishment of reproductive tracts epitomizes the anatomical difference between males and females. This dimorphic establishment depends on two concurrent events during embryogenesis: regression of one of the two primitive ducts (Müllerian and Wolffian ducts) and maintenance of the other. These two events ensure that the embryo retains only one reproductive tract that corresponds to its sex: Müllerian duct for the XX individual and Wolffian duct for the XY individual (1). In the 1950s, Alfred Jost provided the first evidence for what became the foundation of the sexual differentiation paradigm: XY embryos retain Wolffian ducts through the action of testis-derived androgen, whereas XX embryos lose Wolffian ducts as a result of a lack of androgens (2-5).

The action of androgen on the Wolffian duct is mediated through androgen receptors in the mesenchyme surrounding Wolffian ducts (6, 7). It is well established that mesenchyme-derived factors govern the fate and differentiation of ductal epithelium (8). The orphan nuclear receptor COUP-TFII (chicken ovalbumin upstream promoter transcription factor II, or NR2F2) is a mesenchyme-specific regulator in many developing organs, including the mesonephros, where Wolffian ducts develop (9). COUP-TFII expression in Wolffian duct mesenchyme overlapped with Wilms' Tumor 1 (WT1) (fig. S1A), another mesenchyme-specific transcriptional factor (10). To investigate the role of COUP-TFII in Wolffian duct regression, we used the tamoxifen-inducible WtI<sup>CreERT2</sup> mouse model that targeted Coup-tfII deletion in  $WtI^+$  mesenchymal cells (fig. S1B). In the control ( $WtI^{CreERT2^-}$ ;Coup-tfII<sup>f/f</sup>) female, COUP-TFII remained in the mesenchymal cells of mesonephroi from embryonic day 12.5 (E12.5) to E16.5 (fig. S1, C to E), the developmental window that encompassed initiation (E14.5) and completion (E16.5) of Wolffian duct regression in XX embryos (fig. 2SA). In the knockout ( $Wtl^{CreERT2+}$ ;Coup-tfII<sup>ff</sup>) female, COUP-TFII ablation began 24 hours after the first tamoxifen injection (fig. S1F) and was completed by E14.5 (fig. S1, G and H). Ablation of Coup-tfII was further confirmed with reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) (fig. S2B). These results demonstrated an efficient ablation of CouptfII in WT1-positive Wolffian duct mesenchyme in XX embryos.

The impact of Coup-tfII ablation on XX mesonephroi was first examined at E18.5, when dimorphic development of reproductive tracts is completed. The control XX embryos contained only Müllerian ducts that were visualized by immunostaining of the epithelial marker PAX2 (Fig. 1A). Other control genotypes that include Wt1<sup>CreERT2+</sup>;Coup-tf11<sup>f/+</sup> and Wt1<sup>CreERT2+</sup>;Coup*tfII*<sup>+/+</sup> female embryos also developed normally, with only Müllerian ducts (fig. S3A). Knockout XX littermates, however, had both Müllerian and Wolffian ducts in the mesonephros (Fig. 1D). The identity of the Wolffian duct was confirmed by the presence of Wolffian duct epithelium marker transcription factor AP-2 $\alpha$  (AP-2 $\alpha$ ) (Fig. 1, B and E) (7). Embryos in which Coup-tfII was knocked out died soon after birth. We therefore developed an organ culture system that allowed us to maintain E18.5 XX mesonephros with ovaries for 7 days to investigate whether Wolffian ducts remained present postnatally. At the end of culture, Wolffian ducts were still present in knockout tissues, along with the components of female reproductive tracts (Fig. 1, C and F), indicating that Wolffian duct maintenance in knockout XX persisted after birth and was not a transient event.

Retention of Wolffian ducts in the Coup-tfII knockout XX embryo points to a possible action of androgens based on the Jost paradigm (11). Wt1<sup>CreERT2</sup> targets Coup-tfII deletion not only in mesonephroi but also somatic cells of the ovary (12), raising the possibility that an ovary in which Coup-tfII has been knocked out could synthesize androgens ectopically. We compared the transcriptomes between control and knockout ovaries at E14.5 and E16.5, during which Wolffian duct regression occurs. The transcriptome of the knockout ovary was not different from the control ovary, with the exception of 10 differentially expressed genes (including Coup-tfII) (table S1). None of these genes were associated with androgen production. Furthermore, mRNA expression of two rate-limiting enzymes-hydroxy-δ-5-steroid dehydrogenase,  $3\beta$ - and steroid  $\delta$ -isomerase 1(Hsd3b1) and cytochrome P450 17A1 (Cyp17a1)for steroidogenesis was not different between control and knockout ovaries and nearly undetectable compared with the wild-type fetal testis (a positive control) (Fig. 2, A and B). A lack of androgen-producing capacity in the ovary was corroborated with unchanged anogenital distance (AGD), an androgen-sensitive parameter, between control and knockout XX at E18.5 (Fig. 2C). To exclude the possibility that androgens came from other resources in the knockout embryo, we removed the mesonephroi from XX embryos and cultured them for 4 days. After culture, Wolffian ducts regressed in control XX mesonephros, as expected, whereas in knockout XX, either in the presence or absence of ovaries, Wolffian ducts were maintained (Fig. 2D and fig. S3B). These results indicated a lack of androgen production in the XX knockout ovaries and led us to speculate that Wolffian duct retention in XX embryos could be the result of ectopic activation of the androgen pathway in the absence of Coup-tfII. This possibility was excluded based on of the finding that expression of androgen receptor (Ar) and two and rogen-induced genesfolate hydrolase 1 (Folh1) and solute carrier family 26 member 3 (Slc26a3) (13, 14)-was not different between control and knockout XX mesonephroi (Fig. 2, E to G). To rule out the involvement of androgens, we exposed the dam that carried control and knockout embryos to the androgen antagonist flutamide (Fig. 2H) (15). This regimen was sufficient to prevent Wolffian duct maintenance resulting from ectopic androgen action in XX embryos (16). Despite the verified action of flutamide (fig. S4), Wolffian ducts were still retained in knockout XX embryos (Fig. 2H). Thus, the maintenance of Wolffian ducts in the Coup-tfII knockout XX embryo is not due to ectopic production or action of androgens.

To identify the androgen-independent mechanism underlying Wolffian duct retention in the female in which *Coup-tfII* had been knocked out, we turned our attention to epidermal growth factor (EGF) and fibroblast growth factor (FGF) signaling pathways for their putative ability to promote Wolffian duct maintenance (*17*, *18*). We first examined by means of RT-PCR the expression of *Egf* and its receptor *Egfr*. Their expression

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Reproductive Developmental Biology Group, National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, Durham, NC 27709, USA. <sup>2</sup>Department of Molecular and Cellular Biology, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX 77030, USA. \*Corresponding author. Email: humphrey.yao@nih.gov



**Fig. 1.** *Coup-tfll* **ablation leads to Wolffian duct retention in the XX embryo.** Control and *Coup-tfll* knockout reproductive systems from E18.5 XX embryos were analyzed with (**A** and **D**) whole-mount immunofluorescence for the epithelial marker PAX2 or (**B** and **E**) AP-2 $\alpha$  on frozen sections. (**C** and **F**) Control and knockout mesonephroi from E18.5 XX embryos were cultured for 7 days and analyzed with bright field

microscopy or (insets) AP-2 $\alpha$  immunofluorescence on frozen sections. Blue arrows indicate Wolffian ducts, magenta arrows indicate Müllerian ducts, and asterisks indicate ovary. ov, oviduct; ut, uterus; va, vagina. Scales bars, (A), (C), (D), (F), 0.5 mm; (B), (C) inset, (E), and (F) inset, 50  $\mu$ m. n = 14 embryos in (A); n = 3 embryos per each genotype in (B), (C), (E), (F), and n = 23 embryos in (D).



**Fig. 2. Wolffian duct retention in** *Coup-tfll* **knockout XX embryo is independent of androgen production or action.** (**A** and **B**) mRNA expression of two rate-limiting steroidogenic enzymes, *Hsd3b1* and *Cyp17a1*, in E14.5 control testis (light blue), control ovary (light pink), and knockout ovary (dark pink). (**C**) AGD of the control and knockout embryos at E18.5. (**D**) PAX2 whole-mount immunofluorescence of ovaries and mesonephroi after 4-day culture. *n* = 7 embryos per genotype. (**E** to **G**) mRNA expression of androgen receptor *Ar* and androgen-responsive genes (*Slc26a3* and *Folh1*) in control XY, control XX, and knockout XX mesonephroi. Results are shown as mean ± SEM.

Asterisks in (A), (B), (C), (F), and (G) represent statistical significance of P < 0.05 compared with either control or knockout XX samples by means of one-way analysis of variance followed by Tukey's test [n = 8 embryos per each group in (A) and (B), n = 8 to 11 embryos in (C); and n = 8 embryos in (E) to (G)]. (H) Knockout XX embryos were exposed to either vehicle (oil) or androgen receptor antagonist flutamide in utero once daily from E12.5 to E17.5. Samples were collected at E18.5 and analyzed with PAX2 whole-mount immunofluorescence. n = 3 embryos per genotype. Blue arrows indicate Wolffian ducts, magenta arrows indicate Müllerian ducts, and white asterisk indicates ovary. Scale bar, (D) and (H), 0.5 mm.



**Fig. 3. Enhanced FGF signaling is involved in Wolffian duct retention in the absence of** *Couptfll.* (**A**) mRNA expression of FGF receptors and ligands in the mesonephroi at E14.5. UD, undetected. Results are shown as mean ± SEM. Asterisks represent statistical significance of P < 0.05 compared with control females by using Student's *t* test (n = 8 embryos for each genotype). (**B**) Whole-mount immunofluorescence of PAX2 of 2-day cultured E14.5 wild-type XX mesonephroi in the presence of vehicle, or FGF7, FGF10, or FGF7+FGF10. (**C**) p-ERK immunohistochemistry of control and knockout XX mesonephroi at E14.5. (**D**) PAX2 whole-mount immunofluorescence in vehicle- or p-ERK inhibitor–treated knockout XX genital ridges. n = 3 embryos per group in (B) to (D). Scale bars, (B) and (D), 0.5 mm; (C) 50 μm. Blue arrows indicate Wolffian ducts, magenta arrows indicate Müllerian ducts, and white asterisk indicates ovary.

was not different between control and knockout XX mesonephroi (fig. S5A). Components of FGF signaling, in contrast, exhibited distinct changes in the absence of Coup-tfII. FGFR2 is the major FGF receptor in the Wolffian duct epithelium (19), and its binding ligands include FGF1, -3, -7, -10, -21, and -22 (20). mRNA expression of FGF receptors (Fgfr1, Fgfr2-b, and Fgfr2-c) and most ligands were unaltered (Fgf1 and Fgf3) or undetectable (Fgf21 and Fgf22) in knockout XX mesonephroi compared with the control (Fig. 3A). However, expression of Fgf7 and Fgf10 was increased significantly in knockout XX mesonephroi at E14.5 (Fig. 3A) and E16.5 (fig. S5B). To investigate whether FGF7 and FGF10 were capable of reproducing the Wolffian duct maintenance phenotype in the wild-type female, we cultured E14.5 wild-type XX mesonephroi for 2 days in the presence of vehicle, FGF7, FGF10, or FGF7+FGF10. In the vehicle-treated group, Wolffian ducts regressed after 2-day culture, similar to the in vivo sit-

uation. In contrast, presence of FGF7, FGF10, or FGF7+FGF10 maintained the Wolffian duct in the wild-type XX mesonephroi (Fig. 3B).

FGF7 and FGF10 are expressed in the mesonephric mesenchyme, the same cellular compartment as COUP-TFII (21, 22). These FGFs bind FGFR2 in Wolffian duct epithelium and activate two intracellular signaling components, phosphorylated protein kinase B (p-AKT) and phosphorylated extracellular signal-regulated kinase (p-ERK) (20). Loss of Coup-tfII did not change p-AKT activation in Wolffian ducts (fig. S5C). The presence of p-ERK, conversely, became detected in Wolffian duct epithelium of knockout XX compared with the control XX at E14.5 (Fig. 3C) and E16.5 (fig. S5D). These results indicate that loss of mesenchymal Coup-tfII led to an enhanced activity of p-ERK signaling in Wolffian duct epithelium. We then tested whether elevated p-ERK signaling was the cause of Wolffian duct maintenance by culturing the Coup-tfII knockout mesonephroi with a p-ERK specific inhibitor PD0325901 (23). In the vehicle-treated group, Wolffian ducts in the knockout XX were maintained in culture. Conversely, the p-ERK inhibitor eliminated Wolffian ducts in the knockout XX mesonephroi (Fig. 3D), indicating that enhanced p-ERK signaling was involved in Wolffian duct retention in the *Coup*tfI knockout XX embryo.

We have shown that instead of a passive process occurring as a result of the absence of androgens, elimination of the male reproductive tract in the female embryo is actively promoted by COUP-TFII through its action in the Wolffian duct mesenchyme. COUP-TFII in the mesenchyme inhibits expression of FGFs, which otherwise activate the p-ERK pathway in the Wolffian duct epithelium for its maintenance. The function of COUP-TFII in facilitating Wolffian duct elimination is not restricted to XX embryos; when the testis was removed from the Coup-tfII knockout XY mesonephros, Wolffian ducts remained present despite a lack of androgens (fig. S6). These findings reveal unexpected mechanisms underlying the dimorphic development of the Wolffian ducts via COUP-TFII. In addition, maintenance of male reproductive tracts without androgens prompts a reassessment of the role of androgens in this process, which presumably is to antagonize the action of COUP-TFII.

#### REFERENCES AND NOTES

- A. Kobayashi, R. R. Behringer, Nat. Rev. Genet. 4, 969–980 (2003).
- A. Jost, Arch. Anat. Microsc. Morphol. Exp. 36, 271–315 (1947).
- A. Jost, *Recent Prog. Horm. Res.* 8, 379–418 (1953).
   M. Welsh, P. T. Saunders, N. I. Marchetti, R. M. Sharpe,
- 4. M. Weish, F. T. Saunders, N. T. Marchetti, K. M. Sharpe, Endocrinology 147, 4820–4830 (2006).
- M. B. Renfree, J. Fenelon, G. Wijayanti, J. D. Wilson, G. Shaw, Dev. Biol. 334, 429–436 (2009).
- 6. G. R. Cunha et al., J. Androl. **13**, 465–475 (1992).
- 7. A. Murashima et al., Endocrinology **152**, 1640–1651 (2011).
- S. J. Higgins, P. Young, G. R. Cunha, *Development* **106**, 235–250 (1989).
- F. G. Petit et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 104, 6293–6298 (2007).
- J. F. Armstrong, K. Pritchard-Jones, W. A. Bickmore, N. D. Hastie, J. B. Bard, *Mech. Dev.* 40, 85–97 (1993).
- A. Jost, D. Price, R. G. Edwards, *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B* Biol. Sci. 259, 119–130 (1970).
- C. Liu, J. Peng, M. M. Matzuk, H. H. Yao, *Nat. Commun.* 6, 6934 (2015).
- E. A. Mostaghel et al., Cancer Res. 70, 1286–1295 (2010).
- E. M. Snyder, C. L. Small, Y. Li, M. D. Griswold, *Biol. Reprod.* 81, 707–716 (2009).
- M. H. Tan, J. Li, H. E. Xu, K. Melcher, E. L. Yong, Acta Pharmacol. Sin. 36, 3–23 (2015).
- 16. M. Heikkilä et al., Endocrinology **146**, 4016–4023 (2005).
- A. Maeshima et al., J. Am. Soc. Nephrol. 18, 3147–3155 (2007).
- C. Gupta, S. Siegel, D. Ellis, *Dev. Biol.* 146, 106–116 (1991).
- 19. M. Okazawa et al., Dev. Biol. 400, 139–147 (2015). 20. N. Turner, R. Grose, Nat. Rev. Cancer 10, 116–129
- (2010). 21 R. W. Einch, C. R. Cumba, J. S. Rubin, J. Wong, D. F.
- P. W. Finch, G. R. Cunha, J. S. Rubin, J. Wong, D. Ron, *Dev. Dyn.* 203, 223–240 (1995).
- A. A. Thomson, G. R. Cunha, *Development* 126, 3693–3701 (1999).
- A. Akinleye, M. Furqan, N. Mukhi, P. Ravella, D. Liu, J. Hematol. Oncol. 6, 27 (2013).

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank B. McIntyre, L. Roberts, and D. McClain for AGD measurement instruction, Wolffian duct culture instruction, and colony maintenance, respectively. This research was supported by the NIH Intramural Research Fund Z01-ES102965 to H.H.-C.Y., extramural research fund DK59820 and HL114539 to S.Y.T. and M.-J.T., and DK45641 to M.-J.T. Microarray data have been

deposited in Gene Expression Omnibus under accession no. GSE100015.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

www.sciencemag.org/content/357/6352/717/suppl/DC1 Materials and Methods Figs. S1 to S6 Tables S1 and S2 References

30 August 2016; resubmitted 18 May 2017 Accepted 21 June 2017 10.1126/science.aai9136

# Science

#### Elimination of the male reproductive tract in the female embryo is promoted by COUP-TFII in mice

Fei Zhao, Heather L. Franco, Karina F. Rodriguez, Paula R. Brown, Ming-Jer Tsai, Sophia Y. Tsai and Humphrey H.-C. Yao

Science 357 (6352), 717-720. DOI: 10.1126/science.aai9136

#### The makings of the reproductive tract

Every embryo, regardless of its sex, contains both male and female primitive reproductive tracts before sexual differentiation. To establish a sex-specific reproductive system, female embryos need to remove the components of male tracts. The general consensus contends that removal of the male tracts occurs by default, a passive outcome owing to a lack of testis-derived androgens. Working in mice, Zhao *et al.* discovered that this process instead was actively promoted by the transcription factor COUP-TFII (see the Perspective by Swain). Without the action of this factor, embryos retained male reproductive tracts, independently of androgen action. These findings unveil unexpected mechanisms underlying the sexually dimorphic establishment of reproductive tracts. *Science*, this issue p. 717; see also p. 648

ARTICLE TOOLS	http://science.sciencemag.org/content/357/6352/717
SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS	http://science.sciencemag.org/content/suppl/2017/08/16/357.6352.717.DC1
RELATED CONTENT	http://science.sciencemag.org/content/sci/357/6352/648.full http://stm.sciencemag.org/content/scitransmed/4/117/117ra8.full
REFERENCES	This article cites 23 articles, 5 of which you can access for free http://science.sciencemag.org/content/357/6352/717#BIBL
PERMISSIONS	http://www.sciencemag.org/help/reprints-and-permissions

Use of this article is subject to the Terms of Service

Science (print ISSN 0036-8075; online ISSN 1095-9203) is published by the American Association for the Advancement of Science, 1200 New York Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20005. The title Science is a registered trademark of AAAS.

Copyright © 2017 The Authors, some rights reserved; exclusive licensee American Association for the Advancement of Science. No claim to original U.S. Government Works

#### **REVIEW ARTICLE**

#### Correspondence:

Ina Dobrinski, University of Calgary, Room 404, Heritage Medical Research Building, 3300 Hospital Drive NW, Calgary, AL, CanadaT2N 4N1. E-mail: idobrins@ucalgary.ca

#### Keywords:

cell-cell interaction, germ cell niche, morphogenesis, testicular organoids

Received: 16-Apr-2019 Revised: 3-Jun-2019 Accepted: 19-Jun-2019

doi: 10.1111/andr.12680

#### ABSTRACT

## Testicular organoids to study cell– cell interactions in the mammalian testis

<sup>1,2</sup>S. Sakib, <sup>1,2</sup>T. Goldsmith, <sup>2</sup>A. Voigt and <sup>1,2</sup>I. Dobrinski ib

<sup>1</sup>Biochemistry & Molecular Biology, Cumming School of Medicine, University of Calgary, Calgary, AL, Canada, and <sup>2</sup>Comparative Biology and Experimental Medicine, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Calgary, Calgary, AL, Canada

**Background:** Over the last ten years, three-dimensional organoid culture has garnered renewed interest, as organoids generated from primary cells or stem cells with cell associations and functions similar to organs in vivo can be a powerful tool to study tissue-specific cell–cell interactions in vitro. Very recently, a few interesting approaches have been put forth for generating testicular organoids for studying the germ cell niche microenvironment.

*Aim:* To review different model systems that have been employed to study germ cell biology and testicular cell–cell interactions and discuss how the organoid approach can address some of the shortcomings of those systems.

**Results and Conclusion:** Testicular organoids that bear architectural and functional similarities to their in vivo counterparts are a powerful model system to study cell–cell interactions in the germ cell niche. Organoids enable studying samples in humans and other large animals where in vivo experiments are not possible, allow modeling of testicular disease and malignancies and may provide a platform to design more precise therapeutic interventions.

#### INTRODUCTION

Historically, the term 'organoid' has been used to describe different three-dimensional (3D) cell culture systems such as organ cultures (Barcellos-Hoff et al., 1989); 3D cell clusters derived from tissue-specific primary cells or from adult and/or pluripotent stem cells (Simian et al., 2001; Sato et al., 2009; Shamir & Ewald, 2014; Huch & Koo, 2015; Matano et al., 2015; Schepers et al., 2016; Czerniecki et al., 2018; Forsythe et al., 2018). However, for this review, we will be using the definition of the term 'organoid' put forth by Fatehullah and colleagues in 2016 as we find it to be most appropriate: 'Here we define an organoid as an in vitro 3D cellular cluster derived exclusively from primary tissue, embryonic stem cells, or induced pluripotent stem cells, capable of self-renewal and self-organization, and exhibiting similar organ functionality as the tissue of origin' (Fatehullah et al., 2016). Methodologies for the derivation of organoids from primary cells and stem cells have been well established for different organ systems. While stem cell-derived organoids can be a useful model to study development, organoids produced by selforganization of primary cells can be utilized to study tissuespecific morphogenesis, cellular function, and effects of different experimental factors.

A number of different organs such as intestine (Sato et al., 2009), stomach (Barker et al., 2010), pancreas (Huch et al., 2013), liver (Takebe et al., 2013), vasculature (Morgan et al., 2013), colon (Sato et al., 2011), pancreas (Boj et al., 2015), mammary gland (Simian et al., 2001), and brain (Quadrato et al., 2017) have been modeled in 3D organoid systems. These organoids displayed cell associations and functions similar to their in vivo counterparts. As a result, these organoids can serve as an intermediary between conventional two-dimensional (2D) cultures and animal models. Compared to 2D cultures on plastic plates, 3D organoids composed of different cell types with a tissue-specific architecture and function are more biologically relevant for studying tissue microenvironments. They can also be used to study the effects of different experimental factors on the niche environment and cell-cell interactions (Jabs et al., 2017; McCauley & Wells, 2017; Czerniecki et al., 2018; Forsythe et al., 2018). Organoids derived from both primary and stem cells allow easier and greater access for modulating signal transduction pathways compared to animal models (Lancaster & Knoblich, 2014; Shamir & Ewald, 2014; Fatehullah et al., 2016).

Despite increased interest in organoid systems over the last decade, it is only very recently that testicular organoids have

started to garner interest (Alves-Lopes *et al.*, 2017; Baert *et al.*, 2017; Pendergraft *et al.*, 2017; Strange *et al.*, 2018; Sakib *et al.*, 2019). In this review, we discuss the different model systems that have been used to study the germ cell niche to illustrate the utility of organoids in the context of existing approaches. Then, we will discuss how the recently reported testicular organoid systems may be employed to understand the niche maintenance, cell interactions, and important testicular functions such as spermatogenesis and testosterone production.

## IMPORTANCE OF UNDERSTANDING THE GERM CELL NICHE

The mammalian testis is a complex multicellular system that carries out two primary functions, namely production of spermatozoa and androgens (Tesarik et al., 1998; Oatley & Brinster, 2012). The testis is broadly divided into two distinct compartments-the seminiferous tubules and interstitial tissue (Fig. 1). Sertoli and germ cells are the primary cell types of the seminiferous epithelium. Undifferentiated germ cells are localized along the basement membrane in the basal compartment of the epithelium and remain in close contact with Sertoli cells (Oatley & Brinster, 2012). Sertoli cells produce factors like glial cell linederived neurotrophic factor (GDNF) (Tadokoro et al., 2002), fibroblast growth factor 2 (FGF2) (Mullaney & Skinner, 1992), and Wnt ligands (Tanwar et al., 2010; Takase & Nusse, 2016) to maintain germ cell homeostasis. Sertoli cells also produce extracellular matrix (ECM) proteins collagen IV and laminin to contribute to the basement membrane. Adjacent Sertoli cells form tight junctions which constitute the blood-testis barrier that divides the seminiferous epithelium into the basal and adluminal compartments (Richardson et al., 1995; Oatley & Brinster, 2012). During spermatogenesis, the undifferentiated germ cells migrate from the basal compartment to the adluminal compartment and differentiate into spermatocytes, spermatids, and spermatozoa. The interstitium is mainly composed of peritubular myoid cells, Leydig cells, endothelial cells, and testicular macrophages (Oatley & Brinster, 2012; DeFalco et al., 2015; Bhang et al., 2018). A primary function of Leydig cells is to produce testosterone for maintenance of spermatogenesis (Lejeune et al., 1998). The peritubular myoid cells produce growth factors such as GDNF and CSF1 (Chen et al., 2016; de Rooij 2017, Oatley et al., 2009) and ECM proteins such as collagen IV, laminin, and fibronectin which contribute to the basement membrane (Richardson et al., 1995). Spermatogenesis relies on the cell-cell interactions in the germ cell niche. The relative cell associations of different testicular somatic cells and germ cells in the testicular microenvironment allow for spatial and temporal regulation of cell-cell signaling to control germ cell fate (de Rooij, 2001; Oatley & Brinster, 2012; Spradling et al. 2001) (Fig. 1).

Around 7% of men suffer from infertility (Krausz, 2011). Pathophysiological conditions, exposure to environmental chemicals, radiation, cancer, and chemotherapeutic agents can lead to infertility (Schrader *et al.*, 2001; Siu *et al.*, 2009; Kashir *et al.*, 2010; Sharpe, 2010; Krausz, 2011; Lee & Shin, 2013; Cheng, 2014). A thorough understanding of the germ cell niche would allow for better intervention strategies for infertility. Storage of spermatozoa is a common fertility preservation technique for people undergoing chemotherapy. However, for pre-pubertal boys that is not possible. From pre-pubertal individuals, a small testicular biopsy containing germ cells may be

Figure 1 Schematic representation of the germ cell niche. Undifferentiated germ cells including spermatogonial stem cells are localized at the basement membrane and remain in close contact with the Sertoli cells inside the seminiferous epithelium. Peritubular myoid cells line the outer perimeter of the basement membrane, and Leydig cells, vascular cells, and testicular macrophages are located in the interstitium. As spermatogonia differentiate, they traverse the tight junctions and move from the basal to the adluminal compartment of the seminiferous epithelium.



cryopreserved that could later be transplanted back to the patient (Fayomi *et al.*, 2019), germ cells could be transplanted into the testes to rebuild the depleted germ cell pool or propagated by in vitro spermatogenesis. Thus, study of the germ cell niche and factors that allow for efficient germ cell colonization and spermatogenesis can lead to better fertility preservation (in vitro maturation and spermatogenesis) and/or restoration strategies (germ cell transplantation) (Alves-Lopes & Stukenborg, 2017).

#### MODEL SYSTEMS TO STUDY THE GERM CELL NICHE

Animal models such as rodents have traditionally been used to study testicular biology and germ cell function (Akingbemi *et al.*, 2001; Park *et al.*, 2002; Gaido *et al.*, 2007; Yoshida *et al.*, 2007; Oatley *et al.*, 2011; Takase & Nusse, 2016; Liu *et al.*, 2017). However, experiments performed with rodent models may not translate well to humans due to physiological differences between rodents and humans or other larger animals (Seok *et al.*, 2013; Zanger & Schwab, 2013; González & Dobrinski, 2015; Gutierrez *et al.*, 2015).

Two-dimensional culture of testicular cells (primary or immortalized) with conventional glass or plastic tissue culture plates has played an important role for understanding niche biology. Co-culture systems of different testicular somatic cells and germ cells were some of the earliest attempts to study niche biology in vitro. Such systems allowed insights into how germ cells interact with the surrounding cells and ECM proteins in the testis microenvironment (Hadley *et al.*, 1985; Kierszenbaum *et al.*, 1986; Hofmann *et al.*, 1992; Richardson *et al.*, 1995). These 2D culture systems have also allowed early investigations on the effects of growth factors, hormones, and experimental factors on niche biology (Kierszenbaum *et al.*, 1986; Tung & Fritz, 1987; Saez *et al.*, 1989; Tesarik *et al.*, 1998; van der Wee & Hofmann, 1999; El Ramy *et al.*, 2005; Hung *et al.*, 2016; Gong *et al.*, 2017). Although 2D culture systems provide much insight into testicular biology, these monolayer systems grown on hard plastic or glass surfaces can lead to physiologically irrelevant tissue architecture and inappropriate cell communications (Pampaloni *et al.*, 2007; Yamada & Cukierman, 2007; Horvath *et al.*, 2016). As a result, they often fail to mimic tissue-specific biological responses (Abbott, 2003; Mazzoleni *et al.*, 2009).

To address this lack of biologically relevant cell association and intercellular communication, testis organ culture systems were developed where small pieces of the testes were cultured in appropriate media conditions (Steinberger *et al.* 1964). In organ culture systems, the germ cell niche is kept intact. As a result, experimental readouts from such a platform are more translatable to the situation in vivo. Culture of mouse testes fragments on agar at the gas–liquid interphase was first to achieve in vitro spermatogenesis (Sato *et al.*, 2011). Similar experiments have also been performed for bovids (Kim *et al.*, 2015) and rodents (Reda *et al.*, 2016; Nakamura *et al.*, 2017; Gholami *et al.*, 2018; Sanjo *et al.*, 2018).

Due to their relatively large size accompanied by a lack of functional vasculature, organ culture systems may experience reduced gas and nutrient diffusion and as a result are challenging to maintain for longer durations. This limits the utility of the system for experiments involving long-term culture of samples from human and other large animals. An alternative approach to address these shortcomings is testis tissue or cell xenotransplantation, where testis tissue fragments from larger animals are grafted into the back of immunodeficient mice (Honaramooz et al., 2002; Zeng et al., 2009; Pukazhenthi et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2016). This allows the study of samples from larger animals for a longer duration in a biologically competent environment. Both autologous and xenogenic testis grafts can generate spermatozoa (Fayomi et al., 2019; Pukazhenthi et al., 2015; Zeng et al., 2009), which makes these systems a good platform to study spermatogenesis. Testis tissue grafts can also be used to study reproductive toxicology, particularly for larger mammals and nonhuman primates where in vivo studies can be cost prohibitive (Jahnukainen et al., 2006; Rodriguez-Sosa et al. 2014).

In addition to small testis tissue fragments, testicular cells can also be ectopically grafted into immunodeficient mice where they undergo self-organization and rebuild the testes microenvironment, and can support spermatogenesis (Honaramooz *et al.*, 2007; Kita *et al.*, 2007). This system can be used to obtain developmental and morphogenic readouts, where different cells of the testes can be sorted and genetically modified or treated with experimental factors and then grafted and observed for generation of tubules capable of supporting spermatogenesis (Dores & Dobrinski, 2014; Dores *et al.*, 2015).

Although testis tissue or cell grafting can be a robust model to study niche function in vivo, an initial delay in angiogenesis by the recipient species can lead to hypoxic damage and impairment of tissue functions, particularly for cells of the seminiferous epithelium. The approach still requires experimental animals and a long observation time frame. To address these limitations, in vitro tubule morphogenesis systems were developed, where testicular cells with the aid of a supportive biomaterial can form tubular structures with testis-specific morphology and functions (Dores *et al.* 2017; Gassei *et al.*, 2006; Reda *et al.*, 2014; Yokonishi *et al.*, 2013). These systems can be used as a readout for morphogenic outcomes arising from specific manipulations; for example, Dores *et al.* showed testicular cells treated with a small molecule to prevent formation of primary cilia lead to impaired tubular morphogenesis in vitro (Dores *et al.* 2017).

In vitro tubular morphogenesis assays are prone to variability and require relatively large cell numbers. This makes it challenging to obtain quantitative, reproducible data across experiments, and it becomes difficult to quantify. Thus, in vitro model systems with reproducible testis-specific architecture and functions are needed. Testicular organoid systems address that need.

#### ORGANOIDS TO STUDY THE GERM CELL NICHE

One of the first reports on testicular organoids was by Baert *et al.* (2017), where testicular organoids were generated from adult and pre-pubertal (15-year-old) human testicular cells. The cells were cultured on ECM obtained by decellularization of adult human testes. No difference in morphology was observed between organoids that were generated with ECM or without. These organoids were able to secrete inhibin B, testosterone, and cytokines. Sertoli cells in the organoids also gave rise to tight junction proteins and supported germ cells for up to 4 weeks (Baert *et al.*, 2017).

Alves-Lopes *et al.* (2017) reported using a three-layer Matrigel gradient system to generate rat testicular organoids from 5-, 8and 20-day-old animals. In this system, Sertoli cells and germ cells formed tubule-like structures, which were surrounded by peritubular myoid cells. These tubule-like structures also had a functional blood testes barrier and could support undifferentiated germ cells for up to 21 days. Exposure to inflammatory cytokines tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF $\alpha$ ) and interleukin 1 alpha (IL1 $\alpha$ ) perturbed morphogenesis led to germ cell loss and blood testes barrier permeability. These effects are similar to what has been shown for testes in vivo (Sarkar *et al.*, 2008; Yan *et al.*, 2008; Cheng & Mruk, 2012). The organoid system developed by Alves-Lopes *et al.* showed retinoic acid response similar to testes (van Pelt & de Rooij, 1991; Li *et al.*, 2011; Endo *et al.*, 2017).

In 2017, another testicular organoid system was reported by Pendergraft and colleagues (Pendergraft *et al.*, 2017). A simple hanging drop culture method was used to generate human organoids from adult germ cells and immortalized Leydig and Sertoli cells. Although these organoids did not have a testis-specific morphology, they could produce testosterone and appeared to support haploid germ cells. The same group also reported modeling Zika virus infection using their testicular organoids. The organoids were infected with Zika virus and showed loss of cell viability and reduced testosterone secretion (Strange *et al.*, 2018).

Recently, our group reported generation of testicular organoids by using the microwell culture system (Sakib *et al.*, 2019). Testicular cells were isolated from pre-pubertal pig, mice, primate, and humans, seeded into microwells, and cultured for 5 days to generate organoids from all four species. The organoids had testis-specific morphology, and the Sertoli cells expressed tight junction proteins. The organoid microenvironment modulated the effects of retinoic acid on germ cells similar to testis in vivo as the germ cells on the organoids exhibited an attenuated response to retinoic acid stimulation (Lord *et al.*, 2018; Sakib *et al.*, 2019). Germ cells in the organoids also experienced reduced levels of autophagy compared



Figure 2 An approach to use testicular organoids in biomedical research. Genetically modified or treated germ cells are seeded on a premade organoid with non-treated testicular cells and assessed for outcomes such as survival, selfrenewal, and spermatogenesis.

to cells cultured in 2D monolayers indicating that germ cells located in an engineered niche experience reduced cellular stress. Reproducing the findings previously obtained in tubules formed in vitro (Dores *et al.* 2017) inhibition of primary cilia on testicular somatic cells led to impaired organoid formation, highlighting the utility of organoids to study aspects of morphogenesis.

## POTENTIAL APPLICATIONS OF TESTICULAR ORGANOIDS

The mammalian germ cell niche is complex. The different cells in the interstitium and seminiferous epithelium all contribute to control germ cell fate (Meng et al., 2000; Kubota et al., 2004; Yoshida et al., 2006; Oatley et al., 2009, 2011; Oatley & Brinster, 2012; Takase & Nusse, 2016; Chassot et al., 2017). Less studied components of the niche such as cells of the vascular system (Yoshida et al., 2007; Bhang et al., 2018), peritubular myoid cells (Chen et al., 2016), and testicular macrophages (DeFalco et al., 2015) also contribute to niche maintenance and control of germ cell homeostasis. Organoids provide a reproducible in vitro model system to help understand the mechanisms involved in governing this niche. As organoids are generated from single cell suspensions, the system allows manipulation of key signaling pathways in specific cell types. For example, a gene of interest can be deleted or overexpressed or treatments can be performed in a particular cell population, the niche can be engineered by combining the modified cells with other testicular cell populations in organoids to enable analysis of the specific effects of the manipulation on morphogenesis and cell-cell interactions (Fig. 2). While cell type- and stage-specific genetic manipulations are possible in genetically modified mouse models, organoids can provide an alternative approach in target species

where generating animal models would be logistically impossible, or to study human testis.

In vitro spermatogenesis, especially in non-rodent species, remains a challenge. The testicular microenvironment and specific cell associations are essential for germ cell homeostasis (Oatley & Brinster, 2012; Lord *et al.*, 2018). Thus, studying factors and signaling pathways that influence spermatogenesis in an organoid system will be more informative and translatable to the in vivo situation than studies in 2D culture (Fig. 2).

Testicular organoids also provide an exciting avenue for disease modeling. Different testicular cell types can be sorted, modified, and recombined to generate testicular organoids displaying specific disease phenotypes. Testicular cells from patients suffering from infertility conditions such as Klinefelter syndrome, Down syndrome, or testicular cancer can be used to generate disease-specific organoids to better understand the underlying mechanisms. Such model systems will also provide a platform for high-throughput drug screening.

Methods for derivation of germ cells from induced pluripotent cells (iPSCs) have been reported (Easley *et al.*, 2012; Zhao *et al.*, 2018). Although most of these reports have shown generation of germ like cells and haploid cells in vitro, the yield remains inefficient. Combining these protocols with testicular organoids may improve efficiency. As most of the iPSC-derived germ cells remain immature, such cells can be placed in an organoid system for further maturation. Derivation of Sertoli-like and Leydig-like cells from pluripotent cells has also been reported (Bucay *et al.*, 2009; Yang *et al.*, 2015; Rodríguez Gutiérrez *et al.*, 2018). An approach combining all these different testicular cell derivation techniques can be utilized to generate organoids entirely from pluripotent cells allowing the study of testicular development and organogenesis in vitro (Fig. 3).



Figure 3 Schematic representation of testicular organoids to study development. Different testicular cells can be derived from iPS cells to generate testicular organoids.

© 2019 American Society of Andrology and European Academy of Andrology

#### CONCLUSION

Testicular organoids that bear architectural and functional similarities to their in vivo counterparts are a powerful model system to study the germ cell niche and its intricate cell interactions. This is particularly useful for studying samples in humans and other large animals where in vivo experiments are not possible. Organoids will also allow modeling of different testicular diseases and malignancies which would pave the way for more precise therapeutic interventions.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Work from our group included in this review was funded by NIH/ORIP R01 OD016575 and NIH/NICHD 1R01 HD091068 to ID.

#### REFERENCES

- Abbott A. (2003) Cell culture: biology's new dimension. *Nature* 424, 870–872.
- Akingbemi BT, Youker RT, Sottas CM, Ge R, Katz E, Klinefelter GR, Zirkin BR & Hardy MP. (2001) Modulation of rat Leydig cell steroidogenic function by di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate. *Biol Reprod* 65, 1252–1259.
- Alves-Lopes JP & Stukenborg JB. (2017) Testicular organoids: a new model to study the testicular microenvironment in vitro? *Hum Reprod Update* 24, 176–191.

Alves-Lopes JP, Soder O & Stukenborg JB. (2017) Testicular organoid generation by a novel in vitro three-layer gradient system. *Biomaterials* 130, 76–89.

- Baert Y, De Kock J, Alves-Lopes JP, Soder O, Stukenborg JB & Goossens E. (2017) Primary human testicular cells self-organize into organoids with testicular properties. *Stem Cell Rep* 8, 30–38.
- Barcellos-Hoff MH, Aggeler J, Ram TG & Bissell MJ. (1989) Functional differentiation and alveolar morphogenesis of primary mammary cultures on reconstituted basement membrane. *Development* 105, 223–235.
- Barker N, Huch M, Kujala P, van de Wetering M, Snippert HJ, van Es JH,
  Sato T, Stange DE, Begthel H, van den Born M, Danenberg E, van den
  Brink S, Korving J, Abo A, Peters PJ, Wright N, Poulsom R & Clevers H.
  (2010) Lgr5(+ve) stem cells drive self-renewal in the stomach and build
  long-lived gastric units in vitro. *Cell Stem Cell* 6, 25–36.
- Bhang DH, Kim B-J, Kim BG, Schadler K, Baek K-H, Kim YH, Hsiao W, Ding B-S, Rafii S, Weiss MJ, Chou ST, Kolon TF, Ginsberg JP, Ryu B-Y & Ryeom S. (2018) Testicular endothelial cells are a critical population in the germline stem cell niche. *Nat Communicat* 9, 4379.
- Boj SF, Hwang CI, Baker LA, Chio II, Engle DD, Corbo V, *et al.* (2015) Organoid models of human and mouse ductal pancreatic cancer. *Cell* 160, 324–338.

Bucay N, Yebra M, Cirulli V, Afrikanova I, Kaido T, Hayek A & Montgomery AM. (2009) A novel approach for the derivation of putative primordial germ cells and sertoli cells from human embryonic stem cells. *Stem Cells* 27, 68–77.

Chassot AA, Le Rolle M, Jourden M, Taketo MM, Ghyselinck NB & Chaboissier MC. (2017) Constitutive WNT/CTNNB1 activation triggers spermatogonial stem cell proliferation and germ cell depletion. *Dev Biol* 426, 17–27.

Chen LY, Willis WD & Eddy EM. (2016) Targeting the Gdnf gene in peritubular myoid cells disrupts undifferentiated spermatogonial cell development. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 113, 1829–1834.

Cheng CY. (2014) Toxicants target cell junctions in the testis: Insights from the indazole-carboxylic acid model. *Spermatogenesis* 4, e981485.

Cheng CY & Mruk DD. (2012) The blood-testis barrier and its implications for male contraception. *Pharmacol Rev* 64, 16–64.

Czerniecki SM, Cruz NM, Harder JL, Menon R, Annis J, Otto EA, Gulieva RE, Islas LV, Kim YK, Tran LM, Martins TJ, Pippin JW, Fu H, Kretzler M, Shankland SJ, Himmelfarb J, Moon RT, Paragas N & Freedman BS. (2018) High-throughput screening enhances kidney organoid differentiation from human pluripotent stem cells and enables automated multidimensional phenotyping. *Cell Stem Cell* 22, 929–940.e4.

- DeFalco T, Potter SJ, Williams AV, Waller B, Kan MJ & Capel B. (2015) Macrophages contribute to the spermatogonial niche in the adult testis. *Cell Rep* 12, 1107–1119.
- Dores C & Dobrinski I. (2014) De novo morphogenesis of testis tissue: an improved bioassay to investigate the role of VEGF165 during testis formation. *Reproduction* 148, 109–117.

Dores C, Alpaugh W, Su L, Biernaskie J & Dobrinski I. (2017) Primary cilia on porcine testicular somatic cells and their role in hedgehog signaling and tubular morphogenesis in vitro. *Cell Tissue Res* 368, 215–223.

Dores C, Rancourt D & Dobrinski I. (2015) Stirred suspension bioreactors as a novel method to enrich germ cells from pre-pubertal pig testis. *Andrology* 3, 590–597.

Easley CA, Phillips BT, McGuire MM, Barringer JM, Valli H, Hermann BP, Simerly CR, Rajkovic A, Miki T, Orwig KE & Schatten GP (2012) Direct differentiation of human pluripotent stem cells into haploid spermatogenic cells. *Cell Rep* 2, 440–446.

El Ramy R, Verot A, Mazaud S, Odet F, Magre S & Le Magueresse-Battistoni B. (2005) Fibroblast growth factor (FGF) 2 and FGF9 mediate mesenchymal-epithelial interactions of peritubular and Sertoli cells in the rat testis. *J Endocrinol* 187, 135–147.

Endo T, Freinkman E, de Rooij DG & Page DC. (2017) Periodic production of retinoic acid by meiotic and somatic cells coordinates four transitions in mouse spermatogenesis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 114, E10132.

Fatehullah A, Tan SH & Barker N. (2016) Organoids as an in vitro model of human development and disease. *Nat Cell Biol* 18, 246–254.

Fayomi AP, Peters K, Sukhwani M & Valli-Pulaski H. (2019) Autologous grafting of cryopreserved prepubertal rhesus testis produces sperm and offspring. *Science* 363, 1314–1319.

Forsythe SD, Devarasetty M, Shupe T, Bishop C, Atala A, Soker S & Skardal A. (2018) Environmental toxin screening using human-derived 3D bioengineered liver and cardiac organoids. *Frontiers in Public Health* 6, 103.

Gaido KW, Hensley JB, Liu D, Wallace DG, Borghoff S, Johnson KJ, Hall SJ & Boekelheide K. (2007) Fetal mouse phthalate exposure shows that Gonocyte multinucleation is not associated with decreased testicular testosterone. *Toxicol Sci* 97, 491–503.

Gassei K, Schlatt S & Ehmcke J. (2006) De novo morphogenesis of seminiferous tubules from dissociated immature rat testicular cells in xenografts. *J Androl* 27, 611–618.

Gholami K, Pourmand G, Koruji M, Ashouri S & Abbasi M. (2018) Organ culture of seminiferous tubules using a modified soft agar culture system. *Stem Cell Res Therapy* 9, 249.

Gong X, Xie H, Li X, Wu J & Lin Y. (2017) Bisphenol A induced apoptosis and transcriptome differences of spermatogonial stem cells in vitro. *Acta Biochim Biophys Sin (Shanghai)* 49, 780–791.

González R & Dobrinski I. (2015) Beyond the mouse monopoly: studying the male germ line in domestic animal models. *ILAR J* 56, 83–98.

Gutierrez K, Dicks N, Glanzner WG, Agellon LB & Bordignon V. (2015) Efficacy of the porcine species in biomedical research. *Front Genet* 6, 293.

Hadley MA, Byers SW, Suarez-Quian CA, Kleinman HK & Dym M. (1985) Extracellular matrix regulates Sertoli cell differentiation, testicular cord formation, and germ cell development in vitro. *J Cell Biol* 101, 1511–1522.

Hofmann MC, Narisawa S, Hess RA & Millan JL. (1992) Immortalization of germ cells and somatic testicular cells using the SV40 large T antigen. *Exp Cell Res* 201, 417–435.

Honaramooz A, Snedaker A, Boiani M, Scholer H, Dobrinski I & Schlatt S. (2002) Sperm from neonatal mammalian testes grafted in mice. *Nature* 418, 778–781.

- Honaramooz A, Megee SO, Rathi R & Dobrinski I. (2007) Building a testis: formation of functional testis tissue after transplantation of isolated porcine (Sus scrofa) testis cells. *Biol Reprod* 76, 43–47.
- Horvath P, Aulner N, Bickle M, Davies AM, Nery ED, Ebner D, Montoya MC, Östling P, Pietiäinen V, Price LS, Shorte SL, Turcatti G, von Schantz C & Carragher NO. (2016) Screening out irrelevant cell-based models of disease. *Nat Rev Drug Discov* 15, 751.
- Huch M & Koo B-K. (2015) Modeling mouse and human development using organoid cultures. *Development* 142, 3113.
- Huch M, Bonfanti P, Boj SF, Sato T, Loomans CJ, van de Wetering M, Sojoodi M, Li VS, Schuijers J, Gracanin A, Ringnalda F, Begthel H, Hamer K, Mulder J, van Es JH, de Koning E, Vries RG, Heimberg H & Clevers H. (2013) Unlimited in vitro expansion of adult bi-potent pancreas progenitors through the Lgr5/R-spondin axis. *EMBO J* 32, 2708–2721.
- Hung JH, Chen CY, Omar HA, Huang KY, Tsao CC, Chiu CC, Chen YL, Chen PH & Teng YN. (2016) Reactive oxygen species mediate Terbufos-induced apoptosis in mouse testicular cell lines via the modulation of cell cycle and pro-apoptotic proteins. *Environ Toxicol* 31, 1888–1898.
- Jabs J, Zickgraf FM, Park J, Wagner S, Jiang X, Jechow K, Kleinheinz K, Toprak UH, Schneider MA, Meister M, Spaich S, Sütterlin M, Schlesner M, Trumpp A, Sprick M, Eils R & Conrad C. (2017) Screening drug effects in patient-derived cancer cells links organoid responses to genome alterations. *Mol Syst Biol* 13, 955.
- Jahnukainen K, Ehmcke J & Schlatt S. (2006) Testicular xenografts: a novel approach to study cytotoxic damage in juvenile primate testis. *Cancer Res* 66, 3813–3818.
- Kashir J, Heindryckx B, Jones C, De Sutter P, Parrington J & Coward K. (2010) Oocyte activation, phospholipase C zeta and human infertility. *Hum Reprod Update* 16, 690–703.
- Kierszenbaum AL, Crowell JA, Shabanowitz RB, DePhilip RM & Tres LL. (1986) Protein secretory patterns of rat Sertoli and peritubular cells are influenced by culture conditions. *Biol Reprod* 35, 239–251.
- Kim K-J, Kim B-G, Kim Y-H, Lee Y-A, Kim B-J, Jung S-E, Cho Y-J, Lee S-H & Ryu B-Y. (2015) In vitro spermatogenesis using bovine testis tissue culture techniques. *Tissue Engin Reg Med* 12, 314–323.
- Kita K, Watanabe T, Ohsaka K, Hayashi H, Kubota Y, Nagashima Y, Aoki I, Taniguchi H, Noce T, Inoue K, Miki H, Ogonuki N, Tanaka H, Ogura A & Ogawa T. (2007) Production of functional spermatids from mouse germline stem cells in ectopically reconstituted seminiferous tubules. *Biol Reprod* 76, 211–217.
- Krausz C. (2011) Male infertility: pathogenesis and clinical diagnosis. Best Pract Res Clin Endocrinol Metab 25, 271–285.
- Kubota H, Avarbock MR & Brinster RL. (2004) Growth factors essential for self-renewal and expansion of mouse spermatogonial stem cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 101, 16489–16494.
- Lancaster MA & Knoblich JA. (2014) Organogenesis in a dish: modeling development and disease using organoid technologies. *Science* 345, 1247125.
- Lee SH & Shin CH. (2013) Reduced male fertility in childhood cancer survivors. *Annals Pediat Endocrinol Metab* 18, 168–172.
- Lejeune H, Habert R & Saez JM. (1998) Origin, proliferation and differentiation of Leydig cells. J Mol Endocrinol 20, 1–25.
- Li H, Palczewski K, Baehr W & Clagett-Dame M. (2011) Vitamin A deficiency results in meiotic failure and accumulation of undifferentiated spermatogonia in prepubertal mouse testis. *Biol Reprod* 84, 336–341.
- Liu Z, Nie YH, Zhang CC, Cai YJ, Wang Y, Lu HP, Li YZ, Cheng C, Qiu ZL & Sun Q. (2016) Generation of macaques with sperm derived from juvenile monkey testicular xenografts. *Cell Res* 26, 139–142.
- Liu Q, Lei Z, Huang A, Lu Q, Wang X, Ahmed S, Awais I & Yuan Z. (2017) Mechanisms of the testis toxicity induced by chronic exposure to Mequindox. *Front Pharmacol* 8, 679.

- Lord T, Oatley MJ & Oatley JM. (2018) Testicular architecture is critical for mediation of retinoic acid responsiveness by undifferentiated spermatogonial subtypes in the mouse. *Stem Cell Rep* 10, 538–552.
- Matano M, Date S, Shimokawa M, Takano A, Fujii M, Ohta Y, Watanabe T, Kanai T & Sato T. (2015) Modeling colorectal cancer using CRISPR-Cas9-mediated engineering of human intestinal organoids. *Nat Med* 21, 256–262.
- Mazzoleni G, Di Lorenzo D & Steimberg N. (2009) Modelling tissues in 3D: the next future of pharmaco-toxicology and food research? *Genes Nut* 4, 13–22.
- McCauley HA & Wells JM. (2017) Pluripotent stem cell-derived organoids: using principles of developmental biology to grow human tissues in a dish. *Development* 144, 958–962.
- Meng X, Lindahl M, Hyvonen ME, Parvinen M, de Rooij DG, Hess MW, Raatikainen-Ahokas A, Sainio K, Rauvala H, Lakso M, Pichel JG, Westphal H, Saarma M & Sariola H. (2000) Regulation of cell fate decision of undifferentiated spermatogonia by GDNF. *Science* 287, 1489–1493.
- Morgan JP, Delnero PF, Zheng Y, Verbridge SS, Chen J, Craven M, Choi NW, Diaz-Santana A, Kermani P, Hempstead B, Lopez JA, Corso TN, Fischbach C & Stroock AD. (2013) Formation of microvascular networks in vitro. *Nat Protoc* 8, 1820–1836.
- Mullaney BP & Skinner MK. (1992) Basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF) gene expression and protein production during pubertal development of the seminiferous tubule: follicle-stimulating hormone-induced Sertoli cell bFGF expression. *Endocrinology* 131, 2928–2934.
- Nakamura N, Merry GE, Inselman AL, Sloper DT, Del Valle PL, Sato T, Ogawa T & Hansen DK. (2017) Evaluation of culture time and media in an in vitro testis organ culture system. *Birth Defects Res* 109, 465–474.
- Oatley JM & Brinster RL. (2012) The germline stem cell niche unit in mammalian testes. *Physiol Rev* 92, 577–595.
- Oatley JM, Oatley MJ, Avarbock MR, Tobias JW & Brinster RL. (2009) Colony stimulating factor 1 is an extrinsic stimulator of mouse spermatogonial stem cell self-renewal. *Development* 136, 1191–1199.
- Oatley MJ, Racicot KE & Oatley JM. (2011) Sertoli cells dictate spermatogonial stem cell niches in the mouse testis. *Biol Reprod* 84, 639–645.
- Pampaloni F, Reynaud EG & Stelzer EH. (2007) The third dimension bridges the gap between cell culture and live tissue. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 8, 839–845.
- Park JD, Habeebu SS & Klaassen CD. (2002) Testicular toxicity of di-(2ethylhexyl)phthalate in young Sprague-Dawley rats. *Toxicology* 171, 105–115.
- van Pelt AM & de Rooij DG. (1991) Retinoic acid is able to reinitiate spermatogenesis in vitamin A-deficient rats and high replicate doses support the full development of spermatogenic cells. *Endocrinology* 128, 697–704.
- Pendergraft SS, Sadri-Ardekani H, Atala A & Bishop CE. (2017) Threedimensional testicular organoid: a novel tool for the study of human spermatogenesis and gonadotoxicity in vitrodagger. *Biol Reprod* 96, 720–732.
- Pukazhenthi BS, Nagashima J, Travis AJ, Costa GM, Escobar EN, Franca LR & Wildt DE. (2015) Slow freezing, but not vitrification supports complete spermatogenesis in cryopreserved, neonatal sheep testicular xenografts. *PLoS ONE* 10, e0123957.
- Quadrato G, Nguyen T, Macosko EZ, Sherwood JL, Min Yang S, Berger DR, Maria N, Scholvin J, Goldman M, Kinney JP, Boyden ES, Lichtman JW, Williams ZM, McCarroll SA & Arlotta P. (2017) Cell diversity and network dynamics in photosensitive human brain organoids. *Nature* 545, 48–53.
- Reda A, Hou M, Landreh L, Kjartansdottir KR, Svechnikov K, Soder O & Stukenborg JB. (2014) In vitro spermatogenesis – optimal culture conditions for testicular cell survival, germ cell differentiation, and steroidogenesis in rats. *Front Endocrinol* 5, 21.

- Reda A, Hou M, Winton TR, Chapin RE, Soder O & Stukenborg JB. (2016) In vitro differentiation of rat spermatogonia into round spermatids in tissue culture. *Mol Hum Reprod* 22, 601–612.
- Richardson LL, Kleinman HK & Dym M. (1995) Basement membrane gene expression by Sertoli and peritubular myoid cells in vitro in the rat. *Biol Reprod* 52, 320–330.
- Rodríguez Gutiérrez D, Eid W & Biason-Lauber A. (2018) A human gonadal cell model from induced pluripotent stem cells. *Front Genet* 9, 498.
- Rodriguez-Sosa JR, Bondareva A, Tang L, Avelar GF, Coyle KM, Modelski M, Alpaugh W, Conley A, Wynne-Edwards K, França LR, Meyers S & Dobrinski I. (2014) Phthalate esters affect maturation and function of primate testis tissue ectopically grafted in mice. *Mol Cell Endocrinol* 398, 89–100.
- de Rooij DG. (2001) Proliferation and differentiation of spermatogonial stem cells. *Reproduction* 121, 347–354.
- de Rooij DG. (2017) The nature and dynamics of spermatogonial stem cells. *Development* 144, 3022–3030.
- Saez JM, Sanchez P, Berthelon MC & Avallet O. (1989) Regulation of pig Leydig cell aromatase activity by gonadotropins and Sertoli cells. *Biol Reprod* 41, 813–820.
- Sakib S, Uchida A, Valenzuela-Leon P, Yu Y, Valli-Pulaski H, Orwig K, Ungrin M & Dobrinski I. (2019) Formation of organotypic testicular organoids in microwell culture. *Biol Reprod* 100, 1648–1660. https:// doi.org/10.1093/biolre/ioz053.
- Sanjo H, Komeya M, Sato T, Abe T, Katagiri K, Yamanaka H, Ino Y, Arakawa N, Hirano H, Yao T, Asayama Y, Matsuhisa A, Yao M & Ogawa T. (2018) In vitro mouse spermatogenesis with an organ culture method in chemically defined medium. *PLoS ONE* 13, e0192884– e0192884.
- Sarkar O, Mathur PP, Cheng CY & Mruk DD. (2008) Interleukin 1 alpha (IL1A) is a novel regulator of the blood-testis barrier in the rat. *Biol Reprod* 78, 445–454.
- Sato T, Vries RG, Snippert HJ, van de Wetering M, Barker N, Stange DE, van Es JH, Abo A, Kujala P, Peters PJ & Clevers H. (2009) Single Lgr5 stem cells build crypt-villus structures in vitro without a mesenchymal niche. *Nature* 459, 262–265.
- Sato T, Stange DE, Ferrante M, Vries RG, Van Es JH, Van den Brink S, Van Houdt WJ, Pronk A, Van Gorp J, Siersema PD & Clevers H. (2011) Long-term expansion of epithelial organoids from human colon, adenoma, adenocarcinoma, and Barrett's epithelium. *Gastroenterology* 141, 1762–1772.
- Schepers A, Li C, Chhabra A, Seney BT & Bhatia S. (2016) Engineering a perfusable 3D human liver platform from iPS cells. *Lab Chip* 16, 2644–2653.
- Schrader M, Heicappell R, Muller M, Straub B & Miller K. (2001) Impact of chemotherapy on male fertility. *Onkologie* 24, 326–330.
- Seok J, Warren HS, Cuenca AG, Mindrinos MN, Baker HV, Xu W, *et al.* (2013) Genomic responses in mouse models poorly mimic human inflammatory diseases. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 110, 3507–3512.
- Shamir ER & Ewald AJ. (2014) Three-dimensional organotypic culture: experimental models of mammalian biology and disease. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 15, 647–664.
- Sharpe RM. (2010) Environmental/lifestyle effects on spermatogenesis. *Philos Transact Royal Soc London Series B Biol Sci* 365, 1697–1712.
- Simian M, Hirai Y, Navre M, Werb Z, Lochter A & Bissell MJ. (2001) The interplay of matrix metalloproteinases, morphogens and growth factors is necessary for branching of mammary epithelial cells. *Development* 128, 3117–3131.
- Siu ER, Mruk DD, Porto CS & Cheng CY. (2009) Cadmium-induced testicular injury. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 238, 240–249.

- Spradling A, Drummond-Barbosa D & Kai T. (2001) Stem cells find their niche. *Nature* 2001(414), 98–104.
- Steinberger A, Steinberger E & Perloff WH. (1964) Mammalian testes in organ culture. *Exp Cell Res* 36, 19–27.
- Strange DP, Zarandi NP, Trivedi G, Atala A, Bishop CE, Sadri-Ardekani H & Verma S. (2018) Human testicular organoid system as a novel tool to study Zika virus pathogenesis. *Emerg Microbes Infect* 7, 1–7.
- Tadokoro Y, Yomogida K, Ohta H, Tohda A & Nishimune Y. (2002) Homeostatic regulation of germinal stem cell proliferation by the GDNF/FSH pathway. *Mech Dev* 113, 29–39.
- Takase HM & Nusse R. (2016) Paracrine Wnt/beta-catenin signaling mediates proliferation of undifferentiated spermatogonia in the adult mouse testis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 113, E1489–E1497.
- Takebe T, Sekine K, Enomura M, Koike H, Kimura M, Ogaeri T, Zhang RR, Ueno Y, Zheng YW, Koike N, Aoyama S, Adachi Y & Taniguchi H. (2013) Vascularized and functional human liver from an iPSC-derived organ bud transplant. *Nature* 499, 481–484.
- Tanwar PS, Kaneko-Tarui T, Zhang L, Rani P, Taketo MM & Teixeira J. (2010) Constitutive WNT/beta-catenin signaling in murine Sertoli cells disrupts their differentiation and ability to support spermatogenesis. *Biol Reprod* 82, 422–432.
- Tesarik J, Guido M, Mendoza C & Greco E. (1998) Human spermatogenesis in vitro: respective effects of follicle-stimulating hormone and testosterone on meiosis, spermiogenesis, and Sertoli cell apoptosis. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 83, 4467–4473.
- Tung PS & Fritz IB. (1987) Morphogenetic restructuring and formation of basement membranes by Sertoli cells and testis peritubular cells in coculture: inhibition of the morphogenetic cascade by cyclic AMP derivatives and by blocking direct cell contact. *Dev Biol* 120, 139–153.
- van der Wee K & Hofmann MC. (1999) An in vitro tubule assay identifies HGF as a morphogen for the formation of seminiferous tubules in the postnatal mouse testis. *Exp Cell Res* 252, 175–185.
- Yamada KM & Cukierman E. (2007) Modeling tissue morphogenesis and cancer in 3D. *Cell* 130, 601–610.
- Yan HH, Mruk DD, Lee WM & Cheng CY. (2008) Blood-testis barrier dynamics are regulated by testosterone and cytokines via their differential effects on the kinetics of protein endocytosis and recycling in Sertoli cells. *FASEB J* 22, 1945–1959.
- Yang Y, Su Z, Xu W, Luo J, Liang R, Xiang Q, Zhang Q, Ge RS & Huang Y. (2015) Directed mouse embryonic stem cells into leydig-like cells rescue testosterone-deficient male rats in vivo. *Stem Cells Dev* 24, 459– 470.
- Yokonishi T, Sato T, Katagiri K, Komeya M, Kubota Y & Ogawa T. (2013) In vitro reconstruction of mouse seminiferous tubules supporting germ cell differentiation. *Biol Reprod* 89, 15.
- Yoshida S, Sukeno M, Nakagawa T, Ohbo K, Nagamatsu G, Suda T & Nabeshima Y-i. (2006) The first round of mouse spermatogenesis is a distinctive program that lacks the self-renewing spermatogonia stage. *Development* 133, 1495–1505.
- Yoshida S, Sukeno M & Nabeshima Y. (2007) A vasculature-associated niche for undifferentiated spermatogonia in the mouse testis. *Science* 317, 1722–1726.
- Zanger UM & Schwab M. (2013) Cytochrome P450 enzymes in drug metabolism: regulation of gene expression, enzyme activities, and impact of genetic variation. *Pharmacol Ther* 138, 103–141.
- Zeng W, Snedaker AK, Megee S, Rathi R, Chen F, Honaramooz A & Dobrinski I. (2009) Preservation and transplantation of porcine testis tissue. *Reprod Fertil Dev* 21, 489–497.
- Zhao Y, Ye S, Liang D, Wang P, Fu J, Ma Q, Kong R, Shi L, Gong X, Chen W, Ding W, Yang W, Zhu Z, Chen H, Sun X, Zhu J, Li Z & Wang Y. (2018) In vitro modeling of human germ cell development using pluripotent stem cells. *Stem Cell Reports* 10, 509–523.

https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/dead085 Advance Access Publication Date: April 29, 2023 Mini-Review

### Developments in reproductive biology and medicine

# Human organoid systems in modeling reproductive tissue development, function, and disease

Sandra Haider 厄 <sup>1,\*</sup> and Alexander G. Beristain 厄 <sup>2,3,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology, Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria
<sup>2</sup>The British Columbia Children's Hospital Research Institute, Vancouver, BC, Canada
<sup>3</sup>Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology, The University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada

Department of obstetrics & Gynecology, The oniversity of british columbia, valicouver, BC, canada

\*Correspondence address. Reproductive Biology Unit, Medical University of Vienna, 1090 Vienna, Austria. E-mail: sandra.haider@meduniwien.ac.at () https://orcid. org/0000-0003-2409-1317 (S.H.); The British Columbia Children's Hospital Research Institute, The University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC V5Z 4H4, Canada. Tel: +1-604-875-3573; E-mail: aberista@mail.ubc.ca () https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2409-1317 (A.G.B.)

#### ABSTRACT

Research focused on human reproductive biology has primarily relied upon clinical samples affording mainly descriptive studies with limited implementation of functional or mechanistic understanding. More importantly, restricted access to human embryonic material has necessitated the use of animals, primarily rats and mice, and short-term primary cell cultures derived from human patient material. While reproductive developmental processes are generally conserved across mammals, specific features unique to human reproduction have resulted in the development of human-based in vitro systems designed to retain or recapitulate key molecular and cellular processes important in humans. Of note, major advances in 3D epithelial stem cell-based systems modeling human reproductive organ development have been made. These cultures, broadly referred to as organoids, enable research aimed at understanding cellular hierarchies and processes controlling cellular differentiation and function. Moreover, organoids allow the preclinical testing of pharmacological substances, both from safety and efficacy standpoints, and hold large potential in driving aspects of personalized medicine that were previously not possible with traditional models. In this mini-review, we focus on summarizing the current state of regenerative organoid culture systems of the female and male reproductive tracts that model organ development, maintenance, and function. Specifically, we will introduce stem cell-based organoid models of the ovary/fallopian tube, endometrium, cervix, prostate gland, and testes. We will also describe organoid systems of the pre-implanting blastocyst and trophoblast, as the blastocyst and its extraembryonic trophectoderm are central to fetal, maternal, and overall pregnancy health. We describe the foundational studies leading to their development and outline the utility as well as specific limitations that are unique and common to many of these in vitro platforms.

Keywords: ovary / fallopian tube / endometrium / cervix / prostate / testis / blastocyst / placenta / stem and progenitor cells / organoids

Received: November 8, 2022. Revised: April 12, 2023. Editorial decision: April 18, 2023.

© The Author(s) 2023. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of European Society of Human Reproduction and Embryology. All rights reserved. For permissions, please email: journals.permissions@oup.com

#### **GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT**



3D culture systems for reproductive tissue organogenesis

#### Introduction

The female and male reproductive systems are formed by the ovaries, fallopian tubes, uterus, cervix and vagina, and the testis, epididymis, vas deferens, prostate gland, and seminal vesicles, respectively. As arising and developing within the female reproductive tract, the blastocyst and placenta are related in a broader sense. Most female reproductive tract structures, such as the fallopian tube, the endometrium as the uterine inner surface, the cervix, the ovary, and the placenta, are formed by an epithelial lining and an underlying supporting mesenchyme. Indeed, wellcoordinated cellular interactions play central roles in organ function and, most likely, dysfunction. Approaches used to model male and female reproductive tract biology have traditionally relied upon short-term primary cell cultures and tissue/organ explants that are challenging to establish and manipulate and are often difficult to access. Alternatively, researchers have also utilized immortalized or transformed cell lines that are karyotypically abnormal, lack genetic diversity, do not establish a basal-apical polarized orientation, and model poorly defined states of cell development (Adey et al., 2013; Lee et al., 2016; Abbas et al., 2020). Cell lines have contributed significantly to our understanding of reproductive tract biology. However, their simplistic 2D and unicellular designs that fail to recapitulate cell spatial complexity and heterogeneity, render such model systems sub-optimal for research focused on understanding organ development and function. Likewise, while animal models that retain an intact physiology have been indispensable for the generation of knowledge in reproductive biology, species-specific differences in developmental timing, tissue cell type organization, and molecular programs controlling cell fate and function limit the utility of animal models

and necessitate the complementary use of human cell-based plat-forms (Carter, 2007; Okae *et al.*, 2018; Ruane *et al.*, 2022).

In the last decade, 3D organoid models largely recapitulating the cellular and physiological characteristics of reproductive tract mucosal surface structures have become important tools for studying development, function, and disease in reproductive biology (Alzamil et al., 2021; Chumduri and Turco, 2021; Francés-Herrero et al., 2022; Yang et al., 2022). Common key components for establishing such 3D cultures include a defined set of signaling molecules as well as an extracellular matrix (ECM) (such as Matrigel) that serves to foster progenitor cell-basal lamina engagement (Clevers, 2016; O'Connell and Winter, 2020). Once embedded in the ECM, cells or tissue fragments initiate spontaneous self-organization into tissue-specific organoids that recapitulate cell sub-lineages and cell patterning, and provide organ-like systems suitable for addressing processes central to regenerative maintenance, cell differentiation, and cell/tissue function (Sato et al., 2009; Clevers, 2016). At the heart of selfassembling organoids are tissue-specific adult stem cells, namely pluripotent embryonic stem cells (ESC) (Reubinoff et al., 2000), or induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSC) (Maherali et al., 2007; Clevers, 2016). Of note, the conditions and resources designed to isolate, expand, and establish stem cell lines from human reproductive tissues are surprisingly unknown or not yet developed, with the exception of unipotent trophoblast stem cell lines (Okae et al., 2018). Consequently, most reproductive organoids (RO) depend upon isolating complex stem/progenitor cell populations enriched from primary tissue sources (Karthaus et al., 2014; Kessler et al., 2015; Turco et al., 2017; Haider et al., 2018). These cell preparations facilitate longterm regenerative culture and sub-lineage differentiation potential (Kessler et al., 2015; Turco et al., 2017, 2018; Lõhmussaar et al., 2021). Additionally, ESC- and iPSC-based RO are increasingly being used to

refine current organotypic models and have already been established for trophoblast and fallopian tube organoids, as well as blastocyst-like structures (called blastoids) (Yucer *et al.*, 2017; Castel *et al.*, 2020; Kagawa *et al.*, 2022). The blastoid has been demonstrated to self-organize into spatially conserved epiblast-, hypoblast-, and trophectoderm-like lineages (Liu *et al.*, 2021, 2022; Sozen *et al.*, 2021; Kagawa *et al.*, 2022).

Together, these quickly evolving in vitro 3D systems have significantly improved the tools at hand to model most human developmental processes. Given the field's enthusiastic uptake of established organoid systems to address developmental and disease-focused questions in reproductive biology, this mini-review sets out to concisely and accurately summarize the current state of female and male RO modeling both major and well-developed organ and tissue structures, including their key advantages and limitations. Specifically, we describe organoids modeling the cellular architecture and development of the ovary, fallopian tube, endometrial lining, and cervix of the female, organoids modeling the prostate gland and testes of the male, and organoids modeling the blastocyst and placenta of the conceptus. As well, we aim to offer perspectives of where further improvements and future applications can or are being made to RO platforms.

# Female reproductive tract 3D organotypic systems

#### Ovary

The ovary is formed by an ovarian surface epithelial layer that encapsulates the outer cortex hosting primordial follicles, and an inner medulla containing blood vessels, lymphatics, and nerves (Fig. 1). In early human development, at around 6-week postconception, pro-ovary signals initiate the sex determination of primordial germ cells (PGCs) into oogonia (Burgoyne et al., 1988; Taketo-Hosotani et al., 1989; Habara et al., 2021; Luo et al., 2021; Ford et al., 2022). Subsequently finely coordinated signals inducing mitosis, first steps of meiosis, and apoptosis result in a pool of immature oocytes surrounded by pre-granulosa cells, forming primordial follicles (Block, 1953; Mehlmann, 2005; Tiwari et al., 2015). At puberty, oogenesis is continued and each month of the female reproductive life, the precise interaction between oocytes, female gonadal somatic cells (fGSCs; granulosa, theca, and stromal cells), and hormonal signals orchestrate oogenesis, ovulation, and the formation of the corpus luteum (Gougeon, 1996; Mehlmann, 2005). Notably, failures in this network are considered risk factors for infertility (due to PCOS), ovulation defects, poor oocyte quality, and cancer (Richards and Pangas, 2010).

#### Organoids modeling oogenesis

Rising infertility rates of 12–24% in developed countries in the last decade (Zhang et al., 2022) have increased efforts to establish *in vitro* conditions for self-renewal and differentiation of PGCs. An important step forward was the discovery of persisting ocyte stem cells (OSCs) in adult ovaries thereby shifting a long-standing paradigm in reproductive biology (Johnson et al., 2004). The identification of DEAD-box helicase 4 (DDX4) as a cell surface marker suitable for retrieving the rare pool of OSCs (~0.014  $\pm$  0.002%; White et al., 2012) from mouse ovaries in 2009 (Zou et al., 2009) was the foundation for successfully purifying OSCs from adult human ovarian cortical tissues. Besides DDX4, PR domain zinc finger protein 1 (PRDM1), developmental pluripotency-associated protein 3 (IFITM3), telomerase reverse

transcriptase (TERT), and fibroblast growth factor receptor 3 (FGFR3) are widely accepted germline genes verifying OSC populations (White et al., 2012; Chitiashvili et al., 2022). Since then, multiple corroborating studies supported not only the existence of OSCs in adulthood across species but also demonstrated IVM of isolated OSCs into oocytes (Zou et al., 2009; White et al., 2012; Woods and Tilly, 2013; Alberico et al., 2022). As an alternative to isolating the rare OSC pool, various studies developed PGC-like cells (PGCLC) from iPSCs or ESCs (Hayashi et al., 2012; Sasaki et al., 2015; Yamashiro et al., 2018; Murase et al., 2020), all with the same goal: to develop mature follicles releasing fertilizable oocytes. Apparently, stage-specific growth factors, hormones, and fGSCs from various prenatal time points constitute important key steps forward (Hikabe et al., 2016; Herta et al., 2018; Li et al., 2021). Two groups established and further developed protocols using PGCLCs or isolated OSCs in 2D-like organoid conditions. Oocyte growth and maturation were achieved by timely coordinated supplementation with estrogen receptor antagonists, FSH, bone morphogenetic protein 15 (BMP15), growth differentiation factor 9 (GDF9), leukemia inhibitory factor (LIF), fibroblast growth factor (FGF), epidermal growth factor (EGF), glial cell linederived neutrotrophic factor (GDNF), and hCG, yielding a robust number of follicles each possessing a single oocyte surrounded by cumulus and granulosa cells (Hikabe et al., 2016; Li et al., 2021). However, shortcomings, such as flattening of follicles as well as deviations of mechanical forces in 2D-like cultures, might provoke in vitro side effects (Choi et al., 2014). Consequently, alternative biomimetic approaches are developed to provide appropriate ovarian scaffolds including bio-printing natural or synthetic polymers or de-cellularizing ovaries, the latter creating cell-free ECMcontaining structures (Dolmans and Amorim, 2019; Xiang et al., 2021). In this way, Pors et al. (2019) optimized de-cellularization of human ovaries and reported beneficial effects on follicle viability after re-cellularization with human ovarian stromal cells. However, a routinely applicable 3D in vitro protocol allowing for reproducible oocyte differentiation and maturation has not been established yet. Beside 3D oogenesis, organoid conditions for the normal human ovarian surface epithelium (Fig. 2) were established providing an in vitro model for studying early events of ovarian cancer (OC) (Kwong et al., 2009). Along this line, OC organoids were developed by cultivating with supplements such as B27, N2, nicotinamide, n-acetylcysteine, p38 inhibitor (i), Noggin, r-spondin-1 (RSPO1), epidermal growth factor (EGF), FGF10, insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF1), hepatocyte growth factor (HGF), neuregulin 1 (NRG1), Y27632, A8301, Forskolin, and β-estradiol (exact compositions are summarized and compared by Yang et al., 2021), and allowing for long-term cultivation and drug screening. At time of writing, several groups have established patient-derived OC organoid platforms mimicking primary tumor- and patient-specific characteristics, which represents an important step towards personalized medicine (Kopper et al., 2019; Maenhoudt et al., 2020; Nanki et al., 2020; Yang et al., 2021; Chen et al., 2022). One major obstacle might be the strong dependency of oocyte development and maturation on supporting stromal cells. Accordingly, the integration of the original microenvironment, represented by stromal and immune cells, and intrinsic vascular systems will be one of the main future objectives in order to mimic the complex structures and physiology of human ovaries.

#### Fallopian tubes

Fallopian tubes (FTs) form the bridge between the ovaries and the uterine horns, and represent channels for oocyte and sperm



**Figure 1. Morphology and cellular composition of human female and male reproductive tissues.** Ovary: Each month granulosa and theca cells govern follicle development. After ovulation, corpus luteum-derived progesterone maintains pregnancy until placenta-derived hormones take control. Fallopian tube: Secretory and ciliated cells cover the mucosal surface supporting germ cell movement and survival. Endometrium: Female hormones regulate the monthly endometrial morphogenesis. Until ovulation, follicular estrogen induces endometrial proliferation while progesterone orchestrates differentiation and secretory maturation into ciliated and secretory cells of luminal epithelium (LE) and glandular epithelium (GE) segments. Cervix: The glandular epithelium of the endocervix meets the stratified squamous epithelium of the ectocervix at the transition zone. Prostate: The adult prostate is divided into one fibromuscular region and three glandular zones (central, transition, and peripheral zone). Prostate glands are composed of basal (pool of prostate stem cells), luminal (secrete proteins such as prostate-specific antigen), and neuroendocrine cells (regulatory function) (McNeal, 1981; Di Sant'Agnese, 1998; Henry *et al.*, 2018). Testis: The human testis consist of lobules containing seminiferous tubules where sperms are produced. Supported by Sertoli, Leydig, and Myoid cells, spermatogonia differentiate into mature sperm cells that are released into the duct system (Fietz and Bergmann, 2017). Blastocyst development: At 5- to 7-day post-fertilization, three founding lineages are formed: epibhalt (EPI, extraembryonic), trophectoderm (TE, extraembryonic), primitive endoderm (PrE, extraembryonic) (Meistermann *et al.*, 2021). Placenta: The trophectoderm (TE) gives rise to placental epithelial cells, the trophoblasts. During first trimester pregnancy, villous cytotrophoblasts differentiate into hormone-producing syncytiotrophoblasts or decidua-invading extravillous trophoblasts.

transport, and eventually fertilization. Human FTs are divided in four regions (from medial to lateral: isthmus, ampulla, infundibulum, and fimbriae) and are lined by a columnar epithelium (FTE) comprising ciliated and secretory cells (Eddy and Pauerstein, 1980) (Fig. 1). Ciliated cells are equipped with rhythmically waving slender cilia whose movements are precisely orchestrated by hormone levels during the menstrual cycle (Lyons et al., 2002). The number of ciliated cells is continuously increasing towards the distal end of FTs possibly supporting oocyte capture and conveyance (Crow et al., 1994). Secretory cells



**Figure 2. Organoid structures modeling female and male physiological reproductive tissues.** Ovarian surface epithelial cells form a single cell-layered organoid. Under differentiating conditions, organoids of the fallopian tube, the endometrial epithelium, and the endocervix comprise ciliated (Ep<sup>cil</sup>) and secretory cells (Ep<sup>sec</sup>). Ectocervical organoids are formed by a stratified epithelium. Prostate gland organoids consist of luminal cells and few basal cells at the outer layers. Testis organoids are formed by germ cells and a supporting stroma, including Sertoli cells. Currently, the testis organoid illustration represents an idealized, hypothetical model. Embryonic stem cells/induced pluripotent stem cells self-organize into blastoids comprising a trophectoderm (TE) surrounding the epiblast (EPI) and the primitive endoderm (PrE). Trophoblast organoids develop outer layers of villous cytotrophoblasts (SCT). Please note that independent from the cell source, a basal-apical polarity is established towards the center of reproductive organoids.

produce a nutrient-rich fluid providing a supportive environment for survival, transport, and fertilization of the gametes (Leese *et al.*, 2001). Secretory cells are more prominent at the site of fertilization, the ampulla, which is also the most frequent region for ectopic pregnancies (Wong and Clark, 1968). Beside their role in reproduction, various studies identified FT secretory cells in precursor lesions of high-grade serous epithelial OC, which is the most common and lethal OC subtype (Levanon *et al.*, 2010; Kurman and Shih, 2011; Zheng *et al.*, 2018).

#### Organoids modeling fallopian tubes

First attempts of in vitro cultivation in 1990s struggled with difficulties of maintaining FTEs, and reported a rapid loss of differentiation characteristics under conventional 2D culture conditions (Henriksen et al., 1990). Twenty years later, the first 3D cultures were performed. Paik et al. (2012) demonstrated that a small subset of FTE cells (CD44<sup>+</sup>, ITGA6<sup>+</sup>) embedded in equal amounts of Matrigel and prostate epithelial cell growth medium, can give rise to self-renewing spheres in 3D cultures. But only in 2015, Kessler and colleagues successfully established hormone-responsive FTE organoids that were maintained long-term and recapitulated the FT tissue architecture (Fig. 2). Basically, the authors embedded isolated FTE cells into undiluted Matrigel and incubated the cells in the presence of Wnt activators (RSPO1, wingless-type MMTV integration site family, member 3A (WNT3A)), transforming growth factor beta (TGF $\beta$ ) inhibitors (noggin, SB431542), mitogens (EGF, FGF10), and Rock inhibitor (Y27632). Similar to the in vivo FTE, FT organoids comprised secretory and ciliated cells. FT organoid cultures were shown to be preserved by WNT that induces hTERT, axis inhibition protein 2 (AXIN2), cMYC, and neurogenic locus notch homolog protein 1 (NOTCH1). Interestingly, inhibition of NOTCH activity provoked a strong induction of ciliogenesis revealing a WNT-NOTCH-dependent axis ensuring stemness and self-renewal of FT organoids (Kessler et al., 2015). In 2017, Yucer et al. established protocols to mimic in vivo FT development by using iPSCs that were first differentiated into intermediate mesoderm cells and subsequently into FTE precursors. Embedded into Matrigel, these cells also developed into hormone-responsive FT organoids comprising ciliated and secretory cells (Yucer et al., 2017). In 2020, researchers achieved spheroid and organoid structures comprising ciliated and secretory cells when cultivating primary FTE on top of gelatin- or Matrigelcoated wells (Chang et al., 2020). Notably, another group revealed that FTEs from the infundibulum gave rise to larger organoids and identified aldehyde dehydrogenase as a stem/progenitor FTE cell marker associated with increased organoid formation (Rose et al., 2020). In summary, FT organoids derived from primary cells

and iPSCs represent powerful tools to faithfully recapitulate cellular compositions in FTE subjected to cyclic hormonal fluctuations.

#### Endometrium

The human endometrium forms the inner lining of the uterus and comprises a single-layered columnar epithelium supported by an underlying stromal compartment. During reproductive life, it undergoes monthly remodeling of shedding, regeneration, and differentiation, a process that depends on stem cells residing in the basal layers, and hormonal regulation (Fig. 1). Until ovulation, follicular estrogen (E<sub>2</sub>) induces endometrial proliferation while corpus luteum-derived progesterone governs differentiation and secretory maturation into ciliated and secretory cells of luminal and glandular segments. Beside hormonal regulation, cross-talk between epithelial and stromal components is assumed to ensure optimal endometrial refinement for blastocyst attachment and implantation, and maintenance of pregnancy in mammals. It is remarkable that the menstrual cycle occurs only in a limited number of mammals including primates, some species of bat, the spiny mouse, and the elephant shrew (Catalini and Fedder, 2020). The murine endometrium, for example, is not shed but controlled by an estrous cycle divided into four stages (proestrus, estrus, metestrus, and diestrus) that repeats every 4-5 days until pregnancy occurs (Byers et al., 2012). Hence it might be reasonably assumed that species-specific differences would exist, hindering comparability between mouse and human. Consequently, the development of reliable in vitro systems modeling human endometrial glands was urgently needed.

#### Organoids modeling the epithelial endometrium

The first endometrial in vitro studies aimed to reconstruct the entire endometrium by including stromal and epithelial components. Stacks of endometrial epithelium, Matrigel, and matrixembedded stromal cells or tissue explants preserving the initial in vivo tissue integrity were used to investigate hormone-driven proliferation (Arnold et al., 2001; Pierro et al., 2001; Illouz et al., 2003). However, limited maintenance of intact histological structures and complicated assessment of proliferative responses emerged as general shortcomings in these models. In 2005, Bläuer et al. (2005) applied conditions for short-term culturing of hormone-sensitive epithelial organoids in 3D. Epithelial fragments were embedded in undiluted Matrigel and cultured with stromal cells from the same donors, growing on the plastic surface of the transwell, allowing for indirect cross-talk studies (Bläuer et al., 2005). However, it took another 12 years until conditions were established to generate long-term cultures of

genetically stable and hormone-responsive 3D endometrial gland organoids (EG-ORG) that reproduce the molecular and histological phenotypes of the uterine cycling epithelium (Fig. 2) (Boretto et al., 2017; Turco et al., 2017). Highest yields of organoids were achieved by supplementing typical organoid components (N2/ ITS, B27, EGF, FGF10) with the Wnt inducer R-Spondin, and the TGFβ/BMP inhibitors A83-01/Noggin. Hormonal-induced epithelial alterations were simulated by sequentially adding E<sub>2</sub> and progesterone. To better mimic signals from decidualized stromal cells and, eventually from a conceptus, progesterone, cAMP, prolactin, hCG, and human placental lactogen were added to E2primed organoid cultures, resulting in an increase of markers associated with ciliogenesis and secretory differentiation. In 2019, the mutual dependency of E<sub>2</sub> and NOTCH signaling during the proliferative phase was demonstrated in human EG-ORG (Haider et al., 2019). Interestingly, while E<sub>2</sub> triggers the multiciliogenesis transcriptional program, E2-induced attenuation of NOTCH1 signaling is required to provide the permissive environment. Notably, progesterone treatment was shown to attenuate estrogen-regulated genes (Hewitt et al., 2022). These findings are in line with recent sequencing data demonstrating the tight control of secretory and ciliated lineage determination by WNT and NOTCH signaling in EG-ORG and endometrial tissue (Garcia-Alonso et al., 2021). Additionally, EG-ORG were successfully developed from first trimester decidua, decidual parts attached to term placentae, and from menstrual flow, the latter two approaches highlighting non-invasive alternatives for patientderived EG-ORG platforms (Turco et al., 2017; Haider et al., 2019; Marinić et al., 2020; Cindrova-Davies et al., 2021).

Important limitations of EG-ORGs exist including the lack of a stromal compartment, as well as the inverted orientation of the apical surface that is positioned towards the center challenging the investigation of interactions with blastocysts or pathogens. Inspired by these shortcomings, researchers developed a Matrigel-free co-culture model where endometrial stromal and epithelial cells self-organized into spheroid-like structures with a single layer of epithelial cells surrounding the stromal cells (Murphy et al., 2019; Wiwatpanit et al., 2020). However, these structures were only stable for 14-28 days likely because essential factors supporting long-term maintenance were not added. To address these issues, self-aggregating hormone-responsive cocultures of human decidua-derived EG-ORG (according to published protocols) and endometrial stromal fibroblasts developed from pluripotent stem cells were tested (Cheung et al., 2021). When exposed to two rounds of 16-day decidualization induced by sequential treatment of E<sub>2</sub> and cAMP/medroxyprogesterone acetate and separated by a 10-day period of hormone withdrawal, this co-culture system induced specific endometrial markers in the appropriate compartments and a cyclic response to alternating hormone levels. In 2021, so called endometrial assembloids were developed containing EG-ORG and single-cell suspensions of patient-matched stromal cells in hydrogel scaffolds (Rawlings et al., 2021). An implantation model was further constructed by embedding human embryos into these endometrial assembloids. Interestingly, the blastocysts expanded and provoked proliferation of polar trophectoderm, however disintegration of the structures over time limited their long-term culture and experimental utility (Rawlings et al., 2021). More recently, 2D re-constituted endometrial epithelial cells exhibiting apical/basal polarity obtained from hormonally stimulated EG-ORGs have been used to model blastocyst implantation using a synthetic pluripotent stem cell (PSC)-based blastoid model (Heidari Khoei et al., 2023). EG-ORG have also been established from a broad spectrum of

#### Cervix

The cervix connects the uterine cavity to the vagina. In doing so, one of the primary functions of the cervix is to serve as a physical barrier between the external environment and the uterus, providing protection from infection and pathogen entry. The cervix also functions to facilitate the passage of spermatozoa into the uterine cavity and plays central roles in fertility and pregnancy. In terms of positioning within the female reproductive tract and epithelial architecture, there are two major regions: the endocervix and the ectocervix (Fig. 1). The endocervix, contiguous with the uterus, is a mucosal structure characterized by columnar epithelia, whereas the ectocervix is a stratified squamous epithelial tissue; these distinct regions are separated by the squamocolumnar junctional zone sharing tissue features of each epithelial subtype (Chumduri et al., 2021). Endo- and ecto-cervical regions can be distinguished by combinations of cytokeratins, including KRT7, KRT8, and KRT18, that define the endocervical region and KRT5, KRT14, and KRT17 that define the ectocervix (Chumduri et al., 2021; Lõhmussaar et al., 2021). Columnar endocervical epithelial cells form a glandular monolayer important for the production of mucins that line and lubricate the cervical canal. In contrast, the stratified and cornified nature of the squamous ectocervical epithelium establishes a protective barrier against many pathogen types (e.g. viral, bacterial, fungal), where expression of the transcription factor TP63 in basal progenitors of the ectocervix is essential for tissue organization and maintenance (Kurita et al., 2004). While the cervix plays a central role in female health by mitigating ascending infections, it also is susceptible to viralinduced cell transformation (primarily human papilloma virusmediated) leading to the development of cervical cancer, the second leading cause of death in females worldwide (Sung et al., 2021). Critically, research focused on studying cervical homeostasis/establishment, routes of infection, and cancer development have been significantly hampered by inadequate in vitro human systems that have traditionally relied on genetically unstable viral-transformed and cervical cancer cell lines such as HeLa and HT-3. Below we describe the recent development of human cervical organoid systems that recapitulate ecto- and endocervical epithelial structure, as well as provide new platforms for modeling female reproductive tract infection and cervical cancer development.

#### Organoids modeling the cervix

In 2021, two independent studies described the establishment of adult cervical organoids (Chumduri *et al.*, 2021; Lõhmussaar *et al.*, 2021). In both instances, organoids were established from patient-derived endo- and ectocervical tissue specimens obtained from hysterectomy procedures. As with many epithelial-based organoid systems, single cell suspensions generated by combinations of mechanical and enzymatic digestion are embedded into a matrix modeling a basement membrane niche (Cultrex's Basement Membrane Extract (Lõhmussaar *et al.*, 2021) or Matrigel (Chumduri *et al.*, 2021)). Survival, progenitor expansion, and inhibition of cell differentiation are achieved by adding inhibitors targeting BMP, p38 mitogen activated protein kinase (MAPK), rho-associated protein kinase (ROCK), and TGF $\beta$  pathways, as well as

of growth (i.e. FGF and EGF) and other factors including nicotinamide, β-estradiol, and the protein kinase A (PKA) agonist forskolin (Chumduri et al., 2021; Lõhmussaar et al., 2021). In these culture conditions, ectocervical organoids can be maintained and passaged over 6 months and spontaneously generate correctly orientated striated squamous epithelia-like structures that closely resemble in vivo tissue architecture (Chumduri et al., 2021; Lõhmussaar et al., 2021) (Fig. 2). This media formulation is also used in endocervical organoids but requires the addition of Wnt signaling potentiators (i.e. Wnt3a, R-spondin1, or CHIR99021) (Chumduri et al., 2021; Lõhmussaar et al., 2021). These culture conditions are consistent with the paradigm that underlying stromal cells of the endo- and ectocervix produce factors that either promote or inhibit Wnt signaling, respectively (Chumduri et al., 2021). These requirements also highlight the limitation of current cervical organoid models in that the underlying stromal compartment, including immune cells, is not fully recapitulated.

Even with limitations related to the lack of cellular complexity, human cervical organoids open new opportunities for studying not only cervical biology, but also cervical-related diseases. To date, cervical organoids have been used in modeling HIV infection (Lõhmussaar *et al.*, 2021), and cervical tumor pap-brush sampling has led to the generation of both squamous and adenocarcinoma-like organoids that could be expanded for long periods of time displaying varying degrees of chromosomal instability (Lõhmussaar *et al.*, 2021). Together, both hysterectomy and pap-brush sampling approaches used to generate normal and malignant cervical organoids establish important *in vitro* tools for modeling viral-induced infection and transformation, and create opportunities for precision patient-focused medicine.

## Male reproductive tract 3D organotypic systems

#### Prostate

The human prostate is a male reproductive gland, which lies directly beneath the bladder and wraps around the urethra and the ejaculatory ducts. Histologically it can be divided into five different zones: the central zone, the peripheral zone, the transition zone, a fibromuscular region, and a surrounding capsule (Fig. 1) (Singh and Bolla, 2022). The glands, comprising basal cells (BC), luminal cells (LC) and neuroendocrine cells, produce alkaline seminal fluid for balancing the vaginal acidity thereby supporting the sperm lifespan (Henry *et al.*, 2018; Singh and Bolla, 2022).

## Organoids modeling prostate gland development and disease

Various research papers, for example Lang et al. (2001), have demonstrated the cultivation and characteristics of spheroids as well as ECM-based 3D cultures derived from primary prostate cells and cell lines, as summarized by Patrício et al. (2022). However, in 2014, the group of Hans Clevers were the first to establish defined conditions for long-term cultivation of genetically stable human prostate organoids that resembled the in vivo composition of prostate glands. Isolated prostate cells cultivated in Matrigel and culture medium supplemented with EGF, Noggin, RSPO1, A8301, dihydrotestosterone, FGF10, FGF2, prostaglandin E2 (PGE2), nicotinamide, and p38i gave rise to single- and doublelayered organoids after 2-3 weeks (Karthaus et al., 2014). These structures mainly contained LC with some BC at the outer layers; neuroendocrine cells were not detectable (Fig. 2). The organoids exhibited key features such as the expression of prostate-specific antigen and intact androgen receptor signaling. In this work as

well as other corroborating studies, individual organoids were formed from either BC or LC. Notably, significant differences between BC- and LC-derived organoids were observed. BC were highly efficient at establishing organoids, but these organoids predominantly consisted of BC and only sporadically formed luminal structures. LC-derived organoids were formed at a much lower efficiency, but they immediately formed lumen. Interestingly, BC were detectable indicating that luminal cells could generate BC (Karthaus et al., 2014; Park et al., 2016). Following these studies, prostate organoids were also developed from ESCs (Calderon-Gierszal and Prins, 2015) and iPSCs (Hepburn et al., 2020) representing prostate organoid formation independent of primary tissue. In addition to benign/noncancerous prostate organoid modeling, cancer organoids from various cancer states have been also been developed (Gao et al., 2014; Drost et al., 2016; Puca et al., 2018). However, shortcomings highlighting that initially detectable prostate cancer hallmarks are lost in organoid culture, and metastasis-derived organoids form at a low efficiency and stability, suggest that the current culture conditions are optimized for physiologically normal tissue organoids (Servant et al., 2021). In summary, prostate organoids represent a powerful tool to investigate prostate gland development and function, however, there is a need to optimize the culture conditions supporting cancer cell phenotypes.

#### Testis

Starting at early puberty, spermatogenesis is a continuous process occurring in the seminiferous epithelium of the testis, and is supported by various stromal cells (Li et al., 2014) (Fig. 1). Specifically, Sertoli cells orchestrate the maturation of spermatogonia into sperm, Myoid cells contribute to the structure of the testis and promote the export of mature sperms to the seminal vesicles, and androgen-producing Leydig cells provoke masculinization before birth and support testosterone production in the adult (Wilhelm et al., 2007; Zirkin and Papadopoulos, 2018). Furthermore, the testicular structure comprises another characteristic, the blood-testis barrier (BTB), which separates the blood from the seminiferous tubules. Unlike other blood-tissue barriers, including the blood-brain barrier and the blood-retina barrier that are formed by tight junctions between endothelial cells surrounded by pericytes and other supporting cells, the mammalian BTB comprises specialized junctions between adjacent Sertoli cells close to the basement membrane (Cheng and Mruk, 2012). It separates a basal (spermatogonial renewal and differentiation) from an apical (spermiogenesis) compartment, however, the BTB is not a static structure but undergoes restructuring for passing differentiating spermatocytes towards the lumina (Russell and Peterson, 1985). Testicular tumors account for only 1% of all tumors in males where more than 95% are of germ cell origin with an overall good prognosis for even widely metastasized tumors (Feldman, 2008; Moch et al., 2016). This could explain why organoids modeling testicular cancers have not been developed yet.

#### Organoids modeling spermatogenesis

Male infertility and the lack of reliable male-dependent birth control inspired numerous researchers to develop *in vitro* 3D artificial testis (AT) mimicking testicular cyto-architectures for studying and manipulating human spermatogenesis. Various ECM proteins, such as collagen gels (Lee *et al.*, 2007), Matrigel (Sun *et al.*, 2018; Oliver *et al.*, 2021), soft agar (Gholami *et al.*, 2018), or decellularized testicular scaffolds (Baert *et al.*, 2017) are utilized to form scaffolds for dissociated testicular cells. Indeed, cell type-specific marker expression identified Leydig cells [STAR (steroidogenic acute regulatory protein)], 3 beta-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase ( $3\beta$ HSD), telomerase associated protein 1 (TEP1), Myoid cells [actine alpha 2, smooth muscle (ACTA2), CD34], Sertoli cells [sry-box transcription factor 9 (SOX9), clusterin (CLU), gata binding protein 4 (GATA4)], and germ cells [thy-1 cell surface antigen (THY1), promyelocytic leukemia zinc finger protein (PLZF), ubiquitin C-terminal hydrolase L1 (UCHL1), DEADbox helicase 4 (DDX4)] in AT structures (Baert et al., 2017; Pendergraft et al., 2017; Oliver et al., 2021). However, the limited germ cell maintenance represents one of the current obstacles (Baert et al., 2017; Oliver et al., 2021). A recent case report colonizing bio-printed hydrogel structures with adult human testicular cells reported enhanced gene expression involved in spermatogonial stem cell maintenance, even after 12 days (Robinson et al., 2022). Another study mixing testicular cells, specific culture media, and extracted human testis ECM into hanging drops achieved extended maintenance and differentiation of germ cells for more than 30 days (Pendergraft et al., 2017). To the best of our knowledge, a functional Sertoli cell-formed BTB, expressing tight junction proteins and being impermeable for Evans blue dye, was only demonstrated in a specialized three-layer model developed from dissociated testicular rat cells where cell-containing Matrigel was placed between two layers of cell-free Matrigel (Alves-Lopes et al., 2017). Taken together, AT represent a seminal in vitro tool for studying spermatogenesis, however there is an explicit need for improving maintenance and differentiation of male germ cells in order to advance developmental medicine.

#### Pregnancy-related 3D organotypic systems Pre- and post-implantation embryos

The development of the human embryo takes place within the female reproductive tract and, as such, cellular events during preand post-implantation are difficult to study. Traditionally, aspects of embryo development have been modeled using pluripotent stem cells derived from the epiblast lineage or by using iPSC that, when cultured in 2D, have generated important insight into epiblast lineage maintenance and early stages of embryo development (D'Amour *et al.*, 2005; Linneberg-Agerholm *et al.*, 2019; Mackinlay *et al.*, 2021). Likewise, surplus human blastocysts donated to research have contributed enormously to our understanding of cellular events in early human development (Kang *et al.*, 2014; Shahbazi *et al.*, 2016, 2017). However, the use of human embryonic tissues and blastocysts is rare and their use in research is subject to major ethical restrictions, limiting the overall utility of this material.

#### 3D systems modeling blastocyst development

Recently, major advances in the development of *in vitro* stem cell-based blastocyst models have been made. Specifically, stepwise iterations of expanded PSCs (Sozen *et al.*, 2021), embryonic PSC lines (Yanagida *et al.*, 2021; Yu *et al.*, 2021; Kagawa *et al.*, 2022), commercial iPSC lines (Yanagida *et al.*, 2021; Yu *et al.*, 2021; Kagawa *et al.*, 2022), and iPSCs derived from reprogrammed patient fibroblasts (Liu *et al.*, 2021) have been used under varying conditions to generate blastocyst-like structures that morphologically resemble pre-implantation embryonic Day 6 human blastocysts. Collectively termed blastoids and established with varying degrees of efficiency (2–80%), PSCs first must be cultured in media that promote a naïve pluripotent state [using inhibitors targeting mitogen-activated protein kinase (MEK), WNT, B-raf proto-oncogene (BRAF), ROCK, and SRC proto-oncogene, nonreceptor tyrosine kinase (SRC), and the growth factors LIF and Activin A, abbreviated as 5i/L/A (Theunissen et al., 2014) or using inhibitors targeting MEK, WNT, and atypical protein kinase C, and recombinant LIF (abbreviated as PXGL) (Rostovskaya et al., 2019)]. Importantly, this pluripotent naïve state enables cell differentiation into all three founding cell lineages and, when placed in non-adherent culture vessels that promote 3D self-assembly, PSC-derived cells spatially organize into epiblast- (OCT4+, NANOG<sup>+</sup>, SOX2<sup>+</sup>), hypoblast- (GATA4<sup>+</sup>, GATA6<sup>+</sup>, SOX17<sup>+</sup>), and trophectoderm-like (CDX2<sup>+</sup>, GATA3<sup>+</sup>, TFAP2C<sup>+</sup>) cells with a blastocoel-like cavity (Fan et al., 2021; Liu et al., 2021; Sozen et al., 2021; Yanagida et al., 2021; Yu et al., 2021; Kagawa et al., 2022) (Fig. 2). Variations in cell plating number and media pulses that independently prioritize the expansion of trophectoderm, epiblast, and hypoblast lineages have been described; the approach using PXGL-derived naïve PSCs initially exposed to trophoblast promoting HIPPO (lysophosphatidic acid), TGFB (A38-01), ERK (PD0325901), and ROCK (Y-27632) chemical inhibitors in conjunction with LIF (Kagawa et al., 2022) produce self-organizing blastoids that most closely recapitulate blastocyst morphology, lineage-specific molecular programs, and the step-wise processes of implantation (Kagawa et al., 2022).

While still in their infancy, blastoid models provide exciting in vitro platforms to study pre-and post-implantation embryogenesis akin to human E5–E8 of development, as well as cellular and molecular processes governing blastocyst implantation. Relevant to the latter, using a blastoid-endometrial epithelial cell coculture system, Kagawa *et al.* (2022) showed the importance of epiblast-polar trophectoderm cross-talk in instructing blastocyst attachment. Moving forward, blastoids can serve as tools central to understanding human developmental biology (particularly pre/post-implantation processes), early pregnancy loss, chemical screening and safety for embryos, and even patient-focused fertility assessments.

#### Placenta

Specialized placental cells called trophoblasts, derived from the trophectoderm of the implanting blastocyst, perform many of the placenta's transport, endocrine, and immunogenic functions (Fig. 1) (Knöfler et al., 2019; Turco and Moffett, 2019). In rodents and primates that have haemochorial placentas, nutrient and oxygen transfer between mother and fetus is controlled in part by the actions of syncytium-forming and invasive trophoblast subtypes (Abbas et al., 2020; Aplin and Jones, 2021). While rodent and human trophoblasts perform similar functions, trophoblast developmental trajectories in rodents and humans are different. These differences are underlined by distinct species-specific molecular programs controlling trophoblast stem cell maintenance and differentiation (Kunath et al., 2014; Blakeley et al., 2015; Petropoulos et al., 2016; Okae et al., 2018). Indeed, conditions for mouse trophoblast stem cell (mTSC) establishment were first reported in 1998 (Tanaka et al., 1998), 20 years prior to the derivation of the human equivalent (Okae et al., 2018). While mTSCs require exogenous FGF4 and TGF<sub>β1</sub>, human (h)TSC selection necessitates EGF, canonical Wingless/Integrated (Wnt) activity, and suppression of TGF $\beta$  signaling (Okae et al., 2018). Because of these distinctions, significant limitations exist when using rodent models to study human trophoblast stem cell biology. Concurrently, major ethical constraints, difficulties in accessing first trimester placental tissue for research, and sub-optimal in vitro systems have also limited progress in human placental research.

#### Organoids modeling placental development

In 2018, three separate reports described the establishment of 2D (Okae et al., 2018) and 3D (Fig. 2) (Haider et al., 2018; Turco et al., 2018) long-term regenerative trophoblast cultures. Derived from progenitor cytotrophoblasts (CTB) of first trimester chorionic villi (<8 weeks gestation), 2D hTSC lines and 3D trophoblast organoids exhibit key trophoblast identity criteria. For example, hTSCs and trophoblast organoids express trophoblast lineage genes [GATA3, keratin 7 (KRT7), transcription factor AP-2 gamma (TFAP2C), lack expression of class I HLA molecules, though this is contentious (Sheridan et al., 2021)], exhibit hypomethylation of the ETS transcription factor 5 (ELF5) promoter, and express chromosome 19 miRNA cluster micro RNAs (Lee et al., 2016). Stem-like states in 2D and 3D can be perpetuated long-term in the presence of WNT-activating and EGF signals concurrent with TGF $\beta$  and ROCK inhibition (Haider et al., 2018; Okae et al., 2018; Turco et al., 2018). Whereas both hTSC line- and primary CTB-derived organoids spontaneously fuse to generate hormone-producing multinucleated syncytiotrophoblast (SCT) scattered within the organoid's core, hTSC lines cultured in 2D require forskolin to form SCT (Okae et al., 2018; Sheridan et al., 2021). In both 2D and 3D conditions, the removal of Wnt-activating factors promotes CTB differentiation into invasive matrix-degrading extravillous trophoblasts (EVT).

Trophoblast organoids provide a substantial improvement over past in vitro trophoblastic systems where trophoblast cell lineage complexity largely mirrors cell composition in vivo (Haider et al., 2018; Turco et al., 2018). Furthermore, cell differentiation trajectories along the extravillous and villous pathways assessed by single cell transcriptomics show overall good correlation (Shannon et al., 2022a,b). However, limitations in the organoid model remain, especially with respect to the inverted orientation placing the syncytial-like structures within the organoid's core and the simplistic nature of the model that excludes extraembryonic mesoderm and decidual cell types that interact with and likely shape trophoblast biology in vivo; regarding the former limitation, a recent preprint from the Coyne laboratory describes an approach that corrects the inverted nature of the organoid (Yang et al., 2023). By subjecting organoids initially established in Matrigel (i.e. inverted orientation) to suspension culture combined with gentle agitation, Yang et al. (2023) describe a system that produces large syncytial structures (>60 nuclei) correctly situated on the outside of the organoid that secrete high levels of SCT-associated factors, such as hCG.

An additional consideration when designing a trophoblast organoid system relates to the choice of trophoblast progenitor cell source to use. In addition to freshly isolated primary CTB preparations, TSC lines can generate organoids that recapitulate an inverted CTB/SCT orientation. However, TSC line-derived organoids may resemble more closely EVT progenitor-like cells, both transcriptionally and in their surface expression of ITGA2 and HLA-G (Sheridan et al., 2021). Moreover, TSC lines cultured in 2D or 3D show detectable levels of Class I HLA-A/B, where 3D culture appears to dampen, but not eliminate, their expression (Sheridan et al., 2021). A recent preprint supports these findings, showing that progenitors in hTSC line-derived organoids resemble a developmentally downstream state that is transcriptionally akin to column CTB (Shannon et al., 2022b). Given that hTSC lines are commercially available, more accessible than freshly isolated CTBs, and provide major improvements over pre-existing choriocarcinoma and immortalized cell lines for studying trophoblast biology, a careful assessment of both the strengths and weaknesses of hTSC lines is warranted. To complicate this further, new reports describing the derivation of hTSC lines from PSC sources (Wei *et al.*, 2021; Karvas *et al.*, 2022; Soncin *et al.*, 2022) and from the direct reprogramming of adult somatic cells (called induced TSCs) (Castel *et al.*, 2020; Tan *et al.*, 2022), that in theory can or have (Karvas *et al.*, 2022) been applied to organoid conditions, will also need to be carefully evaluated.

To date, trophoblast organoids have been used to examine molecular processes governing stem cell maintenance (Meinhardt et al., 2020; Shannon et al., 2022a) as well as cell differentiation along the villous (Meinhardt et al., 2020) and extravillous (Haider et al., 2022) pathways. For example, chemical inhibition as well as CRISP-Cas9-mediated knockout of the Hippo signaling-dependent transcriptional co-activator YAP1 in CTBand JEG-3-derived trophoblast organoids, respectively, led to a profound decrease in progenitor cell proliferation and results in unrestrained differentiation into SCT-like structures, demonstrating that YAP1 signaling is critical for trophoblast progenitor maintenance (Meinhardt et al., 2020). In trophoblast organoids instructed to differentiate into EVT, a time-delayed exogenous TGF $\beta$  impulse is required for the expression of many markers characteristic of mature, decidua-embedded EVTs (e.g. FN1, DAO), whereas TGFβ inhibition, essential for EVT progenitor formation, results in the development of pro-migratory/pro-invasive features, highlighting that decidual cell-derived TGF $\beta$  plays a central role in controlling EVT development (Haider et al., 2022). Trophoblast organoids have also been used to examine routes of vertical viral infection and subsequent trophoblast-mediated inflammatory responses in decidual cells. For example, in response to human cytomegalovirus infection, trophoblast organoids induce a Type III interferon response that leads to protection of decidual cells (Yang et al., 2022). iPSC-derived TSC organoids have also been used to examine ZIKA and severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) virus interactions with trophoblasts (Karvas et al., 2022). While serving as useful tools to model infection, both the inverted nature of the trophoblast organoid and expression of MHC class I ligands normally not expressed by progenitor CTB or SCT does highlight important limitations of this system that necessitate the careful interpretation and/or tempering of findings related to pathogen-host modeling.

## Current challenges, future directions, and conclusions

While the RO platforms described here provide important in vitro advancements over previous cell culture systems, major limitations exist and opportunities for model-improvement are still needed. Two common limitations shared across all ROs are the lack of cellular complexity and the absence of an intact physiology (i.e. vascular system, immune system). The uni-lineage nature of ROs that are primarily epithelial cell based, while advantageous from both reductionist and reproducibility standpoints, does ignore critical cross-talk between mesenchymal and immune cell populations that most likely shape organ development and function. Attempts to increase cellular complexity have made use of ROs co-cultured with stromal (i.e. trophoblast and endometrial organoid co-cultures) (Yang et al., 2022) or immune cells (i.e. endometrial organoids with peripheral blood leukocytes) (Dolat and Valdivia, 2021). While conceptually appealing, particularly with respect to the incorporation of reproductive mucosal immune cell populations, such as tissue resident macrophages and natural killer cells into the RO culture design, important challenges remain. For instance, the phenotype and function(s) of mucosal immune cells residing within both the female and male reproductive tract are thought to be fined-tuned by their tissue environments, and when transplanted into *in vitro* culture rapidly change their phenotype and are no longer representative of the *in vivo* biology. Along this line, we should keep in mind that RO cultivation based on the manipulation of essential signaling pathways, such as Wnt and TGF $\beta$ , was specifically optimized for supporting epithelial growth and differentiation. Therefore, it is likely that in co-culture scenarios mesenchymal cells will respond differently to pathway manipulations thereby compromising interpretation of experimental outcomes.

The application of microfluidic organ-on-chip systems that recapitulate endothelial-epithelial cell cross-talk, tissue permeability, and the effects of fluid shear stress on cellular processes, to our knowledge, has not been applied to female RO systems. However, organ-on-chip systems using cell lines and primary cells cultured in 2D have been used to model the placenta barrier (Blundell et al., 2016; Nishiguchi et al., 2019), endometrial physiology (Ahn et al., 2021), and endo- and ecto-cervical epithelial cell interactions (Tantengco et al., 2022). Additionally, multi-organon-chip testes and liver organoid co-cultures have been established to model hepatic cell metabolism of androgens (Baert et al., 2020). Taken together, these advances suggest the broader use of RO platforms incorporating microfluidic systems to better model organ physiology will be the major next steps in RO culture.

Lastly, another refinement that will need to be considered for RO relates to the basement membrane ECM used. Currently, Matrigel or similar mouse tumor-derived basement membrane products (e.g. Cultrex BME, Geltrex) are most often used in organoid culture. These products are enriched for the basement membrane proteins collagen IV and laminin that help to establish cell-matrix anchoring and polarity of progenitor cells required for organoid establishment. However, the composition and concentration of specific factors within these products are poorly defined and vary according to lot production. Moreover, control of matrix stiffness is difficult to achieve using Matrigellike products, and therefore the ability to replicate and maintain substratum stiffness of specific reproductive tissue progenitor niches is not possible. To address this, the use of stable hydrogel scaffolds incorporating basement membrane matrix fragments designed to engage specific cell surface receptors are now beginning to be used in spheroids and organoids (Cruz-Acuña et al., 2017; Gjorevski et al., 2022). At the same time, decellularized tissue-specific matrix extracts are also being tested as improvements over Matrigel (Giobbe et al., 2019). Decellularization requires a combination of enzymatic, chemical, and physical removal of cells resulting in a scaffold comprising the complex mixture of structural and functional proteins (Gilbert et al., 2006; Francés-Herrero et al., 2022). So far, this approach has been successfully applied for reconstructing a human ovary (Pors et al., 2019; Pennarossa et al., 2021), growth and differentiation of human spermatogonial stem cells (Bashiri et al., 2021; Salem et al., 2023), and for constructing a 3D endometrium (Olalekan et al., 2017).

The establishment and refinement of reproductive tissue organoid platforms have led to major improvements over traditional human primary and cell line models. Already, human RO have generated novel insights into the molecular drivers controlling reproductive tissue development. Their use in modeling human disease development and pharmacological screening, especially when complemented with existing animal models, will likely lead to improvements in our understanding of reproductive biology and disease.

#### Data availability

No new data were generated or analysed in support of this research.

#### **Authors' roles**

Conceptualization: S.H. and A.G.B.; Writing—original draft: S.H. and A.G.B.; Writing—review and editing: S.H. and A.G.B.; Funding acquisition: S.H. and A.G.B.; Illustration concept: S.H. and A.G.B; Illustration design and performance: S.H.

#### Funding

Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada Discovery (RGPIN-2020-05378) and Accelerator Grants (RGPAS-2020-00013) (to A.G.B.) and the Austrian Science Fund (Grant P-34588) assigned to S.H.

#### **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that no competing interests exist.

#### References

- Abbas Y, Turco MY, Burton GJ, Moffett A. Investigation of human trophoblast invasion in vitro. Hum Reprod Update 2020;26:501–513.
- Adey A, Burton JN, Kitzman JO, Hiatt JB, Lewis AP, Martin BK, Qiu R, Lee C, Shendure J. The haplotype-resolved genome and epigenome of the aneuploid HeLa cancer cell line. *Nature* 2013;500: 207–211.
- Ahn J, Yoon M-J, Hong S-H, Cha H, Lee D, Koo HS, Ko J-E, Lee J, Oh S, Jeon NL et al. Three-dimensional microengineered vascularised endometrium-on-a-chip. Hum Reprod 2021;36:2720–2731.
- Alberico H, Fleischmann Z, Bobbitt T, Takai Y, Ishihara O, Seki H, Anderson RA, Telfer EE, Woods DC, Tilly JL. Workflow optimization for identification of female germline or oogonial stem cells in human ovarian cortex using single-cell RNA sequence analysis. Stem Cells 2022;40:523–536.
- Alves-Lopes JP, Söder O, Stukenborg J-B. Testicular organoid generation by a novel in vitro three-layer gradient system. *Biomaterials* 2017;**130**:76–89.
- Alzamil L, Nikolakopoulou K, Turco MY. Organoid systems to study the human female reproductive tract and pregnancy. *Cell Death Differ* 2021;**28**:35–51.
- Aplin JD, Jones CJP. Cell dynamics in human villous trophoblast. Hum Reprod Update 2021;**27**:904–922.
- Arnold JT, Kaufman DG, Seppälä M, Lessey BA. Endometrial stromal cells regulate epithelial cell growth in vitro: a new co-culture model. Hum Reprod 2001;16:836–845.
- Baert Y, De Kock J, Alves-Lopes JP, Söder O, Stukenborg J-B, Goossens E. Primary human testicular cells self-organize into organoids with testicular properties. Stem Cell Reports 2017;8:30–38.
- Baert Y, Ruetschle I, Cools W, Oehme A, Lorenz A, Marx U, Goossens E, Maschmeyer I. A multi-organ-chip co-culture of liver and testis equivalents: a first step toward a systemic male reprotoxicity model. Hum Reprod 2020;35:1029–1044.
- Bashiri Z, Amiri I, Gholipourmalekabadi M, Falak R, Asgari H, Maki CB, Moghaddaszadeh A, Koruji M. Artificial testis: a testicular

tissue extracellular matrix as a potential bio-ink for 3D printing. Biomater Sci 2021;**9**:3465–3484.

Blakeley P, Fogarty NME, del VI, Wamaitha SE, Hu TX, Elder K, Snell P, Christie L, Robson P, Niakan KK. Defining the three cell lineages of the human blastocyst by single-cell RNA-seq. *Development* 2015;**142**:3613.

Bläuer M, Heinonen PK, Martikainen PM, Tomás E, Ylikomi T. A novel organotypic culture model for normal human endometrium: regulation of epithelial cell proliferation by estradiol and medroxyprogesterone acetate. *Hum Reprod* 2005;**20**:864–871.

Block E. A quantitative morphological investigation of the follicular system in newborn female infants. Acta Anat (Basel) 1953;17: 201–206.

Blundell C, Tess ER, Schanzer ASR, Coutifaris C, Su EJ, Parry S, Huh D. A microphysiological model of the human placental barrier. *Lab Chip* 2016;**16**:3065–3073.

Boretto M, Cox B, Noben M, Hendriks N, Fassbender A, Roose H, Amant F, Timmerman D, Tomassetti C, Vanhie A *et al.* Development of organoids from mouse and human endometrium showing endometrial epithelium physiology and long-term expandability. *Development* 2017;**144**:1775–1786.

Boretto M, Maenhoudt N, Luo X, Hennes A, Boeckx B, Bui B, Heremans R, Perneel L, Kobayashi H, Van Zundert I et al. Patientderived organoids from endometrial disease capture clinical heterogeneity and are amenable to drug screening. Nat Cell Biol 2019; 21:1041–1051.

Burgoyne PS, Buehr M, Koopman P, Rossant J, McLaren A. Cell-autonomous action of the testis-determining gene: Sertoli cells are exclusively XY in XX–XY chimaeric mouse testes. *Development* 1988; 102:443–450.

Byers SL, Wiles MV, Dunn SL, Taft RA. Mouse estrous cycle identification tool and images. PLoS One 2012;**7**:e35538.

Calderon-Gierszal EL, Prins GS. Directed differentiation of human embryonic stem cells into prostate organoids in vitro and its perturbation by low-dose bisphenol A exposure. *PLoS One* 2015;**10**: e0133238.

Carter AM. Animal models of human placentation – a review. *Placenta* 2007;**28**:S41–S47.

Castel G, Meistermann D, Bretin B, Firmin J, Blin J, Loubersac S, Bruneau A, Chevolleau S, Kilens S, Chariau C *et al.* Induction of human trophoblast stem cells from somatic cells and pluripotent stem cells. *Cell Rep* 2020;**33**:108419.

Catalini L, Fedder J. Characteristics of the endometrium in menstruating species: lessons learned from the animal kingdom. *Biol Reprod* 2020;**102**:1160–1169.

Chang Y-H, Chu T-Y, Ding D-C. Human fallopian tube epithelial cells exhibit stemness features, self-renewal capacity, and Wntrelated organoid formation. *J Biomed Sci* 2020;**27**:32.

Chen Y-A, Lu C-Y, Cheng W-F, Kuo K-T, Yu C-W, Ho H-N, Chen H-F, Pan S-H. An experimental model for ovarian cancer: propagation of ovarian cancer initiating cells and generation of ovarian cancer organoids. *BMC Cancer* 2022;**22**:967.

Cheng CY, Mruk DD. The blood-testis barrier and its implications for male contraception. *Pharmacol Rev* 2012;**64**:16–64.

Cheung VC, Peng C-Y, Marinić M, Sakabe NJ, Aneas I, Lynch VJ, Ober C, Nobrega MA, Kessler JA. Pluripotent stem cell-derived endometrial stromal fibroblasts in a cyclic, hormone-responsive, coculture model of human decidua. *Cell Rep* 2021;**35**:109138.

Chitiashvili T, Hsu F, Dror I, Plath K, Clark A. FGFR3 is expressed by human primordial germ cells and is repressed after meiotic initiation to form primordial oocytes. *Stem Cell Reports* 2022;**17**: 1268–1278.

- Choi JK, Agarwal P, Huang H, Zhao S, He X. The crucial role of mechanical heterogeneity in regulating follicle development and ovulation with engineered ovarian microtissue. *Biomaterials* 2014; 35:5122–5128.
- Chumduri C, Gurumurthy RK, Berger H, Dietrich O, Kumar N, Koster S, Brinkmann V, Hoffmann K, Drabkina M, Arampatzi P *et al.* Opposing Wnt signals regulate cervical squamocolumnar homeostasis and emergence of metaplasia. *Nat Cell Biol* 2021;**23**: 184–197.
- Chumduri C, Turco MY. Organoids of the female reproductive tract. J Mol Med (Berl) 2021;**99**:531–553.

Cindrova-Davies T, Zhao X, Elder K, Jones CJP, Moffett A, Burton GJ, Turco MY. Menstrual flow as a non-invasive source of endometrial organoids. *Commun Biol* 2021;**4**:651.

Clevers H. Modeling development and disease with organoids. *Cell* 2016;**165**:1586–1597.

Crow J, Amso NN, Lewin J, Shaw RW. Physiology: morphology and ultrastructure of fallopian tube epithelium at different stages of the menstrual cycle and menopause. *Hum Reprod* 1994;**9**: 2224–2233.

Cruz-Acuña R, Quirós M, Farkas AE, Dedhia PH, Huang S, Siuda D, García-Hernández V, Miller AJ, Spence JR, Nusrat A et al. Synthetic hydrogels for human intestinal organoid generation and colonic wound repair. Nat Cell Biol 2017;**19**:1326–1335.

D'Amour KA, Agulnick AD, Eliazer S, Kelly OG, Kroon E, Baetge EE. Efficient differentiation of human embryonic stem cells to definitive endoderm. Nat Biotechnol 2005;**23**:1534–1541.

Di Sant'Agnese PA. Neuroendocrine cells of the prostate and neuroendocrine differentiation in prostatic carcinoma: a review of morphologic aspects. Urology 1998;**51**:121–124.

Dolat L, Valdivia RH. An endometrial organoid model of interactions between *Chlamydia* and epithelial and immune cells. *J Cell Sci* 2021;**134**:jcs252403.

Drost J, Karthaus WR, Gao D, Driehuis E, Sawyers CL, Chen Y, Clevers H. Organoid culture systems for prostate epithelial and cancer tissue. Nat Protoc 2016;**11**:347–358.

- Eddy CA, Pauerstein CJ. Anatomy and physiology of the fallopian tube. Clin Obstet Gynecol 1980;**23**:1177–1193.
- Fan Y, Min Z, Alsolami S, Ma Z, Zhang E, Chen W, Zhong K, Pei W, Kang X, Zhang P et al. Generation of human blastocyst-like structures from pluripotent stem cells. Cell Discov 2021;7:81.

Feldman DR, Bosl GJ, Sheinfeld J, Motzer RJ. Medical treatment of advanced testicular cancer. JAMA 2008;**299**:672–684.

Fietz D, Bergmann M. Functional anatomy and histology of the testis. In: Simoni M, Huhtaniemi IT (eds). Endocrinol Testis Male Reprod. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2017, 313–341. http:// link.springer.com/10.1007/978-3-319-44441-3\_9.

Ford MJ, Harwalkar K, Yamanaka Y. Protocol to generate mouse oviduct epithelial organoids for viral transduction and wholemount 3D imaging. STAR Protoc 2022;**3**:101164.

Francés-Herrero E, Lopez R, Hellström M, de M-GL, Herraiz S, Brännström M, Pellicer A, Cervelló I. Bioengineering trends in female reproduction: a systematic review. *Hum Reprod Update* 2022; 28:798–837.

Gao D, Vela I, Sboner A, Iaquinta PJ, Karthaus WR, Gopalan A, Dowling C, Wanjala JN, Undvall EA, Arora VK et al. Organoid cultures derived from patients with advanced prostate cancer. Cell 2014;159:176–187.

Garcia-Alonso L, Handfield L-F, Roberts K, Nikolakopoulou K, Fernando RC, Gardner L, Woodhams B, Arutyunyan A, Polanski K, Hoo R *et al.* Mapping the temporal and spatial dynamics of the

Dolmans M-M, Amorim CA. Fertility preservation: construction and use of artificial ovaries. *Reproduction* 2019;**158**:F15–F25.

human endometrium in vivo and in vitro. Nat Genet 2021;**53**: 1698–1711.

- Gholami K, Pourmand G, Koruji M, Sadighigilani M, Navid S, Izadyar F, Abbasi M. Efficiency of colony formation and differentiation of human spermatogenic cells in two different culture systems. *Reprod Biol* 2018;**18**:397–403.
- Gilbert T, Sellaro T, Badylak S. Decellularization of tissues and organs. *Biomaterials* 2006;**27**:3675–3683.
- Giobbe GG, Crowley C, Luni C, Campinoti S, Khedr M, Kretzschmar K, De Santis MM, Zambaiti E, Michielin F, Meran L et al. Extracellular matrix hydrogel derived from decellularized tissues enables endodermal organoid culture. Nat Commun 2019;**10**:5658.
- Gjorevski N, Nikolaev M, Brown TE, Mitrofanova O, Brandenberg N, DelRio FW, Yavitt FM, Liberali P, Anseth KS, Lutolf MP. Tissue geometry drives deterministic organoid patterning. Science 2022; 375:eaaw9021.
- Gougeon A. Regulation of ovarian follicular development in primates: facts and hypotheses. Endocr Rev 1996;17:121–155.
- Habara O, Logan CY, Kanai-Azuma M, Nusse R, Takase HM. WNT signaling in pre-granulosa cells is required for ovarian folliculogenesis and female fertility. *Development* 2021;**148**:dev198846.
- Haider S, Gamperl M, Burkard TR, Kunihs V, Kaindl U, Junttila S, Fiala C, Schmidt K, Mendjan S, Knöfler M et al. Estrogen signaling drives ciliogenesis in human endometrial organoids. Endocrinology 2019;**160**:2282–2297.
- Haider S, Lackner AI, Dietrich B, Kunihs V, Haslinger P, Meinhardt G, Maxian T, Saleh L, Fiala C, Pollheimer J *et al.* Transforming growth factor- $\beta$  signaling governs the differentiation program of extravillous trophoblasts in the developing human placenta. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2022;**119**:e2120667119.
- Haider S, Meinhardt G, Saleh L, Kunihs V, Gamperl M, Kaindl U, Ellinger A, Burkard TR, Fiala C, Pollheimer J et al. Self-renewing trophoblast organoids recapitulate the developmental program of the early human placenta. *Stem Cell Reports* 2018;**11**:537–551.
- Hayashi K, Ogushi S, Kurimoto K, Shimamoto S, Ohta H, Saitou M. Offspring from oocytes derived from in vitro primordial germ cell-like cells in mice. *Science* 2012;**338**:971–975.
- Heidari Khoei H, Javali A, Kagawa H, Sommer TM, Sestini G, David L, Slovakova J, Novatchkova M, Scholte Op Reimer Y, Rivron N. Generating human blastoids modeling blastocyst-stage embryos and implantation. Nat Protoc 2023. https://www.nature.com/ articles/s41596-023-00802-1.
- Henriksen T, Tanbo T, Abyholm T, Oppedal BR, Claussen OP, Hovig T. Epithelial cells from human fallopian tube in culture. *Hum Reprod* 1990;**5**:25–31.
- Henry GH, Malewska A, Joseph DB, Malladi VS, Lee J, Torrealba J, Mauck RJ, Gahan JC, Raj GV, Roehrborn CG et al. A cellular anatomy of the normal adult human prostate and prostatic urethra. *Cell Rep* 2018;**25**:3530–3542.e5.
- Hepburn AC, Curry EL, Moad M, Steele RE, Franco OE, Wilson L, Singh P, Buskin A, Crawford SE, Gaughan L et al. Propagation of human prostate tissue from induced pluripotent stem cells. Stem Cells Transl Med 2020;9:734–745.
- Herta AC, Lolicato F, Smitz JEJ. In vitro follicle culture in the context of IVF. *Reproduction* 2018;**156**:F59–F73.
- Hewitt SC, Wu S, Wang T, Young SL, Spencer TE, DeMayo FJ. Progesterone signaling in endometrial epithelial organoids. *Cells* 2022;**11**:1760.
- Hikabe O, Hamazaki N, Nagamatsu G, Obata Y, Hirao Y, Hamada N, Shimamoto S, Imamura T, Nakashima K, Saitou M *et al.* Reconstitution in vitro of the entire cycle of the mouse female germ line. *Nature* 2016;**539**:299–303.

- Illouz S, Dales J-P, Sferlazzo K, Garcia S, Carpentier-Meunier S, Boubli L, Lavaut M-N, Charpin C. Effects of progestins of human proliferative endometrium: an in vitro model of potential clinical relevance. Int J Mol Med 2003;12:517–523.
- Johnson J, Canning J, Kaneko T, Pru JK, Tilly JL. Germline stem cells and follicular renewal in the postnatal mammalian ovary. *Nature* 2004;**428**:145–150.
- Kagawa H, Javali A, Khoei HH, Sommer TM, Sestini G, Novatchkova M, Scholte Op Reimer Y, Castel G, Bruneau A, Maenhoudt N et al. Human blastoids model blastocyst development and implantation. Nature 2022;601:600–605.
- Kang Y-J, Forbes K, Carver J, Aplin JD. The role of the osteopontinintegrin  $\alpha v\beta 3$  interaction at implantation: functional analysis using three different in vitro models. *Hum Reprod* 2014;**29**:739–749.
- Karthaus WR, Iaquinta PJ, Drost J, Gracanin A, van Boxtel R, Wongvipat J, Dowling CM, Gao D, Begthel H, Sachs N et al. Identification of multipotent luminal progenitor cells in human prostate organoid cultures. Cell 2014;**159**:163–175.
- Karvas RM, Khan SA, Verma S, Yin Y, Kulkarni D, Dong C, Park K-M, Chew B, Sane E, Fischer LA *et al.* Stem-cell-derived trophoblast organoids model human placental development and susceptibility to emerging pathogens. *Cell Stem Cell* 2022;**29**:810–825.e8.
- Kessler M, Hoffmann K, Brinkmann V, Thieck O, Jackisch S, Toelle B, Berger H, Mollenkopf H-J, Mangler M, Sehouli J et al. The Notch and Wnt pathways regulate stemness and differentiation in human fallopian tube organoids. Nat Commun 2015;**6**:8989.
- Knöfler M, Haider S, Saleh L, Pollheimer J, Gamage TKJB, James J. Human placenta and trophoblast development: key molecular mechanisms and model systems. *Cell Mol Life Sci* 2019;**76**: 3479–3496.
- Kopper O, de Witte CJ, Lõhmussaar K, Valle-Inclan JE, Hami N, Kester L, Balgobind AV, Korving J, Proost N, Begthel H et al. An organoid platform for ovarian cancer captures intra- and interpatient heterogeneity. Nat Med 2019;25:838–849.
- Kunath T, Yamanaka Y, Detmar J, MacPhee D, Caniggia I, Rossant J, Jurisicova A. Developmental differences in the expression of FGF receptors between human and mouse embryos. *Placenta* 2014;**35**: 1079–1088.
- Kurita T, Mills AA, Cunha GR. Roles of p63 in the diethylstilbestrolinduced cervicovaginal adenosis. Development 2004;131: 1639–1649.
- Kurman RJ, Shih I-M. Molecular pathogenesis and extraovarian origin of epithelial ovarian cancer—shifting the paradigm. Hum Pathol 2011;42:918–931.
- Kwong J, Chan FL, Wong K, Birrer MJ, Archibald KM, Balkwill FR, Berkowitz RS, Mok SC. Inflammatory cytokine tumor necrosis factor α confers precancerous phenotype in an organoid model of normal human ovarian surface epithelial cells. *Neoplasia* 2009;**11**: 529–541.
- Lang SH, Stark M, Collins A, Paul AB, Stower MJ, Maitland NJ. Experimental prostate epithelial morphogenesis in response to stroma and three-dimensional matrigel culture. Cell Growth Differ Mol Biol J Am Assoc Cancer Res 2001;12:631–640.
- Lee CQE, Gardner L, Turco M, Zhao N, Murray MJ, Coleman N, Rossant J, Hemberger M, Moffett A. What is trophoblast? A combination of criteria define human first-trimester trophoblast. *Stem Cell Reports* 2016;**6**:257–272.
- Lee J-H, Gye MC, Choi KW, Hong JY, Lee YB, Park D-W, Lee SJ, Min CK. In vitro differentiation of germ cells from nonobstructive azoospermic patients using three-dimensional culture in a collagen gel matrix. *Fertil Steril* 2007;**87**:824–833.
- Leese H, Tay J, Reischl J, Downing S. Formation of fallopian tubal fluid: role of a neglected epithelium. Reproduction 2001;121:

339–346. https://rep.bioscientifica.com/view/journals/rep/121/3/339.xml.

- Levanon K, Ng V, Piao HY, Zhang Y, Chang MC, Roh MH, Kindelberger DW, Hirsch MS, Crum CP, Marto JA *et al.* Primary ex vivo cultures of human fallopian tube epithelium as a model for serous ovarian carcinogenesis. *Oncogene* 2010;**29**:1103–1113.
- Li X, Zheng M, Xu B, Li D, Shen Y, Nie Y, Ma L, Wu J. Generation of offspring-producing 3D ovarian organoids derived from female germline stem cells and their application in toxicological detection. *Biomaterials* 2021;**279**:121213.
- Li Y, Zheng M, Lau Y-FC. The sex-determining factors SRY and SOX9 regulate similar target genes and promote testis cord formation during testicular differentiation. *Cell Rep* 2014;**8**:723–733.
- Linneberg-Agerholm M, Wong YF, Romero Herrera JA, Monteiro RS, Anderson KGV, Brickman JM. Naïve human pluripotent stem cells respond to Wnt, Nodal and LIF signalling to produce expandable naïve extra-embryonic endoderm. *Dev Camb Engl* 2019; 146:dev180620.
- Liu D, Chen Y, Ren Y, Yuan P, Wang N, Liu Q, Yang C, Yan Z, Yang M, Wang J et al. Primary specification of blastocyst trophectoderm by scRNA-seq: New insights into embryo implantation. Sci Adv 2022; 8:eabj3725.
- Liu X, Tan JP, Schröder J, Aberkane A, Ouyang JF, Mohenska M, Lim SM, Sun YBY, Chen J, Sun G *et al.* Modelling human blastocysts by reprogramming fibroblasts into iBlastoids. *Nature* 2021;**591**: 627–632.
- Lõhmussaar K, Oka R, Espejo Valle-Inclan J, Smits MHH, Wardak H, Korving J, Begthel H, Proost N, van de Ven M, Kranenburg OW *et al.* Patient-derived organoids model cervical tissue dynamics and viral oncogenesis in cervical cancer. *Cell Stem Cell* 2021;**28**: 1380–1396.e6.
- Luo H, Li X, Tian GG, Li D, Hou C, Ding X, Hou L, Lyu Q, Yang Y, Cooney AJ et al. Offspring production of ovarian organoids derived from spermatogonial stem cells by defined factors with chromatin reorganization. J Adv Res 2021;33:81–98.
- Lyons RA, Djahanbakhch O, Mahmood T, Saridogan E, Sattar S, Sheaff MT, Naftalin AA, Chenoy R. Fallopian tube ciliary beat frequency in relation to the stage of menstrual cycle and anatomical site. *Hum Reprod* 2002;**17**:584–588.
- Mackinlay KM, Weatherbee BA, Souza Rosa V, Handford CE, Hudson G, Coorens T, Pereira LV, Behjati S, Vallier L, Shahbazi MN *et al.* An in vitro stem cell model of human epiblast and yolk sac interaction. *eLife* 2021;**10**:e63930.
- Maenhoudt N, Defraye C, Boretto M, Jan Z, Heremans R, Boeckx B, Hermans F, Arijs I, Cox B, Van Nieuwenhuysen E *et al*. Developing organoids from ovarian cancer as experimental and preclinical models. Stem Cell Reports 2020;**14**:717–729.
- Maherali N, Sridharan R, Xie W, Utikal J, Eminli S, Arnold K, Stadtfeld M, Yachechko R, Tchieu J, Jaenisch R et al. Directly reprogrammed fibroblasts show global epigenetic remodeling and widespread tissue contribution. *Cell Stem Cell* 2007;**1**:55–70.
- Marinić M, Rana S, Lynch VJ. Derivation of endometrial gland organoids from term placenta. *Placenta* 2020;**101**:75–79.
- McNeal JE. The zonal anatomy of the prostate. Prostate 1981;2:35–49.
- Mehlmann LM. Stops and starts in mammalian oocytes: recent advances in understanding the regulation of meiotic arrest and oocyte maturation. *Reproduction* 2005;**130**:791–799.
- Meinhardt G, Haider S, Kunihs V, Saleh L, Pollheimer J, Fiala C, Hetey S, Feher Z, Szilagyi A, Than NG et al. Pivotal role of the transcriptional co-activator YAP in trophoblast stemness of the developing human placenta. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2020;117: 13562–13570.

- Meistermann D, Bruneau A, Loubersac S, Reignier A, Firmin J, François-Campion V, Kilens S, Lelièvre Y, Lammers J, Feyeux M et al. Integrated pseudotime analysis of human pre-implantation embryo single-cell transcriptomes reveals the dynamics of lineage specification. Cell Stem Cell 2021;28:1625–1640.e6.
- Moch H, Cubilla AL, Humphrey PA, Reuter VE, Ulbright TM. The 2016 WHO classification of tumours of the urinary system and male genital organs—part A: renal, penile, and testicular tumours. *Eur Urol* 2016;**70**:93–105.
- Murase Y, Yabuta Y, Ohta H, Yamashiro C, Nakamura T, Yamamoto T, Saitou M. Long-term expansion with germline potential of human primordial germ cell-like cells in vitro. Embo J 2020;**39**: e104929.
- Murphy AR, Wiwatpanit T, Lu Z, Davaadelger B, Kim JJ. Generation of multicellular human primary endometrial organoids. *J Vis Exp* 2019;**152**:60384.
- Nanki Y, Chiyoda T, Hirasawa A, Ookubo A, Itoh M, Ueno M, Akahane T, Kameyama K, Yamagami W, Kataoka F et al. Patientderived ovarian cancer organoids capture the genomic profiles of primary tumours applicable for drug sensitivity and resistance testing. Sci Rep 2020;10:12581.
- Nishiguchi A, Gilmore C, Sood A, Matsusaki M, Collett G, Tannetta D, Sargent IL, McGarvey J, Halemani ND, Hanley J *et al.* In vitro placenta barrier model using primary human trophoblasts, underlying connective tissue and vascular endothelium. *Biomaterials* 2019;**192**:140–148.
- O'Connell L, Winter DC. Organoids: past learning and future directions. Stem Cells Dev 2020;**29**:281–289.
- Okae H, Toh H, Sato T, Hiura H, Takahashi S, Shirane K, Kabayama Y, Suyama M, Sasaki H, Arima T. Derivation of human trophoblast stem cells. *Cell Stem Cell* 2018;**22**:50–63.e6.
- Olalekan SA, Burdette JE, Getsios S, Woodruff TK, Kim JJ. Development of a novel human recellularized endometrium that responds to a 28-day hormone treatment. †. Biol Reprod 2017;**96**: 971–981.
- Oliver E, Alves-Lopes JP, Harteveld F, Mitchell RT, Åkesson E, Söder O, Stukenborg J-B. Self-organising human gonads generated by a Matrigel-based gradient system. BMC Biol 2021;**19**:212.
- Paik DY, Janzen DM, Schafenacker AM, Velasco VS, Shung MS, Cheng D, Huang J, Witte ON, Memarzadeh S. Stem-like epithelial cells are concentrated in the distal end of the fallopian tube: a site for injury and serous cancer initiation. *Stem Cells* 2012;**30**: 2487–2497.
- Park JW, Lee JK, Phillips JW, Huang P, Cheng D, Huang J, Witte ON. Prostate epithelial cell of origin determines cancer differentiation state in an organoid transformation assay. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2016;**113**:4482–4487.
- Patrício D, Santiago J, Mano JF, Fardilha M. Organoids of the male reproductive system: challenges, opportunities, and their potential use in fertility research. WIREs *Mech Dis* 2022;**15**:e1590.
- Pendergraft SS, Sadri-Ardekani H, Atala A, Bishop CE. Three-dimensional testicular organoid: a novel tool for the study of human spermatogenesis and gonadotoxicity in vitro. *†. Biol Reprod* 2017; 96:720–732.
- Pennarossa G, De Iorio T, Gandolfi F, Brevini TAL. Ovarian decellularized bioscaffolds provide an optimal microenvironment for cell growth and differentiation in vitro. *Cells* 2021;**10**:2126.
- Petropoulos S, Edsgärd D, Reinius B, Deng Q, Panula SP, Codeluppi S, Reyes AP, Linnarsson S, Sandberg R, Lanner F. Single-cell RNAseq reveals lineage and X chromosome dynamics in human preimplantation embryos. *Cell* 2016;**167**:285.
- Pham TXA, Panda A, Kagawa H, To SK, Ertekin C, Georgolopoulos G, van Knippenberg SSFA, Allsop RN, Bruneau A, Chui JS-H et al.

Modeling human extraembryonic mesoderm cells using naive pluripotent stem cells. *Cell Stem Cell* 2022;**29**:1346–1365.e10.

- Pierro E, Minici F, Alesiani O, Miceli F, Proto C, Screpanti I, Mancuso S, Lanzone A. Stromal-epithelial interactions modulate estrogen responsiveness in normal human endometrium. *Biol Reprod* 2001; 64:831–838.
- Pors SE, Ramløse M, Nikiforov D, Lundsgaard K, Cheng J, Andersen CY, Kristensen SG. Initial steps in reconstruction of the human ovary: survival of pre-antral stage follicles in a decellularized human ovarian scaffold. *Hum Reprod* 2019;**34**:1523–1535.
- Puca L, Bareja R, Prandi D, Shaw R, Benelli M, Karthaus WR, Hess J, Sigouros M, Donoghue A, Kossai M et al. Patient derived organoids to model rare prostate cancer phenotypes. Nat Commun 2018;9: 2404.
- Rawlings TM, Makwana K, Taylor DM, Molè MA, Fishwick KJ, Tryfonos M, Odendaal J, Hawkes A, Zernicka-Goetz M, Hartshorne GM et al. Modelling the impact of decidual senescence on embryo implantation in human endometrial assembloids. eLife 2021;**10**:e69603.
- Reubinoff BE, Pera MF, Fong C-Y, Trounson A, Bongso A. Embryonic stem cell lines from human blastocysts: somatic differentiation in vitro. Nat Biotechnol 2000;**18**:399–404.
- Richards JS, Pangas SA. The ovary: basic biology and clinical implications. J Clin Invest 2010;**120**:963–972.
- Robinson M, Bedford E, Witherspoon L, Willerth SM, Flannigan R. Using clinically derived human tissue to 3-dimensionally bioprint personalized testicular tubules for in vitro culturing: first report. FS Sci 2022;**3**:130–139.
- Rose IM, Bidarimath M, Webster A, Godwin AK, Flesken-Nikitin A, Nikitin A. WNT and inflammatory signaling distinguish human fallopian tube epithelial cell populations. Sci Rep 2020;**10**:9837.
- Rostovskaya M, Stirparo GG, Smith A. Capacitation of human naïve pluripotent stem cells for multi-lineage differentiation. *Development* 2019;**146**:dev172916.
- Ruane PT, Garner T, Parsons L, Babbington PA, Wangsaputra I, Kimber SJ, Stevens A, Westwood M, Brison DR, Aplin JD. Trophectoderm differentiation to invasive syncytiotrophoblast is promoted by endometrial epithelial cells during human embryo implantation. Hum Reprod 2022;**37**:777–792.
- Russell LD, Peterson RN. Sertoli cell junctions: morphological and functional correlates. Int Rev Cytol 1985;**94**:177–211.
- Salem M, Feizollahi N, Jabari A, Golmohammadi MG, Shirinsokhan A, Ghanami Gashti N, Bashghareh A, Nikmahzar A, Abbasi Y, Naji M et al. Differentiation of human spermatogonial stem cells using a human decellularized testicular scaffold supplemented by platelet-rich plasma. Artif Organs 2023;aor.14505.
- Sasaki K, Yokobayashi S, Nakamura T, Okamoto I, Yabuta Y, Kurimoto K, Ohta H, Moritoki Y, Iwatani C, Tsuchiya H et al. Robust in vitro induction of human germ cell fate from pluripotent stem cells. Cell Stem Cell 2015;**17**:178–194.
- Sato T, Vries RG, Snippert HJ, van de Wetering M, Barker N, Stange DE, van Es JH, Abo A, Kujala P, Peters PJ *et al*. Single Lgr5 stem cells build crypt-villus structures in vitro without a mesenchymal niche. Nature 2009;**459**:262–265.
- Servant R, Garioni M, Vlajnic T, Blind M, Pueschel H, Müller DC, Zellweger T, Templeton AJ, Garofoli A, Maletti S et al. Prostate cancer patient-derived organoids: detailed outcome from a prospective cohort of 81 clinical specimens. J Pathol 2021;254: 543–555.
- Shahbazi MN, Jedrusik A, Vuoristo S, Recher G, Hupalowska A, Bolton V, Fogarty NNM, Campbell A, Devito L, Ilic D et al. Self-organization of the human embryo in the absence of maternal tissues. Nat Cell Biol 2016;18:700–708.

- Shahbazi MN, Scialdone A, Skorupska N, Weberling A, Recher G, Zhu M, Jedrusik A, Devito LG, Noli L, Macaulay IC *et al.* Pluripotent state transitions coordinate morphogenesis in mouse and human embryos. Nature 2017;**552**:239–243.
- Shannon MJ, Baltayeva J, Castellana B, Wächter J, McNeill GL, Yoon JS, Treissman J, Le HT, Lavoie PM, Beristain AG. Cell trajectory modeling identifies a primitive trophoblast state defined by BCAM enrichment. *Development* 2022a;**149**:dev199840.
- Shannon MJ, McNeill GL, Koksal B, Baltayeva J, Wächter J, Castellana B, Penaherrera M, Robinson WP, Leung PCK, Beristain AG. Singlecell assessment of trophoblast stem cell-based organoids as human placenta-modeling platforms. *bioRxiv* 2022b;**60**. https://doi. org/10.1101/2022.11.02.514970.
- Sheridan MA, Zhao X, Fernando RC, Gardner L, Perez-Garcia V, Li Q, Marsh SGE, Hamilton R, Moffett A, Turco MY. Characterization of primary models of human trophoblast. *Development* 2021;148: dev199749.
- Singh O, Bolla SR. Anatomy, abdomen and pelvis, prostate. StatPearls. Treasure Island, FL: StatPearls Publishing, 2022. http:// www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK540987/.
- Soncin F, Morey R, Bui T, Requena DF, Cheung VC, Kallol S, Kittle R, Jackson MG, Farah O, Chousal J et al. Derivation of functional trophoblast stem cells from primed human pluripotent stem cells. *Stem Cell Reports* 2022;**17**:1303–1317.
- Sozen B, Jorgensen V, Weatherbee BAT, Chen S, Zhu M, Zernicka-Goetz M. Reconstructing aspects of human embryogenesis with pluripotent stem cells. Nat Commun 2021;**12**:5550.
- Sun M, Yuan Q, Niu M, Wang H, Wen L, Yao C, Hou J, Chen Z, Fu H, Zhou F et al. Efficient generation of functional haploid spermatids from human germline stem cells by three-dimensional-induced system. Cell Death Differ 2018;25:749–766.
- Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, Laversanne M, Soerjomataram I, Jemal A, Bray F. Global Cancer Statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2021;**71**:209–249.
- Taketo-Hosotani T, Nishioka Y, Nagamine CM, Villalpando I, Merchant-Larios H. Development and fertility of ovaries in the B6.YDOM sex-reversed female mouse. Development 1989;107: 95–105.
- Tan JP, Liu X, Polo JM. Establishment of human induced trophoblast stem cells via reprogramming of fibroblasts. Nat Protoc 2022;17: 2739–2759.
- Tanaka S, Kunath T, Hadjantonakis A-K, Nagy A, Rossant J. Promotion of trophoblast stem cell proliferation by FGF4. Science 1998;282:2072–2075.
- Tantengco OAG, Richardson LS, Radnaa E, Kammala AK, Kim S, Medina PMB, Han A, Menon R. Modeling ascending *Ureaplasma parvum* infection through the female reproductive tract using vagina-cervix-decidua-organ-on-a-chip and feto-maternal interface-organ-on-a-chip. *Faseb J* 2022;**36**:e22551.
- Theunissen TW, Powell BE, Wang H, Mitalipova M, Faddah DA, Reddy J, Fan ZP, Maetzel D, Ganz K, Shi L et al. Systematic identification of culture conditions for induction and maintenance of naive human pluripotency. Cell Stem Cell 2014;**15**: 471–487.
- Tiwari M, Prasad S, Tripathi A, Pandey AN, Ali I, Singh AK, Shrivastav TG, Chaube SK. Apoptosis in mammalian oocytes: a review. *Apoptosis* 2015;**20**:1019–1025.
- Turco MY, Gardner L, Hughes J, Cindrova-Davies T, Gomez MJ, Farrell L, Hollinshead M, Marsh SGE, Brosens JJ, Critchley HO et al. Long-term, hormone-responsive organoid cultures of human endometrium in a chemically defined medium. Nat Cell Biol 2017;19: 568–577.

- Turco MY, Gardner L, Kay RG, Hamilton RS, Prater M, Hollinshead MS, McWhinnie A, Esposito L, Fernando R, Skelton H et al. Trophoblast organoids as a model for maternal–fetal interactions during human placentation. Nature 2018;564:263–267.
- Turco MY, Moffett A. Development of the human placenta. Development 2019;**146**:dev163428.
- Wei Y, Wang T, Ma L, Zhang Y, Zhao Y, Lye K, Xiao L, Chen C, Wang Z, Ma Y et al. Efficient derivation of human trophoblast stem cells from primed pluripotent stem cells. Sci Adv 2021;7: eabf4416.
- White YAR, Woods DC, Takai Y, Ishihara O, Seki H, Tilly JL. Oocyte formation by mitotically active germ cells purified from ovaries of reproductive-age women. *Nat Med* 2012;**18**:413–421.
- Wilhelm D, Palmer S, Koopman P. Sex determination and gonadal development in mammals. Physiol Rev 2007;87:1–28.
- Wiwatpanit T, Murphy AR, Lu Z, Urbanek M, Burdette JE, Woodruff TK, Kim JJ. Scaffold-free endometrial organoids respond to excess androgens associated with polycystic ovarian syndrome. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2020;**105**:769–780.
- Wong JA, Clark JF. Correlation of symptoms with age and location of gestation in tubal pregnancy. J Natl Med Assoc 1968;60:221–223.
- Woods DC, Tilly JL. Isolation, characterization and propagation of mitotically active germ cells from adult mouse and human ovaries. Nat Protoc 2013;8:966–988.
- Xiang D, Liu Y, Zhou E, Wang Y. Advances in the applications of polymer biomaterials for in vitro follicle culture. Biomed Pharmacother 2021;140:111422.
- Yamashiro C, Sasaki K, Yabuta Y, Kojima Y, Nakamura T, Okamoto I, Yokobayashi S, Murase Y, Ishikura Y, Shirane K et al. Generation of human oogonia from induced pluripotent stem cells in vitro. Science 2018;362:356–360.

- Yanagida A, Spindlow D, Nichols J, Dattani A, Smith A, Guo G. Naive stem cell blastocyst model captures human embryo lineage segregation. Cell Stem Cell 2021;28:1016–1022.e4.
- Yang J, Huang S, Cheng S, Jin Y, Zhang N, Wang Y. Application of ovarian cancer organoids in precision medicine: key challenges and current opportunities. Front Cell Dev Biol 2021;9:701429.
- Yang L, Liang P, Yang H, Coyne CB. Trophoblast organoids with physiological polarity model placental structure and function. *bioRxiv* 2023. https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.01.12.523752.
- Yang L, Semmes EC, Ovies C, Megli C, Permar S, Gilner JB, Coyne CB. Innate immune signaling in trophoblast and decidua organoids defines differential antiviral defenses at the maternal-fetal interface. *eLife* 2022;**11**:e79794.
- Yu L, Wei Y, Duan J, Schmitz DA, Sakurai M, Wang L, Wang K, Zhao S, Hon GC, Wu J. Blastocyst-like structures generated from human pluripotent stem cells. *Nature* 2021;**591**:620–626.
- Yucer N, Holzapfel M, Jenkins Vogel T, Lenaeus L, Ornelas L, Laury A, Sareen D, Barrett R, Karlan BY, Svendsen CN. Directed differentiation of human induced pluripotent stem cells into fallopian tube epithelium. Sci Rep 2017;**7**:10741.
- Zhang X, Guan Q, Yu Q, Xiao W, Chen Z, Dong C, Deng S, Zhuang Y, Xia Y. Estimating the effects of policies on infertility prevalence worldwide. BMC Public Health 2022;22:1378.
- Zheng G, Chattopadhyay S, Försti A, Sundquist K, Hemminki K. Familial risks of second primary cancers and mortality in ovarian cancer patients. Clin Epidemiol 2018;10:1457–1466.
- Zirkin BR, Papadopoulos V. Leydig cells: formation, function, and regulation. †. Biol Reprod 2018;**99**:101–111.
- Zou K, Yuan Z, Yang Z, Luo H, Sun K, Zhou L, Xiang J, Shi L, Yu Q, Zhang Y et al. Production of offspring from a germline stem cell line derived from neonatal ovaries. Nat Cell Biol 2009;11:631–636.